## Fundamentals and Application in CO<sub>2</sub> Sorptivity of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>

July 2015

Luo Hongchao Graduate School of Science Chiba University

# Fundamentals and Application in CO<sub>2</sub> Sorptivity of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>

炭酸カリウムと炭酸ナトリウムの二酸化炭素

吸蔵反応の基礎と応用

July 2015

千葉大学大学院理学研究科

基盤理学専攻化学コース

駱 紅超

## Contents

Chapter 1: General introduction		
1.1 Causes of global warming	1	
1.2 Impacts and risks of global warming	4	
1.3 Carbon dioxide capture and storage	5	
1.4 Methods and materials for CO <sub>2</sub> capture	6	
1.4.1 Classification of solid materials	7	
1.4.2 Alkali-metal carbonate based sorbents	8	
1.5 The objectives and overview of this research	11	
Chapter 2: The basic theory	16	
2.1 Gas adsorption	16	
2.1.1 Adsorption isotherm	18	
2.1.2 Analysis methods of adsorption isotherm	20	
2.2 Interactions of bicarbonate-carbonate or bicarbonate	21	
2.3 Characterization methods	23	
2.3.1 Thermogravimetric-differential thermal analysis	23	
2.3.2 X-ray diffraction	24	
2.3.3 Scanning electron microscopy	25	
Chapter 3: Fundamentals in CO <sub>2</sub> sorptivity of K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	28	
3.1 Introduction	28	
3.2 Experimentals	31	
3. 2.1 Sample preparation	31	
3.2.2 Bicarbonate formation measurements	31	
3.2.3 Characterization	32	
3.3 Results and discussion	32	

3.3.1 CO <sub>2</sub> capture of K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> at different temperatures	32
3.3.2 CO <sub>2</sub> capture of K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> under various CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations	38
3.3.3 CO <sub>2</sub> capture of K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> under various H <sub>2</sub> O concentrations	53
3.3.4 CO <sub>2</sub> capture of $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$ under various CO <sub>2</sub> or	
H <sub>2</sub> O concentrations	61
3.4 Conclusion	65
Chapter 4: Fundamentals in CO <sub>2</sub> sorptivity of Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	69
4.1 Introduction	69
4.2 Experimentals	70
4.2.1 Sample preparation	71
4.2.2 Bicarbonate formation measurements	71
4.2.3 Crystal structure and morphology measurements	72
4.3 Results and discussion	72
4.3.1 CO <sub>2</sub> capture of Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> at different temperatures	72
4.3.2 CO <sub>2</sub> capture of Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> under various CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations	77
4.3.3 CO <sub>2</sub> capture of Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> under various H <sub>2</sub> O concentrations	87
4.4 Conclusion	93
Chapter 5: General conclusion	98
Acknowledgements	101
Accomplishments	102

## Chapter 1: General introduction

Numerous observations of the climate system based on direct measurements and remote sensing from satellites and other platforms suggest the increasing temperature of the Earth over the past hundred-odd years. The Figure1-1 (upper) by Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), shows how the temperature increases over the period 1850 to 2012 [1]. In addition to robust multi-decadal, particularly the past three decadal warming, globally averaged surface temperature exhibits substantial decadal and interannual variability, shown in Figure 1-1 (lower) [1]. Many scientific reports indicate that the intervention of human activities has brought about climate changes and global current temperature [1-9].



**Figure 1-1:** Observed global mean combined land and ocean surface temperature anomalies, from 1850 to 2012 from three data sets [1].

#### 1.1 Causes of global warming

According to the IPCC, the climate change attributed to the radiative forcing of natural and anthropogenic greenhouse gases (GHGs) and their constitutions in the atmosphere. Figure 1-2 shows the contributions of radiative forcing of climate from different types of greenhouse gases. Positive radiative forcing results in warming of the atmosphere, and negative forcing results in cooling of the atmosphere [1-3, 5-7]. As shown in Figure 1-2, radiative forcing values are global average ones, partitioned according to the emitted compounds or processes that result in a combination of drivers [1]. Compared with the primary greenhouse gases such as methane, water and nitrous oxide,  $CO_2$  has a higher positive radiative forcing of proximately 1.66 Wm<sup>-2</sup>. This property of greenhouse gases suggests that how much the concentration of greenhouse gases, particularly  $CO_2$  from anthropogenic activities in the atmosphere is profoundly affect global temperature change.



**Figure 1-2:** Radiative forcing estimates in 2011 relative to 1750 and aggregated uncertainties for the main drivers of climate change [1].

Anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions have increased since the industrial revolution, leading to atmospheric concentrations of carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide that are unprecedented, and are now higher than ever [10]. The effects of

increased atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases caused by fossil fuel burning, industrialization and deforestation with other anthropogenic activities together, are extremely likely to have been the dominant reason for the observed warming [7,10].



**Figure 1-3:** Global annual emissions of anthropogenic GHGs for the period 1970 to 2010 by gases:  $CO_2$  from fossil fuel combustion and industrial processes;  $CO_2$  from Forestry and Other Land Use (FOLU); methane (CH<sub>4</sub>); nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O); fluorinated gases covered under the Kyoto Protocol (F-gases) [10].

Between 1750 and 2011, cumulative anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions to the atmosphere were 2040  $\pm$  310 GtCO<sub>2</sub>. About 40% of these emissions have remained in the atmosphere (880  $\pm$  35 GtCO<sub>2</sub>) [10]. Figure 1-3 shows the CO<sub>2</sub> emission from fossil fuel combustion and industrial processes increased from 55% to 65% between 1970 and 2010. Furthermore, the CO<sub>2</sub> emission from fossil fuel combustion and industrial processes continued to be the most important source compared with CO<sub>2</sub> from FOLU, methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), and F-gases, and continued about 78% of the total greenhouse gas emissions increase from during the period 1970 to 2010. According to carbon dioxide data at Mauna Loa Observatory affiliated with National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), shown in Figure 1-4, its concentration has been increasing gradually and evidently. Subsequently, the linear increase in the concentration of  $CO_2$  emissions coupled with the high positive radiative forcing as noted above tends towards warming the atmosphere even further [1-3, 5-7].



**Figure 1-4:** Atmospheric  $CO_2$  at Mauna Loa Observatory, Hawaii. The red curve means the  $CO_2$  data measured as the mole fraction in dry air, on Mauna Loa constitute the longest record of direct measurements of  $CO_2$  in the atmosphere; the black curve represents the seasonally corrected data [11].

#### 1.2 Impacts and Risks of global warming

As the global average surface temperature increases caused by the anthropogenic increase in greenhouse gas, particularly CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, the impacts and risks on Earth's environment have gradually begun to appear. Impacts from human-induced climate-related extremes, such as floods, cyclones, droughts, and wildfires, heat waves, reveal significant vulnerability and exposure of some ecosystems and many human systems to current climate variability [12, 13]. According to IPCC reports, the global

warming has very likely made a substantial contribution to increases in global upper ocean heat content, while the retreat of glaciers, surface melting of the Greenland ice sheet and Arctic sea-ice loss have very likely lead to the uprise in global mean sea-level. The global water cycle has been likely influenced. On the other hand, as the substantial increase in the CO<sub>2</sub> emission will very likely result in the acidification of ocean water and also likely cause harmful algal blooms and the massive extinctions of some marine organisms to threaten the whole ecosystems in the future. To summarize, the global warming not only affects the innumerable animals and plants but also eventually affects the lives hundreds of thousands of people.

#### **1.3 Carbon dioxide capture and storage**

Since CO<sub>2</sub> is the major anthropogenic greenhouse gas in the atmosphere contributing to global warming, in recent years worldwide efforts have been devoted to develop the mitigation options. There are four effective ways to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions into the atmosphere: (I) improving energy utilization efficiency, (II) increasing the use of less carbon-intensive fuels, biomass-based fuels, nuclear power and renewable energy sources such as solar energy, (III) enhancing biological sinks and reducing non-CO<sub>2</sub> greenhouse gas emissions, and (IV) carbon dioxide capture and storage (CCS) [14]. However, fossil fuels have still been the dominant energy source at present. Furthermore, other energy sources such as nuclear power and solar energy still cannot replace fossil fuels on a large scale. As a result, CCS is widely used for the reduction of the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration.

CCS as main strategy for  $CO_2$  management is a process consisting of several stages such as the separation and capture of  $CO_2$  from the large emission sources, i.e. industrial and energy-related sources, the transportation to the storage site and storage it in a suitable deep geological formation for long-term isolation from the atmosphere [14]. The scheme of CCS is shown in Figure 1-5 [15].



Figure 1-5: The scheme of CO<sub>2</sub> capture and storage process [15].

Among these stages, the transportation and storage of  $CO_2$  are relatively mature technologies and a growing number of fully integrated CCS projects have reached the pilot-stage [16]. However, the considerable cost of capture is slowing down the development of CCS projects [17]. Therefore, the technologies of  $CO_2$  capture should be required urgently and receive considerable research effort. Consequently, various methods and numerous materials are attempted to apply to  $CO_2$  capture.

#### 1.4 Methods and materials for CO<sub>2</sub> capture

Several methods have been employed to  $CO_2$  separation and capture, mostly including absorption, cryogenics, membranes, adsorption [18, 19]. These usually used methods and associated materials are schematically illustrated in Figure 1-6, among these methods except for cryogenics and Microbial, main technologies including the use of scrubbing solutions, solid sorbents and membranes are used to separate and capture  $CO_2$  [18].



**Figure 1-6:** Different methods and associated materials for CO<sub>2</sub> separation and capture [18].

Among associated materials, solid sorbents have been most commonly investigated for  $CO_2$  capture. Compared to liquid sorbents, solid sorbents can be used over a wider temperature range from ambient temperature to 700 °C, yielding less loss after several cycles [20].

#### 1.4.1 Classification of solid materials

However, CO<sub>2</sub> recovery used by different solid sorbents still has faced several challenges. The CO<sub>2</sub> capacity and reaction rate primarily indicate if the solid sorbent can been used for CO<sub>2</sub> capture. The source and cost of solid sorbent as the next thing, demined if this solid sorbent has a wide application prospects. The required conditions of solid sorbents will be the crucial factor in CO<sub>2</sub> capture of solid sorbents. On the one hand, the conditions such as high temperature, low or high pressure are gained through additional energy supply, this have to increase energy composition. On the other hand, energy composition undoubtedly will lead to the formation of CO<sub>2</sub> and other gases again. Hence, the required conditions of solid sorbents for CO<sub>2</sub> capture should be close to ambient temperature and pressure. According to the adsorption and desorption temperatures at 0.1 MPa, solid sorbents are classified as low-temperature sorbents (<  $200^{\circ}$ C), intermediate-temperature sorbents (200–400 °C), and high-temperature

sorbents (> 400 °C) [21]. Table 1-1 shows the CO<sub>2</sub> capture capacities of main types of sorbents under the required condition. As introduced above, compared with each other, low temperature sorbents has a better potential application for CO<sub>2</sub> capture at 0.1 MPa. **Table 1-1:** The CO<sub>2</sub> capture capacities of the main types of sorbents according to their sorption and desorption temperatures at 0.1 MPa [21].

		Temperature	CO <sub>2</sub> capacity
Adsorbent type		∕°C	$/mmol \cdot g^{-1}$
Low-temperature	Carbon based	$\leq 80$	≤ 3.5
	Zeolite based	≤ 100	$\leq$ 4.9
	MOF based	≤ 100	≤4.5
	Alkali-metal carbonate based	≤ 120	≤9.4
Intermediate-temperature	Amine based	$\leq 60$	≤ 5.5
	LDH based	200–400	≤1.4
	Calcium based	600–700	≤11.6
High-temperature	Alkali ceramic based	500-600	≤ 6.5

Among these low temperature sorbents, amine-, Zeolite-, activated carbon-, and metal–organic frameworks (MOF) based sorbents have been focused for the application of  $CO_2$  capture [22-25]. However, these technologies still face challenges, such as high energy consumption during sorbent regeneration, the efficient issue under ambient conditions because of interference from adsorbed  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$ , and high cost for the removal of the gases. Furthermore, these sorbents would not be desirable or appropriate for the practical application of  $CO_2$  capture methods under moist conditions.

#### 1.4.2 Alkali-metal carbonate based sorbents

Alkali-metal carbonate based sorbents as typical low-temperature sorbents have been paid more attention for  $CO_2$  capture application over the past several years due to both high sorption capacity and low cost [26-29]. Prior to 2014, many studies on the  $CO_2$  capture of alkali-metal carbonate based sorbents focused on both experimental fuel gas conditions and porous supports. Particularly, the experimental conditions of  $CO_2$  capture include reaction or regeneration temperature,  $CO_2$  concentration, H<sub>2</sub>O concentration, etc [26]. Various supports used with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> have higher occlusion amounts for CO<sub>2</sub> capture due to enhanced surface area or pore volume.

Most previous studies focused on  $CO_2$  capture of alkali-metal carbonate based sorbents such as  $K_2CO_3$  and  $Na_2CO_3$  took place within relatively high temperature range (50–120 °C) as the following reaction (1-1).

$$M_2CO_3(s) + CO_2(g) + H_2O(g) \leq 2MHCO_3(s)$$
 (1-1)

where the forward, exothermic reaction is the bicarbonate formation of M<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (M: Na, K) while the reverse, endothermic reaction is the decomposition of MHCO<sub>3</sub>.

1.4.2.1 Sodium carbonate (Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>)

Numerous researches on the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of  $Na_2CO_3$  under different CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentration, CO<sub>2</sub> capture also did not always occur via reaction (1-1). Several reactions of CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of  $Na_2CO_3$  under moist conditions are involved as follows [30]:

$$Na_2CO_3(s) + H_2O(g) \Rightarrow Na_2CO_3 \cdot H_2O(s)$$
 (1-2)

H<sub>2</sub>O capture capacity  $\cdots$  9.43 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>

$$5Na_2CO_3(s) + 3CO_2(g) + 3H_2O(g) \leq 2Na_5H_3(CO_3)_4(s)$$
 (1-3)

 $CO_2$  capture capacity  $\cdots$  5.66 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>

$$Na_2CO_3(s) + CO_2(g) + H_2O(g) \Rightarrow 2NaHCO_3(s)$$
 (1-4)

 $CO_2$  capture capacity  $\cdots$  9.43 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>

Different precursors such as Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·NaHCO<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O were also attempted to apply for the CO<sub>2</sub> capture in moist conditions [30]. It is found that

 $Na_2CO_3$  decomposed from  $NaHCO_3$  has a better performance on the  $CO_2$  occlusion because of good pore structure. Thus, in this study,  $NaHCO_3$  is used to prepare  $Na_2CO_3$ at a  $N_2$  atmosphere for  $CO_2$  capture.

1.4.2.2 Potassium carbonate (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>)

In similar, related to the reactions of  $CO_2$  occlusion of  $K_2CO_3$  under moist conditions are as shown in reaction (1-2), reaction (1-3), reaction (1-4) and reaction (1-5) [31]:

 $K_2CO_3(s) + 1.5H_2O(g) \leq K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O(s)$  (1-5)

H<sub>2</sub>O capture capacity  $\cdots$  10.8 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>

$$2K_2CO_3(s) + CO_2(g) + 2.5H_2O(g) \leq K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O(s) + 0.5H_2O(g)$$
 (1-6)

 $CO_2$  capture capacity  $\cdots$  3.62 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>

$$K_{4}H_{2}(CO_{3})_{3} \cdot 1.5H_{2}O(s) + CO_{2}(g) \approx 4KHCO_{3}(s) + 0.5H_{2}O(g)$$
 (1-7)

 $CO_2$  capture capacity  $\cdots$  2.73 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>

$$K_2CO_3(s) + CO_2(g) + H_2O(g) \leq 2KHCO_3(s)$$
 (1-8)

 $CO_2$  capture capacity  $\cdots$  7.24 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>

Furthermore, different precursors of  $K_2CO_3$  also influence the CO<sub>2</sub> capture behavior. Zhao et al. reported that  $K_2CO_3$  from different precursors such as  $K_2CO_3$ ,  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  and KHCO<sub>3</sub> was used to occlude CO<sub>2</sub> in moist conditions [32]. It is found that  $K_2CO_3$  decomposed from KHCO<sub>3</sub> has hexagonal crystal structure and better pore structure favorable to CO<sub>2</sub> capture, while  $K_2CO_3$  from other precursors has in general monoclinic crystal structure [33]. Thus, in this study, KHCO<sub>3</sub> can be used to prepare  $K_2CO_3$  at N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere for CO<sub>2</sub> capture.

The kinetics mechanism of pure  $K_2CO_3$  or  $Na_2CO_3$  for  $CO_2$  capture in a moist condition is not clearly understood. Hence, study of the fundamental kinetics of  $CO_2$ occlusion of pure  $K_2CO_3$  or  $Na_2CO_3$  is important. We are systematically investigating  $CO_2$  occlusion of  $K_2CO_3$  or  $Na_2CO_3$  by changing various reaction conditions, such as temperature,  $CO_2$  concentration, and humidity, based on the  $CO_2$  capture characteristics of pure  $K_2CO_3$  or  $Na_2CO_3$  under moist conditions.

#### **1.5 The objectives and Overview of this research**

This study will focus on kinetics in CO<sub>2</sub> capture of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under different temperature, CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations, respectively. Particularly, the detailed information on CO<sub>2</sub> capture process mainly involves crystal structural changes and morphology variations in CO<sub>2</sub> capture of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. Therefore, a series of techniques will be the powerful methods to investigate the crystal structural changes and morphology variations. The basic theory and principle analysis of several methods such as thermogravimetric-differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA), X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) are briefly introduced in Chapter 2.

Chapter 3 describes the capacity and kinetics of CO<sub>2</sub> capture in K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under different temperature, CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations. According to the structural changes with temperature or reaction time, we attempt to propose the relevant mechanism for CO<sub>2</sub> capture in K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. To find its mechanism for CO<sub>2</sub> sequestering, morphology and structural changes with reaction time will be connected to the kinetic and exothermic properties of CO<sub>2</sub> capture process. Also the effect of different stages on kinetics of CO<sub>2</sub> capture in K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under different moist conditions will be further investigated. In all these cases, K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O plays an important role in CO<sub>2</sub> capture. Thus, the structure, morphology, and CO<sub>2</sub> dependence measurements of K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O prepared through high temperature will also be performed.

Chapter 4 presents fundamental in  $CO_2$  capture of  $Na_2CO_3$  under different temperature,  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  concentrations. The dependence measurements of temperature,  $CO_2$  concentration and  $H_2O$  concentration on  $CO_2$  capture of  $Na_2CO_3$  will be studied. Similarly, morphology and structural changes with reaction time from SEM and XRD will also be connected to the kinetic and exothermic properties of  $CO_2$  capture process.

Finally, the conclusions on the analyses of kinetics, the crystalline structure and morphology changes of  $CO_2$  capture in  $K_2CO_3$  and  $Na_2CO_3$  under different reaction conditions will be generally summarized in Chapter 5.

#### References

[1] T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. M. B. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, X. Yu, V. Bex, P. M. Midgley. Climate Change 2013: The Scientific Basis, *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, **2013**.

[2] J. T. Houghton, B. A. Callander, S. K. Varney. Climate Change 1992: The Supplementary Report to the IPCC Scientific Assessment, *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, **1996**.

[3] J. T. Houghton, L. G. Meira . A. Callander, N. Harris, A. Kattenberg, K. Maskell.
IPCC 1996: Climate Change 1996: The Science of Climate Change, *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, **1996**.

[4] R. T. Watson, I. R. Noble, B. Bolin, N. H. Ravindranath, D. J. Verardo, D. J. Dokken.
IPCC 2000: Land Use, Land-Use Change, and Forestry, *Cambridge University Press*,
Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2000.

[5] T. Houghton, Y. Ding, D. J. Griggs, M. Noquer, P. J. van der Linden, X. Dai, K. Maskell, C. A. Johnson. Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis, *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2001.

[6] J. Penman, M. Gytarsky, T. Hiraishi, T. Krug, D. Kruger, R. Pipatti, L. Buendia, K. Miwa, T. Ngara, K. Tanabe, F. Wagner. IPCC 2003: Definitions and Methodological Options to Inventory Emissions from Direct Human-Induced Degradation of Forests and Devegetation of Other Vegetation Types, The Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES), Japan, **2003**.

[7] S. Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K. B. Averyt, M. Tignor, H.
L. Miller. Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2007.

[8] C. B. Field, V. Barros, T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, K.J. Mach, G.-K. Plattner, M. D. Mastrandrea, M. Tignor, K. L. Ebi. IPCC 2011: Workshop Report of the

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Workshop on Impacts of Ocean Acidification on Marine Biology and Ecosystems, Carnegie Institution, Stanford, CA, USA, **2011**.

[9] O. Edenhofer, R. Pichs-Madruga, Y. Sokona, C. Field, V. Barros, T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, J. Minx, K. Mach, G.-K. Plattner, S. Schlömer, G. Hansen, M. Mastrandrea. IPCC 2012: Meeting Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Expert Meeting on Geoengineering, Potsdam, Germany, 2012.

[10] The Core Writing Team, R. K. Pachauri, L. Meyer. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report, *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2014.

[11] P. Tans, Monthly Average Carbon Dioxide Concentration. Mauna Loa Observatory.In NOAA/ESRL (www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/).

[12] M. L. Parry, O. F. Canziani, J. P. Palutikof, P. J. V. Linden, C. E. Hanson. Climate change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability, *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, **2007**.

[13] C. B. Field, V. R. Barros, D. J. Dokken, K. J. Mach, M. D. Mastrandrea, T. E. Bilir, M. Chatterjee, K. L. Ebi, Y. O. Estrada, R. C. Genova, B. Girma, E. S. Kissel, A. N. Levy, S. MacCracken, P. R. Mastrandrea, L. L. White. IPCC 2014: Summary for policymakers. In: Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects, *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, **2014**.

[14] B. Metz, O. Davidson, H. C. de Coninck, M. Loos, L. A. Meyer. IPCC 2005: Special Report on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage, *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2005.

[15] http://eandt.theiet.org/magazine/2011/07/carbon-capture.cfm

[16] E. J. Wilson, D. Gerard. IPCC 2007: Carbon Capture and Sequestration: Integrating Technology, Monitoring, Regulation, Wiley, 2007.

[17] R. A. Khatri, S. S. C. Chuang, Y. Soong, M. Gray. Energy Fuels, 2006, 20,

1514-1520.

[18] J. Li, Y. Ma, M. C. McCarthy, J. Sculley, J. Yu, H. Jeong, P. B. Balbuena, H. Zhou. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, **2011**, 255, 1791–1823.

[19] R. Thiruvenkatachari, S. Su, H. An, X. X. Yu. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, **2009**, 35, 438–455.

[20] J. Wang, L. Huang, R. Yang, Z. Zhang, J. Wu, Y. Gao, Q. Wang, D. O'Hare, Z. Zhong. *Energy Environ. Sci.*, **2014**, 7, 3478–3518.

[21] Q. Wang, J. Luo, Z. Zhong, A. Borgna. Energy Environ. Sci., 2011, 4, 42–55.

[22] M. G. Plaza, S. García, F. Rubiera, J. J. Pis, C. J. Pevida. *Chem. Eng.*, 2010, 163, 41–47.

[23] S. Cavenati, C. A. Grande, A. E. Rodrigues. J. Chem. Eng. Data, 2004, 49, 1095–1101.

[24] V. Presser, J. McDonough, S.H. Yeon, Y. Gogotsi. *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, 4, 3059–3066.

[25] Y. Liu, Z. U. Wang, H. C. Zhou. *Greenhouse Gases: Sci.Technol.*, **2012**, 2, 239–259.

[26] Y. Liang, D. P. Harrison, R. P. Gupta, D. A. Green, W. A. McMichael. *Energy Fuels*, 2004, 18, 569–575.

[27] S. C. Lee, B. Y. Choi, C. K. Ryu, Y. S. Ahn, T. J. Lee, J. C. Kim. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.*, **2006**, 23, 374–379.

[28] Y. Seo, S. H. Jo, C. K. Ryu, C. K. Yi. Chemosphere, 2007, 69, 712–718.

[29] C. Zhao, X. Chen, C. Zhao. *Energy Fuels*, **2010**, 24, 1009–1012.

[30] Y. Liang. Carbon dioxide capture from flue gas using regenerable sodium-based sorbents. M.S. Dissertation, Louisiana State University (LSU), Baton Rouge, LA, **2003**.

[31] C. Zhao, X. Chen, C. Zhao, Y. Liu. Energy Fuels, 2009, 23, 1766–1769.

[32] C. Zhao, X. Chen, C. Zhao. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2010, 49, 12212–12216.

[33] C. Zhao, X. Chen, C. Zhao. Energy Fuel, 2012, 26, 1401–1405.

### Chapter 2: The basic theory

When the molecule from a fluid-phase approaches a solid material, phenomena taking place at the solid-fluid interface could be adsorption, absorption, sorption or occlusion. Phenomena mentioned above are controlled by the interaction between the molecule and solid surface according to the properties of both the molecule and the solid material. Moreover, these properties of solid material, i.e., pore size, surface area, and crystal structure, mainly depend on its preparation methods. Thus, the properties of solid contacted with molecules will be studied by a series of different techniques, which can be allowed to give insight into the properties of the solid surface and describe the crystal structure changes of solid materials before and after the gas-solid interactions [1, 2]. In this chapter, the basic theory and principle of main methods used for characterization of solid materials will be briefly described.

#### 2.1 Gas adsorption

Gas adsorption properties strongly depended not only on the pore size but also on the interaction between molecules and the solid surface. According to the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) recommendation, the pore sizes are classified as micropore (< 2 nm), mesopore (2-50 nm) and macropore (> 50 nm) [3]. On the other hand, the interaction between guest molecules and solid include adsorption, absorption, sorption or occlusion. Adsorption can be defined as an equilibrium process in the bulk phase and interface in a very short time. Moreover, adsorption can be classified as physical adsorption (physisorption) and chemical adsorption (chemisorption). Physisorption is mainly caused by the dispersion force between the molecules (adsorbent) at the solid-gas interface and adsorptive molecules (adsorbate),

while chemisorption is duo to the charge transfer interaction or chemical bond formation between adsorbent and adsorbate, to yield new chemical compound. Thus, molecular interactions of chemisorption are much stronger than those of physical adsorption. In contrast, the slowly equilibrium process involving the penetration of molecules into the bulk solid phase by some process of diffusion is known as absorption. If an initial rapid adsorption is followed by a slow process of absorption of molecules into the interior of solid, in this case, the process is called sorption, generally absorption because the effects of absorption cannot be told from those of adsorption. Occlusion is similar to the sorption, but is restricted to of gases by metals only [4]. Moreover, the interaction between molecule and the solid surface among the phenomena mentioned above can also be clearly classified by the occurrence of the structural change of a molecule and/or solid [5]. Thus, novel fundamental concepts on solid-molecule interaction are summarized based on the four types of molecule–solid interaction, as showed in Table 2-1.

Types of molecule-solid interactions	Molecular structure	Solid structure
Physical adsorption	No Change	No Change
Chemical adsorption	Change	No Change
Absorption	No Change	Change
Occlusion	Change	Change

Table 2-1: Four types of molecule-solid interaction [5].

Physical adsorption does not lead to the structural changes of both an adsorbed molecule and solid owning to the dispersion interaction as the main interaction of physical adsorption, inducing no change in the adsorbed molecule and solid surface. However, the structure of only adsorbed molecule changes on chemical adsorption caused by the strongly interaction between molecule and the solid surface through an electronic interaction. On the contrary, solid structure merely changes accompanying without the change of the molecular structure on absorption. Occlusion, the residual

interaction mode, gives rise to simultaneous changes of molecule and solid to produce a new lattice. Thus, in this study, occlusion or sorption can be used to describe  $CO_2$  capture of  $K_2CO_3$  and  $Na_2CO_3$  with crystal structure changes under moist conditions.

Aim to different pore sizes and the interaction between molecule and the solid surface, adsorption isotherm can provide the primary information to describe adsorption properties at solid-gas interface.

#### 2.1.1 Adsorption isotherm

The relationship of adsorption equilibrium between the quantity of the adsorbed gas expressed in moles per gram of the solid material (n) and the adsorption temperature (T), the pressure of the gas (p) and interaction potential between the gases and solid surface is described as follows.

$$n = f(p, T, E) \tag{2-1}$$

In general, adsorption measurements are carried out for a given gas adsorbed on a particular solid at constant temperature T. Then the equation can be expressed simply as

$$n = f(p)_{T,E} \tag{2-2}$$

This relation is called the adsorption isotherm. If the temperature is at or below the critical temperature of gas, the equation becomes

$$n = f(p/p_0)_{T,E}$$
 (2-3)

where  $p_0$  is saturation vapor pressure at the temperature *T* and  $p/p_0$  is called relative pressure [6].

The adsorption isotherm, which demonstrates the unique condition of each type of isotherm, generally follows one of six types of adsorption isotherms adopted by IUPAC classification as shown in Figure 2-1 [7].



Figure 2-1: The IUPAC classification for adsorption isotherms [7].

According to IUPAC classification, Figure 2-1 observations show that Type I isotherm is known as Langmuir type on account of theoretically explained by Langmuir [8]. Type I isotherm could be observed typically in the chemisorption or specific physical adsorption with microporous adsorbents. The micropore filling predominantly at lower relative pressure and the long plateau shape of isotherm indicates mainly monolayer adsorption on the surface of adsorbent. The adsorption capacity of adsorbent is governed by the micropore volume of the material.

Type II isotherm is well known as BET type isotherm [9]. BET type isotherm is the most typical adsorption isotherm for many nonporous or macroporous adsorbents and represents multilayer adsorption. The knee of the Type II isotherm, called Point B, is usually considered to indicate the completion of the monolayer formation and with increasing relative pressure, the beginning of the second, multilayer adsorption processes.

Type III isotherm is another BET type isotherm, and corresponds to the weaker interaction between adsorbates and adsorbents. Type IV isotherm is observed in the physical adsorption with mesoporous adsorbents, where the capillary condensation phenomena occurs, leading to the sharply jump in the uptake at higher pressure. In this case the isotherm possesses a hysteresis loop. The hysteresis means the disagreement of adsorption and desorption branches and the desorption branch is over the adsorption branch until a certain pressure.

Type V isotherm also has a hysteresis loop. The difference between type V and type IV isotherms is the smaller intensity of the interaction forces between the adsorbate and the adsorbent. Type VI isotherm whose first step indicates the monolayer coverage represents stepwise multilayer by layer adsorption process on nonporous adsorbents with the uniform surface. Thus, it is called the stepwise isotherm.

#### 2.1.2 Analysis methods of Adsorption isotherm

#### 2.1.2.1 The Langmuir equation [8]

The Langmuir equation is used for the analysis of Type I isotherm. This equation is initially derived from the studies on the kinetic theory of gases and assumed that there is a definite and energetically equivalent number of adsorption site, indicating that the multilayer adsorption should not be occurred. The bonding to the adsorption sites can be either physical or chemical. Based on these assumptions, the Langmuir equation can be expressed as:

$$V_{\rm a} = \frac{V_m bP}{1+bP} \tag{2-4}$$

where  $V_a$  is the quantity of gas adsorbed at pressure *P*, b is an empirical constant and  $V_m$  is saturation adsorption capacity of gas adsorbed on the surface. The entire surface must be covered with a monomolecular layer, hence,  $V_m$  is the same to the monolayer capacity.

#### 2.1.2.2 The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) equation [9]

The BET equation plays an important role in the surface area and porosity characterization of solid materials. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) equation is an expansion of Langmuir model to multilayer adsorption occurred on the solid surface. The adsorption energy for the first layer is still stronger than that for more than two layers. Furthermore, since this adsorption equilibrium is dynamic, the actual location of

the surface sites covered by more than two layers might vary while the number of molecules in each layer will remain constant. The BET equation can be expressed by

$$\frac{P}{n(P_0 - P)} = \frac{1}{n_m C} + \frac{C - 1}{n_m C} \times \frac{P}{P_0}$$
(2-5)

where the *n* (mol/g) is the adsorbed amount at relative pressure  $P/P_0$ , n<sub>m</sub> is the monolayer adsorption capacity (mol/g), and C is the constant value concerned with the energy of adsorption for the first layer. The equation (2-5) could change the formation to the equation (2-6).

$$\frac{x}{n(1-x)} = \frac{1}{n_m C} + \frac{C-1}{n_m C} x$$
(2-6)

where this BET equation is a linear function between x/n(1-x) and x in the relative pressure range of 0.05 to 0.35, which is usually determined the specific surface area by applying to nitrogen adsorption data. The n<sub>m</sub> and C are obtained from the slope and intercept of the BET plot.

The BET equation is mostly used to estimate the specific surface area of solid materials because of the comparatively strong adsorption due to the micropore filling [10]. The specific surface area is determined from the volume of adsorbed gas in the monolayer on the solid material

$$S = \frac{V_m \times N_A \times \alpha}{m \times 22400} \tag{2-7}$$

where *S* is specific surface area (m<sup>2</sup>/g),  $N_A$  is the Avogadro's constant,  $\alpha$  is the effective cross-sectional area of one adsorbed molecule (m<sup>2</sup>) and *m* is mass of solid material (g).

#### 2.2 Interactions of bicarbonate-carbonate or bicarbonate

In the preceding chapter, we have introduced that various occlusion reactions of CO<sub>2</sub> capture of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under a moist condition may occur in the transformation process of bicarbonate. These formed compounds, such as KHCO<sub>3</sub>, K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O (K<sub>4</sub>(HCO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O), Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (Na<sub>5</sub>(HCO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) and NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, contain the

anion bicarbonate (HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) and dianion carbonate (CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>). References of involved compounds can be obtained through inorganic crystal structure database (ICSD). The main interaction motifs of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> can be seen in Figure 2-2. The bicarbonate-bicarbonate or carbonate group form in various interaction motifs through O···H···O hydrogen bonds.



**Figure 2-2:** The interaction motifs of  $HCO_3^- \cdots HCO_3^-$  (ring, chain) and  $HCO_3^- \cdots CO_3^{2-}$  (pair, trimer, and tetramer) [11].

Table 2-2: The crystal structure involved in CO<sub>2</sub> capture of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>.

ICSD code	Compound	Motifs	Space group	Crystal systems
2325 [12]	KHCO <sub>3</sub>	ring	P12 <sub>1</sub> /a1	monoclinic
401721 [13]	K4(HCO3)2CO3·1.5H2O	trimer	Pbam	orthorhombic
18183 [14]	NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	chain	P12 <sub>1</sub> /c1	monoclinic
68711 [15]	Na5(HCO3)3CO3	tetramer	P-1	triclinic
52535 [16]	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>		P6 <sub>3</sub> /mmc	hexagonal
281362 [17]	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>		C12/m1	monoclinic

According to the interactions between bicarbonate-carbonate or bicarbonate, the main crystal structures involved in CO<sub>2</sub> capture of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> are summarized in Table 2-2. HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> group in NaHCO<sub>3</sub> forms an infinite chain structure through O···H···O hydrogen bonds (chain). In contrast, dimers ((HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)<sub>2</sub>) formed by HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> group in

KHCO<sub>3</sub> connect each other through double hydrogen bond (ring). Both HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> group and CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> group form trimer and tetramer, respectively, in K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O and Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> through O····H···O hydrogen bonds. In this study, the crystals will be characterized with various methods.

#### 2.3 Characterization methods

#### 2.3.1 Thermogravimetric-differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA)

Thermogravimetry (TG) is an important laboratory method used for material characterization, which is used as a technique to characterize materials used in various environmental, food, pharmaceutical, and petrochemical applications [18].

Thermogravimetric analysis measures the amount of the mass change of a sample by monitoring as a function of temperature or time in an atmosphere of Nitrogen, Helium, Argon, Oxygen, other gas or in vacuum. A TG instrument mainly consists of a sample pan, a precision balance and a furnace. The sample pan is supported by the precision balance and resides in a furnace when the samples need to be heated or cooled during the experiment [19].

According to the orientation of supported precision balance, TG instruments can be divided into two general types such as vertical and horizontal balance. Vertical balance instruments only have a sample pan hanging from the balance or located above the balance on a sample stem in order to compensate for buoyancy effects due to the variation in the density of the purge gas with temperature. In contrast, horizontal balance instruments generally have two pans (sample and reference) and can perform differential thermal analysis (DTA) measurements without the buoyancy effects [20]. DTA is used to record the temperature difference between the reference pan and sample pan. During TG-DTA measurements, qualitative and quantitative analysis can be performed by studying various reactions and interactions between a sample and special atmosphere. Also reaction mechanisms can be examined and analyzed on the base of

TG-DTA curves variation. Consequently, in the dissertation, the capacity, exothermic properties and kinetics of  $CO_2$  capture in  $K_2CO_3$  and  $Na_2CO_3$  are obtained by TG-DTA measurements.

#### 2.3.2 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

X-rays are electromagnetic radiation of wavelength about 1 Å, which is about the same size as an atom. If we can probe the atomic distances, this can exactly provide information about structures that we need. Generally, X-rays are produced by bombarding a metal target (Cu or Mo) with a beam of electrons accelerated by a high voltage, which emitted from a heated filament (tungsten). If the incoming electrons are energetic enough, the beam of electrons can ionize electrons from the K shell (1s) of the target atom leading to resultant vacancies. To attain a lower energetic state, Atom will fill these vacancies by electrons dropping down from the L (2P) or M (3p) shell. The difference between the electron energies of the K shell and L or M shell is emitted in form of characteristic x-rays during this process. In general, two lines (K $\alpha$ 1 and K $\alpha$ 2) of different energies in K $\alpha$  are produced between K shell and L shell while a line (K $\beta$ ) is produced between K shell and M shell [21].

The interactions of X-rays with matter are mainly absorption, scattering. Particularly, as one of X-rays scattering phenomenon, X-ray diffraction is that the atomic planes of a matter cause an incident beam of X-rays to interfere with one another as they leave the crystal [22]. X-ray diffraction can be explained by the Bragg's law.

$$2d\sin\theta = n\,\lambda\tag{2-8}$$

Here, d is the distance between atomic layers in a crystal;  $\theta$  is angle of incidence of reflect X-ray beam;  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the incident X-ray beam; n is an integer.

X-ray diffraction is one of the most important techniques to characterize the crystallographic structure and crystallite size. Each crystalline solid has its unique characteristic X-ray powder pattern. Once the material has been identified, X-ray

crystallography may be used to determine its structure [21]. According to XRD pattern to confirm the crystal structure, the crystallite size can be quantified using the Scherrer equation based on the XRD data. In this study, the XRD technique is used to investigate the crystal structural changes and crystallite size with the occlusion reaction time of  $K_2CO_3$  and  $Na_2CO_3$  under different CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and moist conditions.

#### 2.3.3 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is one of electron microscopes that use accelerated electrons as a source of illumination to obtain information about crystalline structure, chemical composition and morphology such as the surface features, shape and size [23]. In an SEM, the high energy carried by accelerated electrons is dissipated as a variety of signals produced by electron-sample interactions when the incident electrons are decelerated in specimen. These signals include secondary electrons, backscattered electrons, diffracted backscattered electrons, photons, visible light and heat [24]. Especially, secondary electrons produce the SEM image for showing morphology and topography while backscattered electrons are most valuable for illustrating contrasts in composition in multiphase specimen. SEM considered as "non-destructive" method visualizes the surface structure of the specimen, providing a 3-D impression. On the other hand, SEM analysis can be used to analyze the specimen repeatedly because x-rays generated in a SEM do not lead to volume loss of specimen [24]. Therefore, in the study, SEM method is used to examine the morphology variation with reaction time of  $K_2CO_3$  and  $Na_2CO_3$  under different  $CO_2$  concentrations and moist conditions.

#### References

- [1] B. Kasemo. Biological surface science. *Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci.*, **1998**, 3, 451–459.
- [2] R. A. Van Santen, P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, J. A. Moulijn, B. A. Averill. Catalysis an Integrated Approach Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis, *Elsevier*, Amsterdam, **1999**.
- [3] F. Rouquerol, J. Rouquerol, K. Sing. Adsorption by Powders and Porous Solid, *Academic press*, London, **1999**.
- [4] A. Geol. Surface Chemistry, Discovery Publishing House Press, New Delhi, 2006.
- [5] H. Noguchi, A. Kondo, D. Noguchi, D. Y. Kim, T. Ohba, C. M. Yang, H. Kanoh, K. Kaneko. *J. Chem. ENG. Japan*, 2007, 40, 1159–1165.
- [6] S. J. Gregg, K. S. W. Sing. Adsorption, Surface Area and Porosity, *Academic Press*, London, 1991.
- [7] K. S. W. Sing, D. H. Everett, R. A. W. Haul, L. Moscou, R. A. Pierotti, J. Rouquerol,
- T. Siemieniewska. Pure Appl. Chem., 1985, 57, 603-619.
- [8] I. Langmuir. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1918, 40, 1361–1403.
- [9] S. Brunauer, P. H. Emmett, E. Teller. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1938, 60, 309-319.
- [10] K. Kaneko, R. F. Cracknell, D. Nicholson. Langmuir, 1994, 10, 4606–4609.
- [11] D. Braga, E. D. Oria, F. Grepioni, F. Mota, J. J. Novoa, C. Rovira. *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2002**, 8, 1173–1180.
- [12] J.O. Thomas, R. Tellgren, I. Olovsson. Acta Crystallogr. B, 1974, 30, 2540–2549.
- [13] V. Cirpus, A. Adam, Z. Anorg. Allgem. Chem., 1995, 621, 1197–1204.
- [14] B. D. Sharma. Acta Crystallogr., 1965, 18, 818–820.
- [15] N. G. Fernandes, R. Tellgren. Acta Crystallogr., 1990, 46, 466–474.
- [16] C. Zhao, X. Chen, C. Zhao. Chemosphere, 2009, 75, 1401-1404.
- [17] Y. Liang. M.S. Dissertation, LSU, Baton Rouge, LA, 2003.

[18]http://www.perkinelmer.com/cmsresources/images/44-74556gde\_tgabeginnersguide .pdf

[19] http://www.andersonmaterials.com/tga.html

[20]http://www.uzaktanegitimplatformu.com/UEP/uep\_ylisans/ey2/ey2\_download/Practice%20Gui de\_Section%202\_TGA.pdf

[21] V. Hauk. Structural and Residual Stress Analysis by Nondestructive Methods, *Elsevier*, **1997**.

[22] I.C. Noyan, J. B. Cohen. Residual stress measurement by diffraction and interpretation, *Springer-Verlag*, New York, **1987**.

[23] P. J. Goodhew, J.Humphreys, R. Beanland. Electron microscopy and analysis, *Taylor and Francis*, New York, **2001**.

[24] J. Goldstein. Scanning electron microscopy and x-ray microanalysis, *Kluwer* Adacemic/Plenum Pulbishers, **2003**.

## Chapter 3: Fundamentals in CO<sub>2</sub> Sorptivity of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>

#### **3.1 Introduction**

As the rapid increase in  $CO_2$  emissions from human activities is considered to be the main cause of global warming, reduction of  $CO_2$  emissions has become an urgent global issue. Carbon capture and storage (CCS) can provide an immediate solution by stabilizing or reducing the atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration via development of  $CO_2$  sequestration methods and accompanying renewable energy technologies [1–5]. The main focus of this field has been placed on amine-, Zeolite13X-, and activated-carbon-based  $CO_2$  capture [6–8]. However, these technologies still face challenges, such as high energy consumption during sorbent regeneration, amine loss during regeneration, and low amine utilization efficiency. Furthermore, Zeolite13X- and activated-carbon-based  $CO_2$  sorbents under ambient conditions have  $CO_2$  efficiency issues because of interference between adsorbed  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  as well as high cost for gas removal. Furthermore, these sorbents are not appropriate for  $CO_2$  capture applications under moist conditions.

Feasible CO<sub>2</sub> capture sorbents must provide significant enhancement in the capture or sorption rate, saturated sorption amount, and stability for long-term CO<sub>2</sub> capture. Zhao et al. discovered that  $K_2CO_3$  derived from KHCO<sub>3</sub> showed excellent CO<sub>2</sub> capture capacity. This can be used to solve the problem of an overall low CO<sub>2</sub> sorption rate [9]. The practical application of  $K_2CO_3$  as a CO<sub>2</sub> sorbent has already been demonstrated on a pilot scale in an actual coal-fired power plant [10, 11]. Conversely,  $K_2CO_3$  as a CO<sub>2</sub> sorbent under moist conditions at atmospheric pressure has been studied extensively as the following reaction [9, 12–21]:

$$K_2CO_3(s) + CO_2(g) + H_2O(g) \leq 2 \text{ KHCO}_3(s)$$
 (3-1)

where the forward, exothermic reaction (termed "bicarbonate formation") is the formation of KHCO<sub>3</sub> (the theoretical CO<sub>2</sub> capture amount of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is 7.24 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>), while the reverse, endothermic reaction is the decomposition of KHCO<sub>3</sub>. A low temperature increases the CO<sub>2</sub> sorption amount of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> according to the forward reaction of eq (3-1); thus, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> may be used at room temperature for a highly saturated sorption value. Moreover, Chioyama et al. found that the occlusion amount (6.48 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>, 285 mg·g<sup>-1</sup>) at 0.1 MPa and 313 K was superior to that at a higher temperature and showed that K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> could be used at room temperature [22]. Furthermore, the favorable CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion performance of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> supported on activated carbon at a low temperature has also been demonstrated by enhancing the surface area [23]. Consequently, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is especially promising as a CO<sub>2</sub> sorbent material for moist conditions at room temperature.

However, most previous studies regarding CO<sub>2</sub> capture of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> were carried out at relatively high temperatures (>333 K) under atmospheric pressure. In numerous studies on the CO<sub>2</sub> sorption of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under moist conditions, CO<sub>2</sub> capture did not always proceed directly via reaction (3-1). Hayashi et al. reported significantly improved CO<sub>2</sub> capture capacity using K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O as the active species under flue gas conditions of 13.8% CO<sub>2</sub> with 10% H<sub>2</sub>O in helium at 373 K [18]. Shigemoto et al. proposed that K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> supported on activated carbon could be converted to KHCO<sub>3</sub> through the reaction of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O with CO<sub>2</sub> under moist flue gas (10 % H<sub>2</sub>O, 11.8 % CO<sub>2</sub>) at 363 K. The relevant reactions are [19]:

$$K_2CO_3(s) + 1.5H_2O(g) \neq K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O(s)$$
 (3-2)

$$K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O(s) + CO_2(g) \neq KHCO_3(s) + 0.5H_2O(g)$$
 (3-3)

Bicarbonate formation of  $K_2CO_3$  on  $Al_2O_3$  was achieved via reactions (3-2) and (3-3) rather than reaction (3-1), as reported by Seo et al., [20], and performed under a 10

vol% CO<sub>2</sub>, 12.2 vol% H<sub>2</sub>O, and 77.8 vol% N<sub>2</sub> [20] atmosphere within a temperature range of 323–343 K. In addition, Lee et al. [21] presented similar bicarbonate formation of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> under a 1 vol% CO<sub>2</sub>, 9 vol% H<sub>2</sub>O, and 90 vol% N<sub>2</sub> [21] atmosphere at above 323 K. However, Zhao et al. suggested that the formation of K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O may also play an important role in bicarbonate formation of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and would proceed via reactions (3-4) and (3-5) instead of reaction (3-1) in an atmosphere of 15 mol % CO<sub>2</sub>, 15 mol % H<sub>2</sub>O, and 70 mol% N<sub>2</sub> at 333 K [25]:

$$2K_{2}CO_{3}(s) + 2.5H_{2}O(g) + CO_{2}(g) \neq K_{4}H_{2}(CO_{3})_{3} \cdot 1.5H_{2}O(s)$$
(3-4)

$$K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O(s) + CO_2(g) \neq 4KHCO_3 + 0.5H_2O(g)$$
 (3-5)

These reactions were shown to proceed differently dependent on the experimental conditions, and the different kinetics of  $CO_2$  sorption reactions of  $K_2CO_3$  were demonstrated in these works based on a mechanistic interpretation. However, no detailed report on temperature and crystal structure changes with reaction time has been conducted at low temperatures. The morphologies of  $K_2CO_3$  particles also change as the reactions advance, as the crystal growth depends on the reaction conditions. This information is also important for understanding the kinetic behavior of  $K_2CO_3$  particles. However, details of the morphological variations with reaction time have not been reported; thus, the  $CO_2$  capture mechanism under various  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  conditions is currently unclear.

In this chapter,  $CO_2$  sorptivity of  $K_2CO_3$  under various temperatures,  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  concentrations were examined by thermogravimetric-differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA) and accompanying structural changes with reaction time were investigated using the X-ray diffraction (XRD) method under vacuum. In addition, morphological variations with reaction time were observed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). On the basis of the crystal structure and kinetic analysis of bicarbonate formation of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, the dependence of CO<sub>2</sub> capture of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> on CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and humidity

was explained in details.  $CO_2$  sorptivity of  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  was also investigated with XRD and SEM. Three relevant reactions were proposed as fundamental pathways in the KHCO<sub>3</sub> formation from  $K_2CO_3$  at low temperatures.

#### **3.2 Experimentals**

#### 3.2.1 Sample preparation

Analytical-reagent-grade KHCO<sub>3</sub> (99.5 % chemical purity, Wako Chemical Co., Ltd.) was used throughout the decomposition experiments of KHCO<sub>3</sub> and bicarbonate formation measurements of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The decomposition of KHCO<sub>3</sub> was processed with TG–DTA (Shimadzu, DTG–60AH). KHCO<sub>3</sub> (44–50 mg) was heated at 5 K·min<sup>-1</sup> from 298 to 473 K in an atmosphere of pure N<sub>2</sub> at 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> to form K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and held at these conditions for 5 min to complete the decomposition. Subsequently, the temperature was lowered to 313 K at -5 K·min<sup>-1</sup> and maintained for 30 min under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere.

After the decomposition of KHCO<sub>3</sub>, the temperature was lowed from 473 to 383 K at  $-5 \text{ K} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$  and kept for 20 min under an N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Subsequently, the formation of K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O were performed with TG-DTA in the composition of CO<sub>2</sub> and water vapor for 120 min at 383 K and then in flowing N<sub>2</sub> at above temperature for 25min. Then the temperature was lowed again to 313 K at  $-5 \text{ K} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$  and kept for 12 min under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. The samples were saved in the vacuum oven at 303 K.

#### 3.2.2 Bicarbonate formation measurements

The KHCO<sub>3</sub> samples (30–50 mg) mounted in a platinum pan were set in an atmosphere of pure nitrogen at 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·minute<sup>-1</sup> and heated at 5 K·min<sup>-1</sup> from room temperature to 473 K in order to form K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, in accordance with the reverse reaction (3-1). The obtained samples were processed using a gas composition of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O (v/v of 98.2:1.8) at a flow rate of 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> at temperatures between 313 and 383 K.

Measurement of the  $K_2CO_3$  bicarbonate formation with varying reaction duration times was performed with the TG–DTA apparatus with various compositions of  $CO_2$  and

 $N_2$  containing saturated water vapor at 313 K.  $CO_2$  and  $N_2$  were obtained from high-purity gas cylinders equipped with mass flow controllers to control the flow rate.  $H_2O$  vapor was produced by flowing  $N_2$  and  $CO_2$  into distilled water in a bubbler for more than 5 h to reach saturation, with  $N_2$  as the balance gas.

The bicarbonate formation of  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  were performed with TG-DTA with different composition of  $CO_2$  and  $N_2$  containing saturated water vapor or under pure  $CO_2$  for 120 min at 313 K.

On the other hand, measurements of the bicarbonate formation of  $K_2CO_3$  with varying reaction duration were performed with the TG-DTA apparatus under different H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations at the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>. Here H<sub>2</sub>O concentration was controlled by flowing N<sub>2</sub> into distilled water in a bubbler and another N<sub>2</sub> used as dry gas in a bubbler.

During above various types of bicarbonate formation measurements, the mixed CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, and N<sub>2</sub> gas was then introduced into a moisture detector with a thermometer and hygrometer at 313 K. The relative humidity (RH) of the mixed gas was measured with the hygrometer. The total gas flow rate was maintained at 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> for all experiments.

#### 3.2.3 Characterization

The crystal structures of the products after reaction times of 1, 5, 20, 40, 60, and 120 min were measured by XRD (MAC Science, M03XHF) under vacuum to avoid occlusion of CO<sub>2</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O from the ambient atmosphere. The powder XRD patterns were obtained in the 2 $\theta$  range of 25 to 45° using Cu K $\alpha$  radiation (40 kV, 25 mA, and  $\lambda$  = 0.15406 nm) at room temperature. The morphology of the particles before and after CO<sub>2</sub> capture for the different reaction times was observed by using scanning electron microscopy (SEM; JEOL, JSM–6510A) after coating the samples with metallic osmium.

#### 3.3 Results and discussion

3.3.1 CO<sub>2</sub> capture of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at different temperatures
KHCO<sub>3</sub> decomposed to K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O according to the reverse reaction of reaction (3-1). This was confirmed by a weight decrease (between 20 and 35 minutes from the initiation of reaction) upon heating to 473 K, as shown in Figure 3-1. The sample weight eventually decreased to 69.1% ( $\pm$ 0.1%) following the heat treatment. Because the theoretical value based on reaction (3-1) is 69.0%, the decomposition proceeded completely. After the decomposition, a mixture of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O was supplied to K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at different temperatures. The increase in sample weight depended on temperature, as shown in Figure 3-1. This could be attributed to the bicarbonate formation of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> with CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. The change increased more rapidly at higher temperatures because bicarbonate formation requires a minimum activation energy for the chemical reaction to occur. The initial formation rate is much faster at 383 K than at lower temperatures.



**Figure 3-1:** Weight change by the decomposition of  $KHCO_3$  (<40 min) at 473 K and the bicarbonate formation of  $K_2CO_3$  with  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  (>90 min) at different temperatures: a) 313 K, b) 323 K, c) 333 K, d) 343 K, e) 383 K.

However, the saturated occlusion amount at 383 K was lower than half of the theoretical value of 7.24 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>. By contrast, the initial formation rate is slower at 313 K, but the saturated occlusion amount was 6.48 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>, which is 89.3% of the theoretical amount (i.e. 7.24 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>). The CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion amount of 6.48 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>

(285 mg·g<sup>-1</sup>) is exceedingly high at 0.1 MPa and 313 K under a moist atmosphere in comparison with the CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on activated carbon fibres by physical adsorption (120–200 mg·g<sup>-1</sup> at 273 K) [24]. Thus, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is a promising material for CO<sub>2</sub> sorption under ambient condition. The CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion by K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under moist conditions was examined at relatively high temperatures (i.e. >333 K) in most of the previous reports, but K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> should be used at room temperature to achieve the highest saturated occlusion amount.

Because the temperature dependence of the saturated occlusion amount is not yet understood, XRD patterns were obtained for the samples treated at different temperatures to examine the structural changes of  $K_2CO_3$  following CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion. The patterns of the CO<sub>2</sub>–occluded samples at different temperatures are shown in Figure 3-2. All peaks of the sample formed at 383 K were assigned to the K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O phase. Thus, no formation of KHCO<sub>3</sub> was observed at 383 K. The XRD patterns showed peaks consistent with two phases, that is, KHCO<sub>3</sub> and K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O, at temperatures from 313 to 343 K. The peaks attributed to the KHCO<sub>3</sub> phase appeared at 343 K, and the peak intensity increased with decreasing temperature, but the peaks of K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O remained at 323 and 313 K. There was also the formation of KHCO<sub>3</sub> from K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O and the reaction continued through reactions (3-4) and (3-5) [16]. These two reactions, however, were not intensively considered for the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>.



Figure 3-2: XRD patterns of CO<sub>2</sub> occluded samples at different temperatures: a) 313 K,
b) 323 K, c) 333 K, d) 343 K, e) 383 K. ▼: KHCO<sub>3</sub>, ◇: K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O

The XRD results showed that only reaction (3-4) proceeded at 383 K, whereas reaction (3-5) proceeded at rather lower temperatures. Figures 3-3 (a and b) show the derivative thermogravimetric (DTG) curves and DTA curves, respectively, obtained at 313, 323, 333 and 343 K, which indicate exothermic reactions. The DTG curves were obtained by differentiating the weight change in Figure 1 over time. Evidently, both curves show a similar shape: (i) The curves consist of two components. (ii) The first peak intensity increases with the increase in temperature, whereas the second peak intensity decreases with temperature increase. Thus, the bicarbonate formation of  $K_2CO_3$  likely involves a double-step reaction.



**Figure 3-3:** DTG (upper) and DTA curves (lower) over time at different temperatures: a) 313 K, b) 323 K, c) 333 K, d) 343 K.

The XRD patterns of pure KHCO<sub>3</sub> and  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  phases are shown at the bottom of Figure 3-4, where peak intensities for each phase are kept as relative ratios. The experimental patterns obtained at 323 K (Figure 3-2b) and 313 K (Figure 3-2a) using the peak patterns of these pure phases gave well-fitted patterns, which are shown in Figures 3-4 (a) and (b), respectively. This indicates that these crystals only consist of these two phases.



**Figure 3-4:** Peak fitting of XRD peaks of pure phases to the experimental results obtained at 323 K (a) and 313 K (b). Black: experimental pattern; Red: fitted pattern obtained by using a pattern of pure KHCO<sub>3</sub> (blue) and pure  $K_4H_2(CO_3) \cdot 1.5H_2O$  (orange).

The peak areas were obtained for the two phases by the peak fitting. The calculation of the peak area for each peak provided a ratio of the peak area of KHCO<sub>3</sub> to the total peak area of KHCO<sub>3</sub> and K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O, I<sub>KHCO<sub>3</sub></sub>/(I<sub>KHCO<sub>3</sub></sub> + I<sub>K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O}), as shown in Figure 3-5. It was found that the ratio of the KHCO<sub>3</sub> phase increased with decreasing temperature. The KHCO<sub>3</sub> phase was not observed at 383 K, and it was concluded that reaction (3-5) does not proceed at 383 K, whereas reaction (3-4) does. The two exothermic peaks were observed at time of about 30 min and 100 min, respectively, in the DTA curves of Figure 3(b). Accordingly, reactions (3-4) and (3-5) should be unfavourable at higher temperatures according to Le Châtelier's principle. At temperatures from 313 to 383 K, reaction (3-4) can proceed to a certain extent. However, reaction (3-5) probably shows a stronger temperature dependence, and little formation of KHCO<sub>3</sub> proceeds at 383 K or higher. This should be considered while developing an efficient system for CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under moist conditions.</sub>



**Figure 3-5:** Temperature dependence of the peak area ratio of KHCO<sub>3</sub> to the total peak area of KHCO<sub>3</sub> and  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$ .

In conclusion, the TG-DTA and X-ray powder diffraction analyses show that the bicarbonate formation of  $K_2CO_3$  with  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  involves two exothermic reactions. The first step proceeds relatively easily, whereas the second step depends on the temperature. At higher temperatures, the second step does not readily proceed. This is because the second step should be thermodynamically controlled based on Le Châtelier's principle. These results also provide useful information for the practical use of  $K_2CO_3$  in CCS technology.

## 3.3.2 CO<sub>2</sub> capture of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under various CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations

To examine the effect of the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on the sorptivity of  $K_2CO_3$ , TG data for the bicarbonate formation of  $K_2CO_3$  were obtained under various partial pressures of CO<sub>2</sub>, as shown for reaction times of 0–120 min in Figure 3-6.



**Figure 3-6:** TG curves (a) for bicarbonate formation under various  $CO_2$  flow rates (cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>), which are indicated with numbers, with N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O and magnified for 0–30 min (b).

A sufficiently humid atmosphere (RH of 68% at 313 K) was supplied to K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> with a mixed gas of CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> containing saturated water vapor. When the flow rate of CO<sub>2</sub> was only 1 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> + 99 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> N<sub>2</sub> [1%(v/v) CO<sub>2</sub>], the sorption amount was 6.24 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>, which is 86.2% of the theoretical amount of 7.24 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>. As the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate increased from 1 to 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, the sorption amount of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> increased from 6.24 to 6.85 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>. According to reaction (3-1), a high CO<sub>2</sub> concentration leads to an equilibrium shift toward bicarbonate formation of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The sorption amount was 6.92 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>, when the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate was 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, which is 95.6% of the theoretical value. In comparison to the CO<sub>2</sub> sorption amount at a CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, the experimental results indicated that increasing the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration resulted in a greater conversion of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> to KHCO<sub>3</sub> in the presence of saturated water vapor at 313 K. Figure 3-7 shows the XRD patterns after the completed occlusion (120 min marked in Figure 3-6) at various CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates. It is evident that the peaks of both K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O and KHCO<sub>3</sub> phases were present in all samples, while the peaks of the K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O phase appeared to decrease with increasing CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, which were consistent with the TGA data.



**Figure 3-7:** XRD patterns after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at various CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates (cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>), which are indicated with numbers.  $\mathbf{\nabla}$ : KHCO<sub>3</sub>;  $\diamond$ : K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O.

However, bicarbonate formation was slow at CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates of 20–100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>. In contrast, bicarbonate formation at CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates of 5 and 10 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> was much faster than other CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates, as seen in Figure 1b. It was shown that the layer of transformed KHCO<sub>3</sub> on the interface of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> particles inhibited the CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O transfer process because of increasing surface resistance [25]. On the other hand, the initial sorption rate was limited at CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates above 20 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, as seen in Figure 3-6b. It was previously reported that the formation of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O as an active species could enhance the CO<sub>2</sub> capture capacity, with its formation being the limiting step [18, 19]. However, Zhao et al. discovered that the initial product after the reaction of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> with CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O was not K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O but rather K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O. The bicarbonate formation of the initial two products, their transformation processes, and the morphological variation on the surface of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> particles may influence the reaction rate of bicarbonate formation under different CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates. Investigation of the transformation process of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and the associated changes in temperature during

bicarbonate formation is of the utmost importance for a better understanding of the CO<sub>2</sub> sorptivity kinetics of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>.

Because the transformation process of  $K_2CO_3$  to bicarbonate leads to a temperature change of the reaction system, the kinetic properties can be tracked by monitoring the temperature during the transformation under various  $CO_2$  concentrations. Figure 3-8 shows the temperature curves (a), DTA curves (b), and derivative thermogravimetric (DTG) curves (c; obtained by differentiating the weight change in Figure 3-6 over time) for different  $CO_2$  concentrations. Both DTA and DTG curves showed a striking similarity, exhibiting a series of peaks at the initial and final stages (close to equilibrium) corresponding to exothermic reactions of initial product formation from  $K_2CO_3$  and initial product transformation to KHCO<sub>3</sub>, respectively. In particular, temperature variation in the initial stage displayed remarkable similarity to both DTA and DTG curves at this stage, as shown in panels d–f of Figure 3-8, respectively.

There was a rapid increase in the temperature in the initial stage of the reaction (reaction time of < 5 min), as seen in Figure 3-8d. The maximum temperature of this initial stage increased with the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate from 1 to 10 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, but decreased with a higher CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate. After the initial increase in the temperature, the temperature decreased moderately in all curves (middle stage). A second abrupt increase was observed for CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates between 20 and 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> when the CO<sub>2</sub> sorption was near equilibrium, arguably because of a successive exothermic reaction, although no marked peak was observed for CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates of 1 and 5 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>. This second peak had an analogous peak in the DTA and DTG curves, as shown in panels b and c of Figure 3-8. The temperature at this stage (final stage) decreased upon the increase of the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate from 20 to 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>. These results indicate that both the overall reaction and the initial process of bicarbonate formation under a high CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate (above 20 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>) proceed slowly, which can be understood together with analysis of the reaction mechanism of the system, as discussed below.



**Figure 3-8:** Temperature change (a), DTA (b), and DTG (c) curves at various  $CO_2$  flow rates (cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>) at 313 K, which are indicated with numbers. These panels are magnified for reaction times of 0–30 min in panels d, e, and f, respectively.

The different pathways of bicarbonate formation of  $K_2CO_3$  were examined by measuring the XRD patterns of  $CO_2$ -occluded samples of  $K_2CO_3$  in the presence of

water vapor over various reaction times. There have been few reports related to the initial transformation process of  $K_2CO_3$ , and no detailed mechanisms have been reported thus far. Lee et al. reported that  $K_2CO_3$  was transformed to  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  upon treatment with water vapor, followed by further transformation from  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  to  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  by drying in N<sub>2</sub> [26, 27]. It was also claimed that  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  was readily converted to  $K_2CO_3$  at a low temperature under a slightly moist conditions, supported by the heat released from the exothermic reaction [26, 27].

However, our results, shown in Figure 3-9, indicate that the initial product of CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is not a simple transformation from K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> to K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O and K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O. For the reaction occurring over 1 min, the XRD patterns (Figure 3-9a) showed the presence of two phases, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O and K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O phases, for all CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates. K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was completely converted to these two phases under humid conditions (RH of 68% at 313 ± 3 K). Thus, it can be concluded that the temperature changes are a result of the initial stage and the subsequent transformation processes.

Subsequently, when the reaction proceeded beyond 5 min, the peak intensity of  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  and  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  in the XRD pattern significantly increased (Figure 3-9b). Upon increasing the reaction time to 20 min, the dominant peaks were found to originate from the  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  phase. However, the peaks of KHCO<sub>3</sub> increased only slightly with increasing the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. Furthermore, in compassion to the patterns obtained for the 5 min reaction, the XRD patterns after an occlusion reaction for 20 min showed that the peaks of  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  increased considerably in intensity, while those of the  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  phase nearly disappeared. These changes indicate that  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  was converted to  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  rather than KHCO<sub>3</sub>. Accordingly, it can be concluded that  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  is the initial product in the bicarbonate formation process of  $K_2CO_3$ .



**Figure 3-9:** XRD patterns after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at various CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates  $(cm^3 \cdot min^{-1})$ , which are indicated with numbers, with reaction time: (a) 1 min, (b) 5 min, (c) 20 min, (d) 40 min, and (e) 60 min.  $\mathbf{\nabla}$ : KHCO<sub>3</sub>;  $\diamond$ : K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O;  $\blacklozenge$ : K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O.

Next, when the reaction time was extended to 40 min, the peak intensity of the KHCO<sub>3</sub> phase increased significantly, while the  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  phase was diminished (Figure 3-9d). This result confirmed that  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  was converted to KHCO<sub>3</sub> through reaction (3-5). At a low CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate (1 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>), it was observed that the  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  phase still remained. This is a result of the unhindered transformation of  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  from  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  based on the reversibility of the reaction in a dilute CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere and saturated humidity at a low temperature. Conversely, at 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, the presence of the  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  phase also demonstrated the slow rate of CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of  $K_2CO_3$  under such a high CO<sub>2</sub> flow.

Upon increasing the reaction time to 60 min, Figure 3-9e shows peaks consisting of  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  and  $KHCO_3$  phase contributions under a  $CO_2$  flow rate of 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, while  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  and  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  phases were formed under a flow rate of only 1 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>. The peaks of the  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  phase were found to decrease at the flow rate of 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>. These results were consistent with those of TGA and the final crystal structure observed under various  $CO_2$  concentrations.

On the basis of the discussed transformation processes and kinetics of  $CO_2$  sorptivity of  $K_2CO_3$  under various  $CO_2$  concentrations and in the presence of saturated water vapor, we can summarize the bicarbonate formation pathways of  $K_2CO_3$  as follows instead of reaction (1):

$$K_2CO_3(s) + 1.5H_2O(g) \neq K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O(s)$$
 (3-6)

$$2K_{2}CO_{3} \cdot 1.5H_{2}O(s) + CO_{2}(g) \neq K_{4}H_{2}(CO_{3})_{3} \cdot 1.5H_{2}O(s) + 0.5H_{2}O(g)$$
(3-7)

$$K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O(s) + CO_2(g) \neq 4KHCO_3 + 0.5H_2O(g)$$
 (3-8)

The crystallite size of  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$ ,  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$ , and KHCO<sub>3</sub> for each reaction time was estimated from the XRD patterns in panels a–d of Figure 3-9. The  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  and  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  phases were found to grow more slowly with reaction time for high CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate (30–100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>) than for low CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate

 $(1-5 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{min}^{-1})$ , as shown in panels a and b of Figure 3-10. Furthermore, it was shown visually that the transformation from K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O to KHCO<sub>3</sub> proceeded more slowly at high CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates (30–100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>) than that for low CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate (5 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>), as shown for 20–40 min in Figure 3-10c. Thus, it can be confirmed that both the initial process and overall reaction of bicarbonate formation under high CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate (above 20 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>) proceed more slowly. Both low temperatures and high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are favorable for an equilibrium shift toward bicarbonate formation, but the initial and final exothermic reaction properties and the CO<sub>2</sub> capture rate of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under different CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations cannot be obtained from XRD data alone, as discussed below.



**Figure 3-10:** Crystallite size of  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  (a),  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  (b) and KHCO<sub>3</sub> (c) at various CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates over reaction time obtained from XRD patterns in panels a–d of Figure 3-9 by using software Jade according to the Scherrer equation. The range of Bragg angle: 29–35 degree. CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate: black (1 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>), red (5 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>), azure (30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>), and violet (100 cm<sup>3</sup>·mil<sup>-1</sup>).

To gain an understanding of these properties, the morphological change of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>

was examined via SEM before and after  $CO_2$  occlusion for various reaction times. The exemplary images for 5, 30, and 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> atmospheres are shown in Figures 3-11, 3-12, and 3-13, respectively.

As seen in Figure 3-11a, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> particles, which were formed by the decomposition of KHCO<sub>3</sub> under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, had a macroporous surface. For the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of  $5 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$  (Figure 3-11b), which is milder for the bicarbonate formation than the 100 cm<sup>3</sup> · min<sup>-1</sup> flow rate (panels a and d of Figure 3-8), the diameter of the macropores on the surface slightly decreased after the initial 5 min. After 20 min, the pores on the surface nearly disappeared (Figure 3-11c), corresponding to products consisting of major K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O and minor KHCO<sub>3</sub> (Figure 3-9c). Large granules of KHCO<sub>3</sub> developed on the external surface (Figure 3-11d) along with bicarbonate formation of K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O reacting with CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O for 20–120 min (Figures 3-9d and 3-7). During this transformation period, CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion reached a plateau in approximately 40 min (Figure 3-6), with no second peak developing in the DTG and DTA curves (Figure 3-8).





**Figure 3-11:** SEM images of  $K_2CO_3$  before and after  $CO_2$  occlusion at a  $CO_2$  flow rate of 5 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> (a–d) (a: 0 min, b: 5 min, c: 20 min, and d: 120 min).



**Figure 3-12:** SEM images after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion by  $K_2CO_3$  at a CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> (a–d) (a: 5min, b: 20 min, c: 40 min, d: 120 min).

At a CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, as shown in Figure 3-12, the macropores on the surface significantly decreased after the initial 5 min and nearly disappeared to form the wrinkled surface after 20 min. The initial granule formation proceeded on the surface between 20 and 40 min. Finally, smaller granules developed on the surface after 120 min, compared to that for 5 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>. These observations illustrated the development process of granules on the surface.

At a CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, as shown in Figure 3-13, the wrinkled surface was formed during the initial 5 min. This led to restricted formation of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O and K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O. The initial granule growth on the surface, which was accompanied by disappearance of the pores at 20 min (Figure 3-13f), caused the slow transformation process of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O and K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O between 5 and 20 min (panels b and c of Figure 3-9). During this transformation period, the surface resistance continued to be enhanced because of the wrinkled surface formation covering the initial product particles. As a result, we observed that the  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  phase remained at 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> and the formation of KHCO<sub>3</sub> from K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O was limited already at 40 min (Figure 3-9d). Consequently, there was a faster decline in the temperature, DTA, and DTG curves between 20 and 40 min, as seen in Figure 3, indicating that the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate at this CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate was slower than that for other CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates (with the exception of  $1 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ ). The wrinkled surface must be broken to develop larger granules along with bicarbonate formation of K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O between 40 and 60 min so that the CO<sub>2</sub> sorption rate and temperature can decrease according to the DTG and DTA curves in Figure 3-8. Considering the morphology and XRD patterns at 120 min for a CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, we can see that the smaller granules on the external surface developed with the bicarbonate formation of K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O from 60 to 120 min, as seen in Figures 4e and 2. Because smaller granules promote the chemisorption and gas diffusion processes [28, 29], the acceleration of reaction (3-8) between 60 and 80 min (final stage) was found to cause the observed sharp peaks in the DTA and DTG curves in Figure 3-8.



**Figure 3-13:** SEM images of  $K_2CO_3$  after  $CO_2$  occlusion at a  $CO_2$  flow rate of 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> (e–g) (e: 5 min, f: 20 min, and g: 120 min).

To determine why the second peak appears for high CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates (20–100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>) during the final stage in Figure 3-8, we compared the morphology at 120 min for a CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> to that for a CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>. From this, it was found that smaller granules of KHCO<sub>3</sub> developed on the surface at 120 min for the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates of 30 and 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> with the bicarbonate formation of K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O. Furthermore, the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate and accompanying temperature showed a transient and sharp increase during the final stage. In contrast, the morphology in the initial stage of CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion played a curial role in the overall reaction rate, which can account for the decline in temperature variation and the

intensity of the peaks in the DTA and DTG curves, as shown in panels d–f of Figure 3-8, upon increasing the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate, with the exception of 1 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>.

The analysis of variations in XRD patterns with reaction time of the bicarbonate formation process of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under various CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, illustrates that the reaction of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> with CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O proceeds via three reactions. The results of changes in exothermic properties, temperature, and CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate show that the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion process of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> also goes through three stages. The first product is  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$ , which can be converted to  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  in the initial stage of CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion. The formation of KHCO<sub>3</sub> from K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O proceeds slowly during the final stage, although there is a second increase in the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate and temperature under high CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates (20–100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>). The analysis of morphology variation with reaction time demonstrates that the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is inhibited at high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and the formation of KHCO<sub>3</sub> from K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O is favorable for high amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion with increasing the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. Particle morphology is found to play a crucial role in the kinetic behavior of CO<sub>2</sub> sorptivity of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. TG–DTA and XRD analysis show the dependence of CO<sub>2</sub> sorptivity of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> upon the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration under various CO<sub>2</sub> atmospheres in the presence of saturated water vapor.

#### $3.3.3 \text{ CO}_2$ capture of $K_2 \text{CO}_3$ under various $H_2 \text{O}$ concentrations

As mentioned above in CO<sub>2</sub> capture of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under different CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, the bicarbonate formation of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> proceeds via three stages. The formation and transformation of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O and K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O at the initial stage profoundly influence on the overall CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> along with morphology variation. To identify the special effect of H<sub>2</sub>O concentration on CO<sub>2</sub> capture of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> for a higher CO<sub>2</sub> concentration under moist conditions, thus, the study on CO<sub>2</sub> capture of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under different humidity is essential. The CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under different H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations with the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> and XRD patterns of the products after the  $CO_2$  occlusion were exhibited. Figure 3-14 visually showed that the  $CO_2$  sorption amount almost had no increase while the occlusion rate significantly increased with the humidity increasing. This result indicates that the higher H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations accelerate to  $CO_2$  capture of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>.



**Figure 3-14:** TG curves for the decomposition of KHCO<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under various H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations at 313 K.



**Figure 3-15:** XRD patterns after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion for various H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations.  $\mathbf{\nabla}$ : KHCO<sub>3</sub>,  $\diamond$ : K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O.

XRD patterns after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under different H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations

showed that major KHCO<sub>3</sub> existed in the phases of peaks of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion under different humidity, as can be seen in Figure 3-15. With the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration increasing, the peak intensity of K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O increased. This result illustrated that the bicarbonate formation of K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O was inhibited under the higher H<sub>2</sub>O concentration.

The kinetic properties of  $CO_2$  occlusion of  $K_2CO_3$  can be tracked by monitoring the temperature under different humidity as the bicarbonate formation of  $K_2CO_3$  leads to a temperature change of the exothermic reactions. Figure 3-16 showed that both DTA and DTG curves showed a striking similarity, exhibiting a series of peaks at the initial stage and final stage corresponding to exothermic reactions at the initial and final stages of  $CO_2$  occlusion of  $K_2CO_3$ .

Similarly, there was a rise in temperature at the initial stage of the reaction with the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration increasing from RH24% to RH68%. After the initial temperature rise, the temperature decreased moderately in all curves (middle stage). A second obvious increase at final stage was observed for the higher H<sub>2</sub>O concentration (RH68%) when the  $CO_2$  occlusion was close to equilibrium. These results demonstrated that the most thermal energy during the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion process was obtained from the reactions at the initial stage. The peak (final stage) had an analogue peak in the DTA and DTG curves. Particularly, the  $CO_2$  occlusion rate for the higher  $H_2O$  concentration, as shown in DTG curves, had a sharp rise at the final stage. It implied that the higher H<sub>2</sub>O concentration was favorable to the initial and final stages of CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion process. Furthermore, these results displayed that both the initial stage and the overall reaction proceed to readily reach the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion equilibrium with the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration increasing. Also these results indicated that the overall reaction rate under different H<sub>2</sub>O concentration depended upon the  $CO_2$  occlusion rate at the initial and final stages. Especially, there was the slower CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate at the final stage according to the analyses of CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under different CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Thus, it indicated that the rate-controlling step was derived from one of the reactions at the final



Figure 3-16: Temperature changes (a), DTA (b) and DTG (c) curves for various  $H_2O$  concentrations at a  $CO_2$  flow rate of 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>.

stage. Above these results and the rate-controlling step for different H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations

can be understood together with analyses of the reactions at the initial and final stages on the basis of the crystalline structural change, which were discussed below.

The measurement of XRD patterns after CO<sub>2</sub> occluded by K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> with the reaction time in the condition of different H<sub>2</sub>O concentration with the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> was examined to find the rate-limiting step of the bicarbonate formation of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. Numerous studies concerning the effect of H<sub>2</sub>O concentration on CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> have been reported. Park et al. reported that the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion capacity increased with the H<sub>2</sub>O flow rate from 0.009 to 0.077 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> [12]. Zhao et al. reported that CO<sub>2</sub> sorptivity of pure K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was considerably improved with the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration from 0% to 21%, especially between 3% and 15%, with 15% CO<sub>2</sub> at 338 K at 0.1 MPa [25]. Particularly, it was claimed that the hydration reaction of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was considered as the rate-controlling step for the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion process so that the overall reaction rate and CO<sub>2</sub> uptake increased with the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration increasing [23, 25]. However, our results indicated that there was a different possibility for the rate-controlling step. Besides, detailed information on the transformation of crystalline structure at the initial stage has not been revealed thus far.

The results of XRD patterns with the reaction time under different H<sub>2</sub>O concentration can be seen in Figure 3-17. With the reactions occurring over 5 min (Figure 3-17a), the XRD patterns showed the presence of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O and K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O for the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration between RH32% and RH68%. Also the peak intensity of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O and K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O in the XRD pattern significantly increased with the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration increasing from 32% to 68%. This result indicated that the higher H<sub>2</sub>O concentration contributed to the occurrence of the reactions (3-6) and (3-7). Thus, a maximum in the temperature is a result of the released heat from two reactions at initial stage, although we could not claim the heat mostly released from either reaction (3-6) or reaction (3-7).

As these two reactions were proceeding over 20 min for the  $H_2O$  concentration between RH32% and RH68%, the peak intensity of  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  in the XRD pattern significantly decreased while the dominant peaks were derived from the  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  phase. As a result, the  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  phase was mostly converted into the  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  phase via the reaction (3-7). During this transformation period between 5 and 20 min corresponding to the observed decline at middle stage of DTA and DTG curves in Figure 3-16, it was concluded that  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  transformed from  $K_2CO_3$  via the reaction (3-6) provided the main heat for  $CO_2$  occlusion process. On the other hand, for the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration of RH68%, the peaks of KHCO<sub>3</sub> phase transformed from the  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  increased slightly through the reaction (3-8). In the case, there was still an obvious descending in the DTA and DTG curves between 5 min and 20 min. According to these changes, it can be confirmed that the reaction (3-6) is a highly exothermic initiation reaction rather than reaction (3-7) for CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of  $K_2CO_3$ .

When the reactions were proceeding over 40 min, for the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration of 32%, the major K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O phase existed in XRD pattern while the dominant peaks were originating from the KHCO<sub>3</sub> phase and K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O phase for the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration of 68%. In addition, it was observed that the K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O phase still remained and no information on the KHCO<sub>3</sub> phase appeared for H<sub>2</sub>O concentration of 32%. During the transformation period between 20 and 40 min, the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion process proceeded through the reaction (3-7) for low H<sub>2</sub>O concentration and reaction (3-8) for high H<sub>2</sub>O concentration, respectively, as the intensity of DTA and DTG curves continually descended. Consequently, it was concluded that the exothermic property of the reaction (3-6) was much stronger than that of the reactions (3-7) and (3-8). Also the slower CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion via the reaction (3-8) indicated that the reaction (3-8) was the rate-controlling step.

With the reactions terminated at 120 min, the peak intensity of  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  phase in XRD pattern increased with the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration increasing. Compared with the XRD patterns between 40 and 120 min (Figures 3-17 and 3-15), the bicarbonate formation of  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  mainly occurred during this transformation process



**Figure 3-17:** XRD patterns after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of  $K_2CO_3$  for various H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations over reaction times: (a) 5 min, (b) 20 min, and (c) 40 min.  $\checkmark$ : KHCO<sub>3</sub>;  $\diamond$ : K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O;  $\blacklozenge$ : K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O.

corresponding to the uprise in the second peak intensity in temperature, DTA and DTG curves in Figure 3-16. This result is in accordance with the stronger temperature dependence on the reaction (3-5) rather than the reaction (3-4) in the section 3.3.1.

According to the crystalline structural changes over reaction time under different  $H_2O$  concentrations, we find that the reaction (3-8) is effectively clarified as the rate-limiting step with highly exothermic for  $CO_2$  occlusion of  $K_2CO_3$ .

The morphology change of  $K_2CO_3$  after the  $CO_2$  occlusion for different reaction times was also examined with SEM. The SEM images for RH32% and RH68% H<sub>2</sub>O concentration are shown in Figures 3-18 and 3-12, respectively.

There was a slight difference with the formation process for the granules on the surface via three stages for the H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations between RH32% and RH68%. For the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration of RH32%, the macropores on the surface significantly decreased at the initial 5 min and then remained after 20 min. The initial formation of large-sized granules proceeded on the surface between 20 and 40 min. Finally, small-sized granules developed on the surface after 120 min. Comparing the morphology at 120 min for the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration of RH68% with that for the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration of RH32%, it was found that the wrinkled surface was formed at 20 min and smaller-sized granules developed on the surface between 40 and 120 min for the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration of RH68%. As mentioned in the section 3.3.2, the morphology variation from the wrinkled surface with the porous surface disappearing to smaller-sized granules on the surface lead to the rate changes of the reaction (3-8). These variations caused the observed reduction and then the rise in temperature variation and the intensity of peaks of DTA and DTG curves in Figure 3-16 between 20 and 120 min. Thus, the morphology at the middle and final stages of CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion played an important role in the reaction (3-8) rate of CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion. With the reaction (3-8) advancing, the residual  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  still existed in XRD pattern (Figure 3-15) because the formed KHCO<sub>3</sub> granules on the surface increased the surface resistance. In contrary, there was not significantly variation of the morphology on the surface within 5 min. Consequently, the CO<sub>2</sub>

occlusion rate at the initial stage depended upon reactions (3-6) and (3-7). These results of the initial and final stages for a higher  $H_2O$  concentration were promising for the  $CO_2$ occlusion of  $K_2CO_3$  at low temperature. Ultimately, higher  $H_2O$  concentration can provide significant the overall  $CO_2$  occlusion rate at low temperatures.



**Figure 3-18:** SEM images after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion for the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration of RH32% at a CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> at 313 K (a–d) (a: 5min, b: 20 min, c: 40 min, d: 120 min).

# 3.3.4 CO<sub>2</sub> capture of $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$ under different $H_2O$ or $CO_2$ concentrations

CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O displayed the slower bicarbonate formation process for higher CO<sub>2</sub> or lower H<sub>2</sub>O concentration, as shown in the section 3.3.2 and 3.3.3, respectively. In order to better understand the exothermic property and bicarbonate formation behavior of K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O, the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion was performed under pure CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere and different CO<sub>2</sub> concentration containing saturated H<sub>2</sub>O, respectively, can be seen in Figure 3-19. As both CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and H<sub>2</sub>O concentration increased, the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion amount was significantly enhanced. The CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion amount increased to 2.86 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup> for the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, which is about 5% in excess of the theoretical amount of 2.73 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>. This result indicated that there was an error for the TG data caused by the multiple exchange process from N<sub>2</sub> to CO<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O atmosphere. With the reaction (3-8) proceeding, the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate for the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 5 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> reached the equilibrium at 20 min while that did at 40 min for the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>.



**Figure 3-19:** TG curves for CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  at the pure CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, and the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates of 5 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> and 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> at 313 K.



**Figure 3-20:** XRD patterns of  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  before and after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion.  $\mathbf{\nabla}$ : KHCO<sub>3</sub>;  $\diamond$ : K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O.

Figure 3-20 shows that the XRD patterns of  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  before and after  $CO_2$  occlusion were exhibited. Only  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  phase exhibited in XRD patterns for the  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  saved in vacuum oven and under pure  $CO_2$  atmosphere. This result demonstrated that the error for the TG data caused by the multiple exchange process from N<sub>2</sub> to CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. For the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 5 and 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, the XRD patterns contained the minor KHCO<sub>3</sub> phase and major  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$ , indicating that the slower bicarbonate formation because of the surface resistance increasing based on the mentioned in the section 3.3.2 and 3.3.3.

Figure 3-21 shows the temperature changes, the DTA and DTG curves over reaction time. With the H<sub>2</sub>O or CO<sub>2</sub> concentration increasing, there was an increase in the temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate along with the strong exothermic property. There was a maximum value of approximately 15 a.u. in the DTA curves in Figure 3-22b compared with that in Figure 3-8b in the section 3.3.2. It demonstrated that the exothermic property of reaction (3-8) was weaker than that of reaction (3-6). Figure 3-21c showed that the maximum in the reaction rate was 0.53 %·min<sup>-1</sup> at a CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 5 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, which was much lower than that at the initial stage in Figure 3-8c. This result can be confirmed that the bicarbonate formation of K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O [reaction (3-8)] is the rate-controlling step for the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under moist conditions in the presence of saturated water vapor. Furthermore, with increasing CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, the maximum value in the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate decreased, as seen in Figure 3-21c, which was consistent with those at the final stage under various CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations.

The morphology of  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  before and after  $CO_2$  occlusion for the  $CO_2$  flow rates of 5 and 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, were observed in Figure 3-22. Figure 3-22a illustrated that macropore almost disappeared to form the compact plane on the

surface according to the preparation method of  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  at higher temperature. The panels b and c of Figure 3-22 showed that the KHCO<sub>3</sub> granules developed on the surface.



Figure 3-21: Temperature changes (a), DTA (b) and DTG (c) curves for different  $CO_2$  or  $H_2O$  concentration.

Finally, based on the analyses of above XRD patterns and morphology, the reaction (3-8) as the rate-controlling step play an important role in the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of  $K_2CO_3$ . The granules developed on the surface and the surface resistance increased with the bicarbonate formation proceeding. It can be required to improve the morphology on the surface for the bicarbonate formation favorable the application for CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of  $K_2CO_3$ .



**Figure 3-22:** SEM images for  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  before (a) and after  $CO_2$  occlusion for the  $CO_2$  flow rates of 5 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> (b) and 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> (c).

## **3.4 Conclusion**

TG-DTA and XRD analyses showed the dependence of CO<sub>2</sub> sorptivity of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> on

the temperature, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, and H<sub>2</sub>O concentration. Lower temperature is favorable to the bicarbonate formation. The analysis of changes in XRD patterns over reaction time of the bicarbonate formation process of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under different CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations, illustrates that the reaction of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> with CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O proceeds via three reactions. Also the results of changes in exothermic properties, temperature, and CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate show that the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion process of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> goes through three stages. The formation of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O from K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> provides the most heat.  $K_2CO_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  as the first product can be converted into  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  in the initial stage of CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion. The formation of KHCO<sub>3</sub> from K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O is considered as the rate-controlling step, which slowly proceeds at the final stage, although there is a second rise in  $CO_2$  occlusion rate and temperature under higher  $CO_2$ flow rates (20–100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>). The analysis of morphology variation over reaction time shows that the  $CO_2$  occlusion of  $K_2CO_3$  is inhibited at a higher  $CO_2$  or lower  $H_2O$ concentration, and the formation of KHCO3 from K4H2(CO3)3·1.5H2O was favorable to high CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion amount with increasing CO<sub>2</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O concentration. Particle morphology is found to play a crucial role in kinetic behavior of CO<sub>2</sub> sorptivity of  $K_2CO_3$  and  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$ . Ultimately,  $K_2CO_3$  can be used for  $CO_2$  capture under low temperature, and 5-10% CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the presence of saturated water vapor.

### References

- [1] M. Aresta. Carbon dioxide recovery and utilization, *Kluwer Academic Pub.*, Boston, **2003**, 53.
- [2] B. Metz, O. Davidson, H. de Coninck, M. Loos, L. Meyer. IPCC 2005: Special Report on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage, *Cambridge University Press*, New York, **2005**.
- [3] T. F. Wall. Proc. Combust. Inst., 2007, 31, 31-47.
- [4] W. C. Yang, J. Hoffman. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2009, 48, 341-351.
- [5] K. J. Fricker, A. H. A. Park. Eng. Sci. 2013, 100, 332-341.
- [6] M. G. Plaza, S. García, F. Rubiera, J. J. Pis, C. Pevida. J.Chem. Eng., 2010, 163, 41–47.
- [7] S. Cavenati, C. A. Grande, A. E. Rodrigues. J. Chem. Eng. Data, 2004, 49, 1095–1101.
- [8] V. Presser, J. McDonough, S.H. Yeon, Y. Gogotsi. *Energy Environ. Sci.*, **2011**, 4, 3059–3066.
- [9] C. Zhao, X. Chen, C. Zhao. Chemosphere, 2009, 75, 1401–1404.
- [10] Y. C. Park, S. H. Jo, C. K. Ryu, C. K. Yi. Energy Proc., 2011, 4, 1508–1512.
- [11] Y. C. Park, S. H. Jo, D. H. Lee, C. K. Yi, C. K. Ryu, K. S. Kim, C. H. You, Y. S. Park. *Energy Proc.*, **2013**, 37, 122–126.
- [12] S. W. Park, D. H. Sung, B. S. Choi, J. W. Lee, H. Kumazawa. J. Ind. Eng. Chem., 2006, 12, 522–530.
- [13] Y. Seo, S. H. Jo, C. K. Ryu, C. K. Yi. J. Environ. Eng., 2009, 135, 473-477.
- [14] C. Zhao, X. Chen, C. Zhao. Energ. Fuel, 2009, 23, 4683-4687.
- [15] C. Zhao, X. Chen, C. Zhao. Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control, 2010, 4, 655–658.
- [16] C. Zhao, X. Chen, C. Zhao. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2010, 49, 12212–12216.
- [17] C. Zhao, X. Chen, C. Zhao. Energ. Fuel, 2012, 26, 1401–1405.
- [18] H. Hayashi, J. Taniuchi, N. Furuyashiki, S. Sugiyama, S. Hirano, N. Shigemoto, T.

Nonaka. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 1998, 37, 185-191.

- [19] N. Shigemoto, T. Yanagihara, S. Sugiyama, H. Hayashi. *Energ. Fuels*, **2006**, 20, 721–726.
- [20] Y. W. Seo, S. H. Jo, H. J. Ryu, D. H. Bae, C. K. Ryu, C. K. Yi. Korean J. Chem. Eng., 2007, 24, 457–460.
- [21] S. C. Lee, H. J. Chae, B. Y. Choi, S. Y. Jung, C. Y. Ryu, J. J. Park, J. I. Baek, C. K.Ryu, J. C. Kim. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.*, **2011**, 28, 480–486.
- [22] H. Chioyama, H. Luo, T.Ohba, H. Kanoh. Adsorption Sci. and Technol., 2015, 33, 243–250.
- [23] C. Zhao, Y. Guo, C. Li, S. lu. Chem. Eng. J., 2014, 254, 524–530.
- [24] C. Zhao, X. Chen, C. Zhao, Y. Liu. Energ. Fuel, 2009, 23, 1766–1769.
- [25] C. Zhao, X. Chen, C. Zhao. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2012, 51, 14361-14366.
- [26] S. C. Lee, B. Y. Choi, C. K. Ryu, Y. S. Ahn, T. J. Lee, J. C. Kim. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.*, **2006**, 23, 374–379.
- [27] S. C. Lee, J. C. Kim. Catal. Surv. Asia., 2007, 11, 171-185.
- [28] M. J. Venegas, E. Fregoso-Israel, R. Escamilla, H. Pfeiffer. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2007, 46, 2407–2412.
- [29] F. García-Labiano, A. Abad, L .F. de Diego, P. Gayán, J. Adánez. *Chem. Eng. Technol.*, **2002**, 57, 2381–2393.
## Chapter 4: Fundamentals in CO<sub>2</sub> Sorptivity of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>

#### 4.1 Introduction

Alkali metal carbonate sorbents have been paid attention for  $CO_2$  capture application over the past several years [1–4]. With high occlusion capacity and low cost, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> as a CO<sub>2</sub> sorbent under moist conditions at 0.1 MPa and above 313 K have been studied extensively [5–10]. The humidity plays a curial role in CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion amount and the overall reaction rate. With increasing the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration, the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion amount and rate significantly increased [7–10]. Furthermore, it was reported that the hydrate of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> could be formed slowly through reaction (4-1) in the presence of excess of water vapor at below 333 K [11]:

$$Na_2CO_3(s) + H_2O(g) \leq Na_2CO_3 \cdot H_2O(s)$$

$$(4-1)$$

The temperature also plays an important role in CO<sub>2</sub> capture. Most previous studies regarding CO<sub>2</sub> capture of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-based sorbents were performed using the thermogravimetric analyzer, several fixed-bed, and fluidized-bed, where the flue gas was simulated with 5–10% CO<sub>2</sub> with 10–20% H<sub>2</sub>O at relatively high temperatures (323–353 K) under atmospheric pressure [11–24]. In numerous studies on the CO<sub>2</sub> sorption of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under moist conditions, it was found that Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> decomposed from NaHCO<sub>3</sub> had a better performance on CO<sub>2</sub> capture, whereas Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O hardly reacted with CO<sub>2</sub> [11–14]. When the flue gas conditions were 8% CO<sub>2</sub> with 16% H<sub>2</sub>O in helium, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> decomposed from NaHCO<sub>3</sub> could be converted to Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> through reaction (4-3) at 343 K and higher, whereas NaHCO<sub>3</sub> was formed via reaction (4-2) at below 343 K [11]. Dong et al. also reported that the formation of Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>

could be transformed from  $Na_2CO_3$  supported on  $Al_2O_3$  through reaction (4-3) under moist flue gas (15% CO<sub>2</sub> with 15% H<sub>2</sub>O) in Nitrogen at around 333 K [23, 24]. The relevant reactions are [11]:

$$Na_2CO_3(s) + CO_2(g) + H_2O(g) \neq 2NaHCO_3(s)$$

$$(4-2)$$

$$5Na_2CO_3(s) + 3CO_2(g) + 3H_2O(g) \approx 2Na_5H_3(CO_3)_4(s)$$
 (4-3)

where the forward, exothermic reactions (4-2) and (4-3) are the bicarbonate and Wegscheider's salt formation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, respectively, corresponding to theoretical CO<sub>2</sub> capture amount of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is 9.43 and 5.66 mmol $\cdot$ g<sup>-1</sup>, while the reverse, endothermic reactions are the decomposition of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, respectively. These results indicate that lower temperature favors to bicarbonate formation.

However, no detailed information on crystal structure changes with reaction time has been revealed so far. The morphologies of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> particles also change as the reactions proceeds, because the growth of crystals depends on the reaction conditions. This information is also important for understanding the kinetic behavior of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> particles. But details of the morphology variation with reaction time has not been reported, thus, the CO<sub>2</sub> capture mechanisms under different CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O conditions are not understood clearly.

In this chapter, CO<sub>2</sub> sorptivity of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at different temperatures, under various CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations were examined by the thermogravimetric-differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA) and accompanying structural changes over reaction time were exhibited by X-ray diffraction (XRD) method under vacuum. Morphology variations with reaction time were observed by using a scanning electron microscopy (SEM). On the basis of crystal structure and kinetics analysis of bicarbonate formation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, the dependence of CO<sub>2</sub> capture of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> on CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and humidity is explained in details.

## 4.2 Experimentals

#### 4.2.1 Sample preparation

Analytical reagent grade NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (99 % chemical purity, Wako Chemical Co., Ltd.) was used throughout the decomposition experiments of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and bicarbonate formation measurements of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The decomposition of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> was processed with the thermogravimetric-differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA; Shimadzu, DTG-60AH). NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (30–50 mg) was heated at 5 K·min<sup>-1</sup> from 298 to 473 K to form Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in an atmosphere of pure N<sub>2</sub> at 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> and held at these conditions for 5 min to complete the decomposition. Subsequently, the temperature was lowed to 303 K at -5 K·min<sup>-1</sup> and kept for 30 min under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere.

## 4.2.2 Bicarbonate formation measurements

The obtained samples were processed with the TG-DTA apparatus using a gas composition of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O (v/v of 98.2:1.8) at a flow rate of 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> at temperatures between 303 and 363 K for 18 h.

The measurements of the  $Na_2CO_3$  bicarbonate formation with varying reaction duration were performed with the TG-DTA apparatus with various compositions of  $CO_2$ and  $N_2$  containing saturated water vapor at 303 K for 300 min.  $CO_2$  and  $N_2$  were obtained from high-purity gas cylinders with mass flow controllers used to control the flow rate.  $H_2O$  vapor was produced by flowing  $N_2$  and  $CO_2$  into distilled water in a bubbler for more than 5 h to reach saturation, where  $N_2$  gas was used as balance gas.

On the other hand, under different humidity conditions, the measurements of the  $Na_2CO_3$  bicarbonate formation with varying reaction duration were also performed with the TG-DTA apparatus. H<sub>2</sub>O vapor was supplied by flowing N<sub>2</sub> into distilled water inside a bubbler and another N<sub>2</sub> used as dry gas inside a bubbler to adjust the H<sub>2</sub>O partial pressure.

For above bicarbonate formation measurements, the mixed gas of  $CO_2$ ,  $H_2O$  and  $N_2$  was introduced into a moisture detector with a thermometer and hygrometer at 313 K. The relative humidity of the mixed gas was measured with the hygrometer. The total gas flow rate was maintained at 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> for all experiments.

## 4.2.3 Crystal structure and morphology measurements

The crystal structures of the products after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion reactions with 10, 20, 40, and 300 min were measured by using an X-ray diffractometer (XRD; MAC Science, M03XHF) under vacuum to avoid occlusion of CO<sub>2</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O from the ambient atmosphere. The powder XRD patterns were obtained in the 2 $\theta$  range of 25–45° using Cu K $\alpha$  radiation (40 kV, 25 mA, and  $\lambda$  = 0.15406 nm) at room temperature. The morphology of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> particles before and after CO<sub>2</sub> capture for the different reaction times were observed by using scanning electron microscopy (SEM; JEOL, JSM-6510A) after coating the samples (10 mA, 10 s) with metallic osmium.

#### 4.3 Results and discussion

## 4.3.1 CO<sub>2</sub> capture of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at different temperatures

The decomposition of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> was processed with TG-DTA under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere via the reverse reaction of (4-2) to form Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>O at 473 K, as shown in Figure 4-1. The weight for the decomposition of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> from 0 to 90 min gradually decreased to 63.0% ( $\pm$ 0.2%), which is in very good agreement with the theoretical value of 63.1% according to the reverse reaction of (4-2). This confirms that the decomposition reaction proceeds completely. After the decomposition, a mixture of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O was supplied to Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at different temperatures. As shown in Figure 4-1, the sample weight and bicarbonate formation rate increased slowly with increasing temperature from 303 K to 363 K. It indicated that Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> should be a promising sorbent under moist conditions at low temperature. When the temperature was at 303 K, there was the rapid increase in sample weight between 3 h and the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion amount was 8.32 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>, which is 88.2% of the theoretical amount (i.e. 9.43 mol·g<sup>-1</sup>). Compared with the result at 303 K, both CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate and amount slightly decreased at 323 K. As the temperature increased from 323 K to 343 K, the CO<sub>2</sub>

occlusion amount only increased to 6.30 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup> along with the initial formation slowly proceeding. With the temperature increased to 363 K, the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion amount was zero mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>. Above CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion at different temperatures reached a plateau after 5 h. In general, bicarbonate formation requires a minimum activation energy for the occurrence of chemical reaction, it can be attributed to the change increased more rapidly at higher temperatures. However, the change increased slowly at higher temperatures indicated that CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> did not simply depend upon the temperature.



**Figure 4-1:** Weight change by the decomposition of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (<40 min) at 473 K and the bicarbonate formation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> with CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O (>90 min) at different temperatures.

Because the temperature dependence of the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion amount and rate can not be understood clearly, the crystal structure after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion at different temperatures was examined to obtain XRD patterns, as seen in Figure 4-2. The XRD patterns showed the peaks consisting of two phases, i.e., NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, at the temperatures from 303 K to 343 K. Furthermore, the peak intensity of Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> phase increased with increasing temperature. This indicated that reaction (4-3) occurred favorably for relatively high temperatures, whereas reaction (4-2) proceeded at rather lower temperatures. Only pure  $Na_2CO_3$  phase existed in the XRD patterns of  $CO_2$  occluded sample at 363 K. These results were in good agreement with the decline in  $CO_2$  occlusion amount with increasing temperature.



**Figure 4-2:** XRD patterns of CO<sub>2</sub> occluded samples at different temperatures.  $\mathbf{\nabla}$ : NaHCO<sub>3</sub>,  $\diamondsuit$ : Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>,  $\nabla$ : Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>

Figure 4-3 showed that DTA curves (a) and DTG curves (b) were obtained at the temperatures of 303-363 K. The DTA curves indicated exothermic reactions for CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under moist conditions while both DTA and DTG curves showed a striking similarity. With increasing temperature, the peak intensity diminished in the DTG and DTA curves, which corresponded to exothermic reactions of the formation of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> through Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> reacting with CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. It can be confirmed that CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate of reaction (4-3) is slower than reaction (4-2) on account of much more Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> formation at higher temperatures. Consequently, it can be also identified that releasing the heat of reaction (4-3) is lower than that of reaction (4-2) based on the observed peak in the DTA curves.



Figure 4-3: DTA (a) and DTG (b) curves over reaction time at different temperatures.



**Figure 4-4:** Peak fitting of XRD peaks of pure phases to the experimental results obtained at 303 K (a) and 323 K (b). Black: experimental pattern; Red: fitted pattern obtained by using a pattern of pure NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (blue) and pure Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (orange).

As mentioned in the section 3.3.1 in Chapter 3, according to well-fitted peak patterns of these pure phases regarding to the relative ratios of peak intensities of pure NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> phases, the experimental patterns of pure NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> phases obtained at 303 K and 323 K were shown in Figure 4-4a and b, respectively.

Furthermore, the ratio between the peak area of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and the total peak area of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>,  $I_{NaHCO_3}/(I_{NaHCO_3} + I_{Na_5H_3(CO_3)_4})$ , was calculated by the peak fitting for each peak of pure NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> phases at 303–343 K, as shown in Figure 4-5. It was found that the ratio of the NaHCO<sub>3</sub> phase decreased with increasing temperature. This result further demonstrates that low temperature contributes to bicarbonate formation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> occluded CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O via highly exothermic reaction (4-2).



**Figure 4-5:** Temperature dependence of the peak area ratio of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> to the total peak area of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>.

The TG-DTA and X-ray powder diffraction analyses show that the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of  $Na_2CO_3$  at different temperatures involves two exothermic reactions of the formation of  $NaHCO_3$  and  $Na_5H_3(CO_3)_4$  from  $Na_2CO_3$ . The highly exothermic reaction (4-2) proceeds more easily at low temperatures, whereas reaction (4-3) proceeds at higher temperatures. Moreover, releasing the heat of reaction (4-3) is lower than that of

reaction (4-2). Thus, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> can be suitable for the CO<sub>2</sub> capture at low temperatures.

## 4.3.2 CO<sub>2</sub> capture of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under various CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations

The dependence of the sorptivity of  $Na_2CO_3$  on  $CO_2$  concentration was obtained with TG-DTA under various  $CO_2$  flow rates, as shown for reaction time of 0–300 min in Figure 4-6.

In similar to the section 3.3.2 in Chapter 2, a sufficiently humid atmosphere (RH of 74% at 303 K) was also supplied to Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> with a mixed gas of CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> in the presence of saturated water vapor. When the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate was only 1 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, the occlusion amount was 2.84 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup> at 300 min. As the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate increased from 1 to 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion amount of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> increased from 2.84 to 7.39 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup> at 300 min, which is 74.2–92% of the theoretical amount of 9.43 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>. Especially, at initial 50 min, the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion amount already increased from 0.43 to 5.75 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>, which is 64.8–85.6% of the theoretical amount. When the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate increased from 30 to 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, the occlusion amount of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> increased to 8.10  $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$  at 300 min, which is 94.8% of the theoretical amount of 9.43  $\text{mmol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ . These experimental results were consistent with higher CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates favorable for higher CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion amount according to reactions (4-2) and (4-3). Higher CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion amount could be achieved with increasing CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate from 30 to 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, however, the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate was much slow, as can be seen in Figure 4-6b. When the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate was 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion amount was only 4.91 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup> at 50 min, which is 82.3% that is lower than 85.6% at 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>. In contrast, when the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate was 20 and 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate was much faster than other CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates.



**Figure 4-6:** TG curves (a) for bicarbonate formation under various  $CO_2$  flow rates  $(cm^3 \cdot min^{-1})$ , which are indicated with numbers, with N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O and magnified for 0–50 min (b).



**Figure 4-7:** XRD patterns after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at various CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates  $(cm^3 \cdot min^{-1})$ , which are indicated with numbers.  $\mathbf{\nabla}$ : NaHCO<sub>3</sub>,  $\diamond$ : Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>,  $\mathbf{\blacksquare}$ : Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O

Figure 4-7 showed the XRD patterns after the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (300 min marked in Figure 4-6) at various CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates. When the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate was only 1 and 5 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, the Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O phase was present in the samples because of

unfavorably shift toward the forward reactions of (4-2) and (4-3) under lower  $CO_2$  concentrations. With increasing the  $CO_2$  concentration between 5–100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, the peaks of the Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O phases appeared to decrease while that of the NaHCO<sub>3</sub> phase increased. This result in the XRD patterns was consistent with the TGA data.

The CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at high CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates was limited during 50 min based on the TG results in Figure 4-6b. According to the section 4.3.1, there is the different exothermic along with the formation of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, thus, the transformation process of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> leads to a temperature change of the reaction system. Hence, investing the transformation process of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and the associated changes in temperature during the formation of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> is important for a better understanding of the CO<sub>2</sub> sorptivity kinetics of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>.

Figure 4-8 shows the temperature variations curves (a), DTA curves (b), and derivative thermogravimetric (DTG) curves (c; obtained by differentiating the weight change in Figure 4-6 over time) for different  $CO_2$  concentrations. The temperature variations, DTA, and DTG curves exhibited a series of peaks, as can be seen in Figure 4-8a–c.

The maximum rate of this initial stage increased at approximately 10 min with the  $CO_2$  flow rate from 1 to 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, but decreased with higher  $CO_2$  flow rate at this stage, where were consistent with the TG results in Figure 4-6a and b. After the initial increase in  $CO_2$  occlusion rate, the reaction rate decreased in all curves until to the completed  $CO_2$  occlusion (300 min). With initiating the different rate of  $CO_2$  occlusion under various  $CO_2$  concentrations, the exothermic signals, which were similar to the variations of  $CO_2$  occlusion rate, were observed in Figure 4-8b and e. Also associated temperature changes displayed remarkable similarity to DTA and DTG curves, which were caused by the  $CO_2$  occlusion reactions with highly exothermic, as shown in Figure 4-8a and b. These results indicate that the overall reaction depending strongly upon the initial stage under various  $CO_2$  flow rates proceeds slowly, which can be understood



together with analysis of the reaction mechanism of the system, as discussed below.

**Figure 4-8:** Temperature change (a), DTA (b), and DTG (c) curves at various  $CO_2$  flow rates (cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>), which are indicated with numbers. These panels are magnified for reaction times of 0–50 min in d, e, and f, respectively.

The XRD patterns of  $CO_2$ -occluded samples of  $Na_2CO_3$  in the presence of water vapor with various reaction times were examined, as shown in Figure 4-9.

When the reaction was proceeding to 10 min, major Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and minor Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O phases were present in XRD patterns for various CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates. Liang reported that Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O was formed slowly when the temperature was lower than 333 K under excess of water vapor [11]. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O was also transformed under lower CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (1 and 5 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>) at room temperature, as can be seen in Figure 4-7. During this transformation period, it can be claimed that the hydration of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> occur via reaction (4-1) in the presence of saturated water vapor at room temperature. In addition, the XRD patterns showed the presence of the Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> phase for the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates of 10 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, whereas few NaHCO<sub>3</sub> phase were present in XRD patterns for high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (30 and 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>). The formation of Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> phases could be transformed from either Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> or Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O. Thus, it can be concluded that the temperature changes are a result of the exothermic reactions at this stage.

With the reaction occurring over 20 min, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> phase still remained in the XRD patterns for all CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates. For low CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate (10 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>), the peak intensity of Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> phase increased significantly with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O phase diminishing. This result indicated that Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> was transformed from Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O rather than Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. Conversely, for high CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates (30 and 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>), the NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> phases increased slightly. Furthermore, the peaks of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> phase in XRD patterns for 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> remained more than 10 and 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>. These results indicated that the transformation process of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was inhibited between 10–20 min for high CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates. Thus, it can be identified that the inhibited transformation at high CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates caused the peak intensity sharply decreasing in the DTG and DTA curves between 10–20 min in Figure 4-8d–f.

With increasing the reaction time to 40 min, the peaks of the NaHCO<sub>3</sub> phases increased significantly while the peak intensity of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> phase decreased for 30 and 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>. During this transformation process, the peak intensity of Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> nearly increased between 20 and 40 min, corresponding to Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O phase barely decreasing (Figure 4-9c). It can be deduced that the formation of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> was derived from Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. During the transformation process, it was found that there was a decline in the DTG and DTA curves in Figure 4-8d–f. Consequently, the temperature continued to decrease along with the formation of NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. Compared to the XRD patterns for 30 and 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, the XRD patterns for 10 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> between 20–40 min nearly varied, which were assigned to the phases of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, and Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>.

Considering the XRD patterns at 300 min for the  $CO_2$  flow rates of 30 and 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, with the Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> phase decreasing between 40 and 300 min (Figures 4-9c and 4-7), the XRD patterns showed that the peak intensity of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> phase increased slightly at 300 min. Thus, it can be confirmed that the Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> is converted slowly to NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. These results were consistent with the DTG and DTA curves.

On the basis of the discussed transformation process, the following reactions instead of reaction (4-3) could be summarized under various  $CO_2$  flow rates in the presence of saturated water vapor, with the exception of reaction (4-2).

$$Na_2CO_3(s) + H_2O(g) \leq Na_2CO_3 \cdot H_2O(s)$$
(4-4)

$$5Na_2CO_3 \cdot H_2O(s) + 3CO_2(g) \leq 2Na_5H_3(CO_3)_4(s) + 2H_2O(g)$$
 (4-5)

$$Na_{5}H_{3}(CO_{3})_{4}(s) + CO_{2}(g) + H_{2}O(g) \leq 5NaHCO_{3}(s)$$
 (4-6)

We find that the transformation process, consisting of the formation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O from Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> transformed from Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and the bicarbonate formation of Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, should be the main pathway of CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion for low CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (below 10 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>) rather than that for high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (30 and 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>). Conversely, for high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, bicarbonate formation was mostly derived from Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> directly through reaction (4-2), although the CO<sub>2</sub> capture was accompanying the formation of few Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O. Thus, bicarbonate formation through reaction (4-2) accounts for higher



proportion of these two pathways with increasing the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration.

**Figure 4-9:** XRD patterns after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at various CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates  $(cm^3 \cdot min^{-1})$ , which are indicated with numbers, with reaction time: (a) 10 min, (b) 20 min, (c) 40 min.  $\mathbf{\nabla}$ : NaHCO<sub>3</sub>,  $\diamondsuit$ : Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>,  $\mathbf{\blacksquare}$  : Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O,  $\nabla$ : Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>.

As mentioned in the section 4.3.1, reaction (4-2) has higher exothermic than reaction (4-3), however, Figure 4-8 displays the opposite results regarding the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate and exothermic properties for 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>. Hence, the initial exothermic properties and the CO<sub>2</sub> capture rate of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under various CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates cannot be obtained from XRD data alone based on the discussed transformation processes and kinetics of CO<sub>2</sub> sorptivity of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under various CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates and in the presence of saturated water vapor. To acquire a better understanding of these properties, the morphological change of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was examined via SEM before and after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion for various reaction times. The SEM images for 10, 30 and 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> atmospheres are shown in Figures 4-10, 4-11, and 4-12, respectively.



**Figure 4-10:** SEM images of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> before and after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion at a CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 10 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> (a–e) (a: 0 min, b: 10 min, c: 20 min, d: 40 min, and e: 300 min).

Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> particles, as shown in Figure 4-10a, which were formed by the decomposition of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, had a macroporous surface. For the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 10 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, the diameter of the macropores on the surface slightly diminished after the initial 10 min (Figure 4-10b) with the formation of major Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O and minor Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, which the maximum in CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate was obtained. After 20 min, the pores on the surface developed (Figure 4-10c), corresponding to the transformation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O to Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>. Large granules of dominant Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> developed on the external surface (Figure 4-10d and e) along with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O reacting with CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O for 40–300 min (Figures 4-9c and 4-7).



**Figure 4-11:** SEM images of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion at a CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> (a–d) (a: 10 min, b: 20 min, c: 40 min, and d: 300 min).

For the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, the diameter of the macropores on the surface significantly decreased and few mcropores remained on the surface after the initial 10 min (Figure 4-11a). Macropores on the surface were still present after 20 min. Initial formation of large granules proceeded on the external surface after 40 min (Figure 4-11c) along with the formation of major NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and minor Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> between 20 and 40

min (Figures 4-9b and c). After 300 min, few macropores appeared on the surface after 300 min. This result demonstrates that the evolution of water vapor stem from reaction (4-5) leads to the macropores on the surface between 20–300 min. Furthermore, the formation of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> covering the Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> particles results in the surface resistance increasing to inhibit the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion. Thus, we can observe that there is an obvious decline in the DTG and DTA curves (Figure 4-8e and f).



**Figure 4-12:** SEM images of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion at a CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> (a–d) (a: 10 min, b: 20 min, c: 40 min, and d: 300 min).

For the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, the diameter of the macropores on the surface nearly disappeared after the initial 10 min (Figure 4-12a) and then form the wrinkled surface after 20 min. This result leads to the restricted transformation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> for 100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 4-9a and b). Thus, it was observed that the peak intensity at the initial stage decreased in the DTG and DTA curves (Figure 4-8e and f). Initial formation of granules on the surface continued to increase the surface resistance after 40 min. Granules of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> developed on the external surface (Figure 4-12c and d) along with bicarbonate formation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O reacting with CO<sub>2</sub>

and  $H_2O$  between 40–300 min (Figures 4-9c and 4-7). In the case of lower proportion of bicarbonate formation transformed from  $Na_5H_3(CO_3)_4$ , it can be observed that macropores on the surface indeed disappear.

On the basis of the discussed the structure changes and morphological variation with reaction times under various CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, it is found that the bicarbonate formation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> has two different pathways, such as reactions (4-4)–(4-6) and reaction (4-2). With increasing the CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, bicarbonate formation through reaction (4-2) accounts for higher proportion. The formation of Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O favorably proceeds under low CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, although their CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rates are slow. Furthermore, the macropores on the surface decrease or nearly disappear within 10 min. This result leads to increasing the surface resistance to limit the transformation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> reacting with CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O at the initial stage for higher CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.

#### 4.3.3 CO<sub>2</sub> capture of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under various H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations

As mentioned in the section 4.3.2, the bicarbonate formation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> proceeds via two pathways under various CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. The proportion of two pathways influences on the overall CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> along with morphology variation. To understand the effect of H<sub>2</sub>O concentration on CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at room temperature, thus, the study on CO<sub>2</sub> capture of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in different humidity is important. The kinetics of CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion and crystal structure after the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion were examined.

Figure 4-13 shows the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under various H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations at the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>. For the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration of RH38%, the CO<sub>2</sub> sorption amount was 7.21 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>, which is 76.5% of the theoretical value of 9.43 at 300 min while the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration is RH74%, the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion increased to 7.39 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>. Furthermore, with increasing the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration from RH38% to RH74%, the equilibrium time shortened from 300 to 100 min. These results indicated that  $CO_2$  occlusion reaction is significantly accelerated with increasing the  $H_2O$  concentration, whereas the  $CO_2$  occlusion amount barely increased.



**Figure 4-13:** TG curves for CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under various H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations at 303 K.



**Figure 4-14:** XRD patterns after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion for various H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations.  $\mathbf{\nabla}$ : NaHCO<sub>3</sub>,  $\diamondsuit$ : Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>.

Figure 4-14 shows XRD patterns after  $CO_2$  occlusion of  $Na_2CO_3$  under the  $H_2O$  concentrations between RH38% and RH74%. The XRD patterns showed that major NaHCO<sub>3</sub> phase was present for above RH38%  $H_2O$  concentration. With increasing the  $H_2O$  concentration, the peak intensity of  $Na_5H_3(CO_3)_4$  increased in the XRD patterns.

This result demonstrate that higher  $H_2O$  concentrations is favorable for the formation of  $Na_5H_3(CO_3)_4$  transformed from  $Na_2CO_3 \cdot H_2O$  through reactions (4-4) and (4-5).



Figure 4-15: Temperature changes (a), DTA (b) and DTG (c) curves for various  $H_2O$  concentrations at a  $CO_2$  flow rate of 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>.

The CO<sub>2</sub> sorptivity of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> can be tracked by monitoring the temperature under various with the bicarbonate formation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> leading to a temperature change of the exothermic reactions. Figure 4-15 showed that both DTG and DTA curves exhibited

obviously a series of peaks indicating exothermic reactions of  $CO_2$  occlusion of  $Na_2CO_3$ . In particular, DTG curves showed that the  $CO_2$  occlusion rate had a sharper rise at the initial stage (within 50 min) for higher H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations. It implied that the higher H<sub>2</sub>O concentration accelerated the  $CO_2$  occlusion process at the initial stage. Furthermore, DTA curves associated the DTG curves displayed that the exothermic signals increased strongly with increasing the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration at the initial stage. Consequently, it was observed that there was a significant increase in temperature at the initial stage of the reaction with increasing the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration between RH38% and RH74%. After the initial temperature increase, the temperature decreased in all curves. These results demonstrated that the heat was obtained from the exothermic reactions at the initial stage. Moreover, these results indicated that the overall reaction rate depended upon the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate at the initial stage. Above these observed peaks in the DTG and DTA curves for various H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations can be understood together with analyses of the reactions at the initial stage on the basis of the crystalline structural change, which were discussed below.

Figure 4-16 shows the crystal structure changes with different time when the exothermic reaction proceeds within 40 min under various H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations at a CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>. As the reaction occurred beyond 10 min, as shown in Figure 4-16a, the XRD patterns showed that all peaks were assigned to the Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> phase for the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration of RH52%, whereas the peaks for RH74% consisted of three phases such as Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> phases. It was confirmed that higher H<sub>2</sub>O concentration was favorable to the occurrence of the exothermic reactions (4-4) and (4-5).

With the formation of  $Na_2CO_3 \cdot H_2O$  and  $NaHCO_3$ , it was observed that the peak intensity in the DTG and DTA curves at the initial stage increased with increasing the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration (Figure 4-15).



**Figure 4-16:** XRD patterns after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> for various H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations with reaction times: (a) 10 min, (b) 20 min, and (c) 40 min.  $\checkmark$ : NaHCO<sub>3</sub>,  $\diamondsuit$ : Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>,  $\blacksquare$ : Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O,  $\bigtriangledown$ : Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>.

When the reaction proceeded to 20 min, the XRD patterns showed that the peaks of  $Na_2CO_3 \cdot H_2O$ ,  $Na_5H_3(CO_3)_4$ , and  $NaHCO_3$  phases were present for the  $H_2O$  concentrations of RH52% and RH74%, although the dominant  $Na_2CO_3$  phase still remained after 20 min. With the reaction time increasing to 40 min, for the  $H_2O$  concentration of RH74%, the peak intensity of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> increased significantly while the  $Na_2CO_3$  phase significantly decreased. Moreover, the  $Na_2CO_3 \cdot H_2O$  and  $Na_5H_3(CO_3)_4$  phases nearly increased or decreased. This result confirms that  $Na_2CO_3$  is converted mostly to  $NaHCO_3$  through reaction (4-2). For low  $H_2O$  concentration of  $Na_2CO_3 \cdot H_2O$ . Conversely, with the formation of  $Na_5H_3(CO_3)_4$  and  $NaHCO_3$  phases between 20 and 40 min, the temperature, it was observed that there was an obvious decline in the DTG and DTA curves in Figure 4-15.

To make them understood clearly, the morphological variation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> after the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion for different reaction times was examined with SEM. The SEM images for the H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations of RH52% and RH74% are shown in Figure 4-17 and 4-11, respectively.

As shown in Figure 4-17a, for the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration of RH52%, the diameter of macropores on the surface slightly decreased after the initial 10 min, when the macropores significantly diminished for RH74% (Figure 4-11a). After 20 min, much macropores remained on the surface, corresponding to the formation of few Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O and Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>. The macroporous surface nearly disappeared at 40 min along with the formation of dominant NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. The winkled surface was formed at 300 min, when the product was totally NaHCO<sub>3</sub> phase observed in the XRD patterns (Figure 4-16). In comparison to the morphological variation between 40 and 300 min for RH74%, it was found that granules on the surface did not developed obviously for RH52%. These results indicate that a higher H<sub>2</sub>O concentration is favorable to the formation of granules on the surface of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> particles.



**Figure 4-17:** SEM images after CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion for the H<sub>2</sub>O concentration of RH52% at a CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> at 303 K (a–d) (a: 10 min, b: 20 min, c: 40 min, d: 300 min).

Regarding the analyses of XRD and morphological variations with the reaction times under various H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations, we find that the crystal structure transformation from Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> proceeding slowly limited the overall CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate. In particular, the transformation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at the initial stage occurs slowly because of the weak hydration of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. During this transformation process, the morphological variations do not play a crucial role in the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate.

## 4.4 Conclusion

The analyses of TG and XRD show the dependence of  $CO_2$  sorptivity of  $Na_2CO_3$  on the temperature,  $CO_2$  concentration, and  $H_2O$  concentration. A low temperature favors to the formation of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> with highly exothermic, whereas the formation of  $Na_5H_3(CO_3)_4$  proceeds readily at higher temperatures. On the basis of the discussed the structure changes and morphological variation with reaction times under various  $CO_2$  and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations, it is found that the bicarbonate formation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> has two different pathways, such as reactions (4-4)–(4-6) and reaction (4-2). For higher CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations, bicarbonate formation through reaction (4-2) accounts for higher proportion. The formation of Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O favorably occurs under low CO<sub>2</sub> and high H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations, although bicarbonate formation proceeds slowly through reactions (4-5) and (4-6). Furthermore, the macropores on the surface nearly disappearing within 10 min depend mainly upon higher CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations favorable for the bicarbonate formation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. This result leads to increasing the surface resistance to limit the transformation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> reacting with CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O at the initial stage for higher CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations, although the morphology do not a crucial role in the transformation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. In addition, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is an abundant and cheap sorbent and its CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion amount is 5.75 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup> within 50 min for 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, which is 85.6% of theoretical CO<sub>2</sub> capture capacity, thus, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> as the CO<sub>2</sub> capture sorbent has some application value for CO<sub>2</sub> capture.

## References

- [1] H. Chioyama, H. Luo, T.Ohba, H. Kanoh. *Adsorption Sci. and Technol.*, **2015**, 33 (3), 243–250.
- [2] C. Zhao, X. Chen, C. Zhao, Y. Liu. *Energy Fuels*, **2009**, 23, 1766–1769.
- [3] J. Hoffman, H. Pennline. J. Energy Environ. Res., 2001, 1, 90–100.
- [4] C. Zhao, X. Chen, C. Zhao. J. Combust. Sci. Technol., 2009, 15, 135-140.
- [5] Y. Liang, D. P. Harrison, R. P. Gupta, D. A. Green, W. J. McMichael. *Energy Fuels*, 2004, 18, 569–575.
- [6] S. C. Lee, B. Y. Choi, S. J. Lee, S. Y. Jung, C. K. Ryu, J. C. Kim. *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.*, **2004**, 153, 527–530.
- [7] J. B. Lee, C. K. Ryu, J. Baek, J. H. Lee, T. H. Eom, S. H. Kim. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2008, 47, 4465–4472.
- [8] Y. Seo, S. Jo, C. K. Ryu, C. Yi. Chemosphere, 2007, 69, 712–718.
- [9] H. Knuutila, E. T. Hessen, I. Kim, T. Haug-Warberg, H. F. Svendsen. *Chemical Engineering Science*, **2010**, 65, 2218–2226.
- [10] R. R. Kondakindi, G. McCumber, S. Aleksic, W. Whittenberger, M. A. Abraham. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, **2013**, 15, 65–69.
- [11] Y. Liang. Carbon dioxide capture from flue gas using regenerable sodium-based sorbents. M.S. Dissertation, Louisiana State University (LSU), Baton Rouge, LA, **2003**.
- [12] D. A. Green, B. S. Turk, R. P. Gupta, A. Lopez-Ortiz, D. P. Harrison, Y. Liang. Carbon dioxide capture from flue gas using dry regenerable sorbents. Quarterly Technical Progress Report, Research Triangle Institute: Research Triangle Park, NC, May **2001**.
- [13] D. A. Green, B. S. Turk, R. P. Gupta, A. Lopez-Ortiz, D. P. Harrison, Y. Liang. Carbon dioxide capture from flue gas using dry regenerable sorbents. Quarterly Technical Progress Report, Research Triangle Institute: Research Triangle Park, NC, July **2001**.

[14] D. A. Green, B. S. Turk, R. P. Gupta, D. P. Harrison, Y. Liang. Carbon dioxide capture from flue gas using dry regenerable sorbents. Quarterly Technical Progress Report, Research Triangle Institute: Research Triangle Park, NC, Oct **2001**.

[15] D. A. Green, B. S. Turk, R. P. Gupta, W. J. McMichael, D. P. Harrison, Y. Liang. Carbon dioxide capture from flue gas using dry regenerable sorbents. Quarterly Technical Progress Report, Research Triangle Institute: Research Triangle Park, NC, Jan **2002**.

[16] D. A. Green, B. S. Turk, R. P. Gupta, W. J. McMichael, D. P. Harrison, Y. Liang. Carbon dioxide capture from flue gas using dry regenerable sorbents. Quarterly Technical Progress Report, Research Triangle Institute: Research Triangle Park, NC, April **2002**.

[17] D. A. Green, B. S. Turk, J. W. Portzer, R. P. Gupta, W. J. McMichael, Y. Liang, D. P. Harrison. Carbon dioxide capture from flue gas using dry regenerable sorbents. Quarterly Technical Progress Report, Research Triangle Institute: Research Triangle Park, NC, July **2002**.

[18] D. A. Green, B. S. Turk, J. W. Portzer, R. P. Gupta, W. J. McMichael, Y. Liang, D. P. Harrison. Carbon dioxide capture from flue gas using dry regenerable sorbents. Quarterly Technical Progress Report, Research Triangle Institute: Research Triangle Park, NC, Oct **2002**.

[19] D. A. Green, B. S. Turk, J. W. Portzer, R. P. Gupta, W. J. McMichael, Y. Liang, T. Moore, D. P. Harrison. Carbon dioxide capture from flue gas using dry regenerable sorbents. Quarterly Technical Progress Report, Research Triangle Institute: Research Triangle Park, NC, Aug **2003**.

[20] D. A. Green, B. S. Turk, J. W. Portzer, R. P. Gupta, W. J. McMichael, Y. Liang, T. Moore, M. Williams, D. P. Harrison. Carbon dioxide capture from flue gas using dry regenerable sorbents. Quarterly Technical Progress Report, Research Triangle Institute: Research Triangle Park, NC, Oct **2003**.

[21] D. A. Green, B. S. Turk, J. W. Portzer, R. P. Gupta, W. J. McMichael, T. Nelson.

Carbon dioxide capture from flue gas using dry regenerable sorbents. Quarterly Technical Progress Report, Research Triangle Institute: Research Triangle Park, NC, April **2004**.

[22] D. A. Green, B. S. Turk, J. W. Portzer, R. P. Gupta, W. J. McMichael, T. Nelson. Carbon dioxide capture from flue gas using dry regenerable sorbents. Quarterly Technical Progress Report, Research Triangle Institute: Research Triangle Park, NC, July **2004**.

[23] W. Dong, X. Chen, Y. Wu, C. Zhao, C. Liang, D. Liu. *Energy Fuels*, **2012**, 26, 6040–6046.

[24] W. Dong, X. Chen, F. Yu, Y. Wu. Energy Fuels, 2015, 29, 968–973.

## Chapter 5: General conclusion

Fundamentals in CO<sub>2</sub> sorptivity of  $K_2CO_3$  and  $Na_2CO_3$  are important for widespread applications for CO<sub>2</sub> capture. We had paid more attention to determine the effect of temperature, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and humidity on CO<sub>2</sub> sorptivity of  $K_2CO_3$  and  $Na_2CO_3$ at low temperature. We also focused that the pathways of crystalline structure change and morphology variation of  $K_2CO_3$  and  $Na_2CO_3$  particles with reaction time depend upon various CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and humidity, respectively.

In chapter 3, the studies about kinetics, structural changes and morphological variation in CO<sub>2</sub> sorptivity of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under different temperature, CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations were performed. TG-DTA and XRD analyses showed the dependence of CO<sub>2</sub> sorptivity of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> on the temperature, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, and H<sub>2</sub>O concentration. A low temperature is favorable to the bicarbonate formation. The analysis of changes in XRD patterns over reaction time of the bicarbonate formation process of  $K_2CO_3$  under different CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations, illustrates that the reaction of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> with CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O proceeds via three reactions. Also the results of changes in exothermic properties, temperature, and CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate show that the CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion process of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> goes through three stages. The formation of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O from K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> provides the most heat. K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O as the first product can be converted into K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O in the initial stage of CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion. The formation of KHCO<sub>3</sub> from  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$  is considered as the rate-controlling step, which slowly proceeds at the final stage, although there is a second rise in CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion rate and temperature under higher CO<sub>2</sub> flow rates (20–100 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>). The analysis of morphology variation over reaction time shows that the  $CO_2$  occlusion of  $K_2CO_3$  is inhibited at a higher CO<sub>2</sub> or lower H<sub>2</sub>O concentration, and the formation of KHCO<sub>3</sub> from K<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O proceeds slowly, although increasing the CO<sub>2</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O

concentration is favorable to obtaining higher  $CO_2$  occlusion amount. Particle morphology is found to play a crucial role in kinetic behavior of  $CO_2$  sorptivity of  $K_2CO_3$  and  $K_4H_2(CO_3)_3 \cdot 1.5H_2O$ . Ultimately,  $K_2CO_3$  can be used for  $CO_2$  capture under low temperature, and 5–10%  $CO_2$  concentration in the presence of saturated water vapor.

In chapter 4, the analyses of TG and XRD show the dependence of CO<sub>2</sub> sorptivity of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> on the temperature, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, and H<sub>2</sub>O concentration. A low temperature favors to the formation of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> with highly exothermic, whereas the formation of Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> proceeds readily at higher temperatures. On the basis of the discussed the structure changes and morphological variations with reaction times under various CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations, it is found that the bicarbonate formation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> has two different pathways, such as reactions (4-4)–(4-6) and reaction (4-2). For higher CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations, bicarbonate formation through reaction (4-2) accounts for higher proportion. The formation of Na<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O favorably occurs under low CO<sub>2</sub> and high H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations, although bicarbonate formation proceeds slowly through reactions (4-5) and (4-6). Furthermore, the macropores on the surface nearly disappearing within 10 min depend mainly upon higher CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations favorable for the bicarbonate formation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. This result leads to increasing the surface resistance to limit the transformation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> reacting with CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O at the initial stage for higher CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations, although the morphology does not play a crucial role in the transformation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. In addition, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is an abundant and cheap sorbent and its CO<sub>2</sub> occlusion amount is 5.75 mmol $\cdot$ g<sup>-1</sup> within 50 min for 30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, which is 85.6% of theoretical CO<sub>2</sub> capture capacity, thus, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> as the CO<sub>2</sub> capture sorbent has some application value for  $CO_2$  capture.

On the basis of the discussions of  $CO_2$  occlusion of  $K_2CO_3$  and  $Na_2CO_3$ ,  $K_2CO_3$  and  $Na_2CO_3$  can be applied for  $CO_2$  capture but the former has better application prospect. The basic reason for this case is the different crystal structures of  $K_2CO_3$  (hexagonal) and  $Na_2CO_3$  (monoclinic) decomposed from the bicarbonate. Consequently, the hydration reaction of  $K_2CO_3$  can transform more easily whereas that of  $Na_2CO_3$  proceeds more slowly.

# Acknowledgments

First and foremost I would like to express my deep gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Hirofumi Kanoh, for all his contributions of time, funding, and guidance to make my doctoral program. I appreciate what he has taught me during past three years.

I would like to express my thanks to Associate professor Tomonori Ohba and Assistant professor Stephan Thürmer for their precious comments and invaluable discussion. I would like to thank my tutors, Mr. Yoshikazu Kobayashi and Mr. Hideyuki Chioyama, for their advice and helps.

I want to thank Dr. Itoh of Center for analytical instrumentation for his help for SEM measurement. I also thank my colleagues from Molecular Chemistry and Molecular Nanochemistry Groups, in particular, Mr. Benny Permana and Ms. GuangYang for their encouragement, Mr. Yuki Ohyama and Mr. Manabu Sasaki for the maintenance of XRD, and Mr. Atsushi Takase, Mr. Shotaro Yamamoto, Mr. Kazuki Ohazama, and Mr. Takahisa Okuno for their helps and discussion.

The members of Molecular Chemistry and Molecular Nanochemistry Groups have a friendly relation as well as good communication to make me appear to be warm at home. I am grateful for the memorable activities, such as the barbecue and trips that spent two days and one night together, to enrich my life at Chiba.

Finally, I would like to thank my family for the support for my pursuits and all their love.

July, 2015

Hongchao Luo

# Accomplishments

## Conference

- <u>Hongchao Luo</u>, Hideyuki Chioyama, Tomonori Ohba, Hirofumi Kanoh. Kinetics and Structural Changes in CO<sub>2</sub> Sorption of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under Moisture, Gordon research Seminars (GRS), 26-27th July, 2014, HongKong, China.[Poster]
- 2) <u>Hongchao Luo</u>, Hideyuki Chioyama, Tomonori Ohba, Hirofumi Kanoh. Kinetics and Structural Changes in CO<sub>2</sub> Sorption of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under a Moist Condition, 10th International Conference on Separation Science and Technology (ICSST), October 30–November 1, 2014, Nara Prefectural New Public Hall, Nara, Japan.[Poster]

#### **Publication**

 H. Chioyama, H. Luo, T. Ohba, and H. Kanoh Temperature-dependent Double-step CO<sub>2</sub> Occlusion of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under Moist Conditions

Ads. Sci. Technol., 2015, 33, 243-250.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1260/0263-6174.33.3.243

 H. Luo, H. Chioyama, S. Thürmer, T. Ohba, and H. Kanoh Kinetics and Structural Changes in CO<sub>2</sub> Capture of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> under a Moist Condition *Energ. Fuel*, **2015**, 29, 4472–4478.

DOI: 10.1021/acs.energyfuels.5b00578