Keratinocyte IL-36 receptor/MyD88 signaling is involved in *Malassezia*-induced IL-17-dependent skin inflammation

(ケラチノサイトのIL-36受容体/MyD88経路が マラセチア誘導性IL-17依存性皮膚炎に関与する)

千葉大学大学院医学薬学府

先端医学薬学専攻

(主任:松江弘之教授)

宮地 秀明

ABSTRACT

Background: Among skin commensal fungi, lipophilic *Malassezia* species exist on nearly all human skin surfaces. They are associated with mild to severe inflammatory skin diseases ranging from dandruff to atopic dermatitis. The pathophysiology of *Malassezia*-associated skin diseases has not been well understood, partly because of a lack of appropriate animal models.

Objective: We investigated the mechanisms underlying *Malassezia*-induced skin inflammation using a novel murine model that physiologically recapitulates *Malassezia* skin infection.

Methods: Mice were epicutaneously inoculated with *Malassezia* yeasts without barrier dysfunction and with no lipid supplementation. They were then evaluated for skin inflammation by clinically counting skin disease scores and histopathologically measuring epidermal thickness, by assessing lesional fungal loads (*Malassezia* colony-forming units) and by quantitating expression of cytokines and antimicrobial peptides in lesions at the mRNA/protein levels.

Results: *Malassezia* induced skin inflammation by day 4 post-inoculation and induced epidermal thickening in wild-type mice. High fungal burdens in the cornified layer (day 2 post-inoculation) were decreased thereafter with complete clearance by day 7 postinoculation. *Malassezia* induced production of type 17 and type 17-polarizing cytokines in addition to antimicrobial peptides in inflamed skin. Using IL-17-knockout mice or mice deficient in specific immune cell types, we showed that *Malassezia*-induced skin inflammation was IL-17-dependent and required significant participation from group 3 innate lymphoid cells. Moreover, IL-17-dependent skin inflammation was mediated by keratinocyte MyD88 signaling in which the IL-36 receptor, but not the IL-1 receptor, contributed as an upstream molecule.

Conclusion: This skin infection model showed that *Malassezia*-induced IL-17dependent skin inflammation is mediated by the IL-36 receptor/MyD88 signaling.

Key messages

- *Malassezia*, commensal fungi of the skin, induce IL-17-dependent skin inflammation in which group 3 innate lymphoid cells play a major role.
- Malassezia-induced skin inflammation is mediated by keratinocyte MyD88 signaling in which the IL-36 receptor, but not the IL-1 receptor, is involved.

Capsule summary

The commensal fungi, *Malassezia*, exist on all human skin, where they interact with skin cells immunologically affecting health and disease. Here, the underlying response to the fungi was uncovered using a novel animal model.

Key words: Fungus, *Malassezia*, skin, infection, inflammation, keratinocytes, IL-36, MyD88, IL-17, group 3 innate lymphoid cells

Abbreviations:

CFU: Colony-forming unit, DETCs: dendritic epidermal T cells, ILCs: innate lymphoid cells, ILC3s: group 3 innate lymphoid cells, IL: interleukin, IL-1R: interleukin-1 receptor, H&E: hematoxylin and eosin, mAb: monoclonal antibody, PAS: periodic acid-Schiff, p.i.: post-inoculation, *spp*.: species, TLR: Toll-like receptor, WT: wild-type

INTRODUCTION

Malassezia yeasts are human commensal fungi, found most abundantly in the skin and less abundantly in the gut, that are associated with health and disease.¹⁻³ Malassezia species (spp.) have been implicated in the pathogenesis of a number of skin diseases such as dandruff, seborrheic dermatitis, pityriasis versicolor, Malassezia folliculitis, psoriasis and atopic dermatitis.⁴⁻⁶ In the gut, they have more recently been reported to exacerbate colitis in Crohn's disease⁷ and even to promote oncogenesis of pancreatic cancer.8 In healthy individuals, Malassezia yeasts asymptomatically colonize nearly all skin surfaces with few exceptions (e.g., the toe-web space) and predominate in the skin fungal microbiome, reflecting their benign commensal roles.^{1,2} In contrast to Candida yeasts, commensal fungi that are less abundantly found at the cutaneous and mucosal surfaces of healthy individuals and are quite often involved in superficial and invasive infections in patients with various immunocompromising conditions,^{9, 10} Malassezia yeasts are mainly associated with the skin diseases mentioned above and seldom cause systemic infections even in immuno-suppressed or immuno-deficient conditions.¹¹ The pathophysiology of Malassezia-associated skin diseases is poorly understood, in part due to the lack of known inborn errors of immunity showing clear causative links between Malassezia and disease development in humans and in part due to a lack of appropriate animal models to study *in vivo* host-pathogen interactions. Thus, our knowledge of the interactions between Malassezia yeasts and the human host largely relies on *in vitro* experiments.¹²

Sparber et al., however, recently established an infection model involving application of *Malassezia* yeasts onto the ear skin of mice.⁶ They provided insight into host-*Malassezia* interactions in the skin by demonstrating that type 17 immunity

controls fungal colonization and also drives *Malassezia*-associated inflammation in barrier-disrupted skin, mimicking atopic dermatitis. In their model, olive oil-suspended *Malassezia* yeasts were topically applied onto the ear skin without any dressing. Olive oil provided lipid supplementation to enable *Malassezia* yeasts to more efficiently colonize the ear skin because most *Malassezia spp*. are lipophilic and require external lipid sources for growth.¹¹ In addition, *Malassezia* infection of ear skin that was barrierdisrupted by tape stripping induced more severe skin inflammation than infection without tape stripping. To more closely recapitulate superficial *Malassezia* skin infection, we established a novel murine *Malassezia* skin infection model without skin barrier disruption and with no external lipid supplementation. Using this model, we found that *Malassezia* yeasts induce interleukin (IL)-17-dependent skin inflammation in which the IL-36 receptor/MyD88 signaling in keratinocytes is involved.

METHODS

Animals

Wild-type (WT) mice on a C57BL/6J background were purchased from Japan CLEA (Tokyo, Japan). *Ill7a^{-/-}f^{/-}*,^{13, 14} *Rorc^{-/-}*,^{15, 16} *Rag2^{-/-}*,¹⁷ *Myd88^{-/-}*,¹⁸ *Tlr2^{-/-}4^{-/-}*,^{19, 20} and *Il1r^{-/-}*,²¹ mice on C57BL/6 backgrounds were previously reported. *K14-Cre* mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory. *Myd88^{fl/fl}* mice on a C57BL/6 background were a gift from Dr. Xiaoxia Li (Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio).²² The generation of *K14-Cre-Myd88^{fl/fl}* (*Myd88^{-/ker}*) mice with a conditional deletion of MyD88 in keratinocytes has been previously described.²³All mouse strains were bred and maintained under specific pathogen-free (SPF) conditions and were used in experiments at 8 to 12 weeks of age. Female mice were used in all experiments. Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)-treated and *Malassezia-infected* mice were kept separately to avoid cross-contamination. No *Malassezia spp*. was detected by culture in our colony of SPF mice prior to infection. All animal studies were performed according to protocols approved by the Chiba University Review Board for Animal Care and in strict accordance with the Regulations for Animal Experimentation at Chiba University.

M. furfur culture

Malassezia furfur (IFM 40081) was kindly provided by the Medical Mycology Research Center, Chiba University. *M. furfur* was incubated for 4–5 days at 30°C with 180 rpm shaking in liquid-modified Leeming and Notman agar [LNA GT(10); for 1 L liquid medium 10 g peptone (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Mass), 5 g glucose (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, Mo), 0.1 g yeast extract (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 8 g oxgall (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ), 10 mL glycerol (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan), 0.5 g glycerol monostearate (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, Calif), and 5 mL Tween 60 (Sigma-Aldrich); 12 g of agar (Wako Pure Chemical Industries) was added for plates].²⁴ To determine the number of colony forming units (CFUs), samples were plated on LNA GT(10) agar plates supplemented with 0.05% chloramphenicol (Wako Pure Chemical Industries) and incubated for 4–5 days at 30°C.

M. furfur infection model

The dorsal skin of mice was shaved using an electric clipper, and then depilatory cream (Veet® In Shower Hair Removal Cream; Reckitt Benckiser, Slough, United Kingdom) was gently applied for further hair removal. Prior to fungal inoculation, the skin was histopathologically orthokeratotic, reflecting little, if any, epidermal barrier dysfunction. Cultured *M. furfur* cells were washed and resuspended in PBS and diluted to an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 20 immediately before inoculation of mouse skin. One hundred microliters of *M. furfur* cell suspension (corresponding to approximately 5×10^6 CFU) was placed on a patch of sterile gauze (1×1 cm), which was then attached to the shaved skin with transparent bioocclusive dressing (3M, Maplewood, Minn). Each mouse was exposed to *M. furfur* for 2, 4 or 7 days through the patch. The skin disease score was the sum of individual scores for erythema, scaling, erosion, and thickness, graded as 0 (none), 1 (mild), 2 (moderate), and 3 (severe). Mice were then sacrificed, and skin samples were used for histology, CFU enumeration, RNA extraction and cytokine quantitation.

Antibody treatment of mice

To deplete innate lymphoid cells (ILCs), mice were treated with an anti-CD90 monoclonal antibody (mAb) (200 μ g; clone: 30H12; Bio X Cell, West Lebanon, NH) or a control mAb (200 μ g; clone: LTF-2; Bio X Cell) intraperitoneally and subcutaneously on days -4, -2, 0, and 2 of *M. furfur* infection. To neutralize the IL-36 receptor (IL-36R), mice were injected intradermally on day 0 and intraperitoneally on days 1 and 3 with an anti-IL-36R mAb (50 μ g; clone: M616; Amgen, Thousand Oaks, Calif) or a control mAb (50 μ g; clone: 2A3; Bio X Cell).

Histology

Skin tissue was formalin fixed, paraffin embedded, and sectioned for hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) staining. For each mouse, 15 epidermal thickness measurements from images taken at 100× magnification using a BX41 microscope equipped with DP20 camera (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) were averaged using ImageJ software version 1.52 (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md) to determine the epidermal thickness.

Isolation of murine primary keratinocytes and in vitro stimulation

Primary keratinocytes were isolated from WT mice as previously described.²³ Primary keratinocytes were cultured in proliferation medium (CnT-PR; CELLnTEC, Bern Switzerland) and passaged once. When cells reached confluency, terminal differentiation of keratinocytes was induced by switching the culture medium to differentiation medium (CnT-PR-D; CELLnTEC) supplemented with 1.2 mM CaCl₂. Simultaneously, primary keratinocytes were stimulated with live *M. furfur* at a

predetermined multiplicity of infection of 30 or mock-stimulated for 24 h at 37°C under a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. Following stimulation, cell lysates were collected for RNA extraction and supernatants were collected for cytotoxicity assays.

RNA extraction from mouse skin tissue and primary keratinocytes

Total RNA was extracted from homogenized skin tissue using an EZNA® Total RNA Kit I (Omega bio-tek, Norcross, Ga) and from primary keratinocytes using ISOGEN (Nippon Gene, Toyama, Japan) according to the manufacturers' instructions. Total RNA was used to synthesize cDNA using PrimeScript[™] RT Master Mix (Perfect Real Time) (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qPCR)

qPCR was performed using TB Green® *Premix Ex Taq*TM II (Takara Bio) and a CFX ConnectTM Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, Calif). Expression levels were normalized to the expression of *Gapdh*. The primer sequences used were as follows: *Gapdh*, 5'-TGTGTCCGTCGTGGATCTGA-3' and 5'-TTGCTGTTGAAGTCGCAGGAG-3'; *Il17a*, 5'- CAGGGAGAGCTTCATCTGTGT-3' and 5'-GCTGAGCTTTGAGGGATGAT-3'; *Il17f*, 5'CTGTTGATGTTGGGACTTG-3' and 5'-GTTCATGGTGCTGTCTTCCTG-3'; *Il17c*, 5'- CTGGAAGCTGACACTCACG -3' and 5'- GGTAGCGGTTCTCATCTGTG -3'; *Il22*, 5'-

TGACGACCAGAACATCCAGA-3' and 5'-AGCTTCTTCTCGCTCAGACG-3'; *116*, 5'-CCAGAGATACAAAGAAATGATGG-3' and 5'-

ACTCCAGAAGACCAGAGGAAAT-3'; *Il1b*, 5'-TCTTCTTTGGGTATTGCTTGG-3' and 5'-TGTAATGAAAGACGGCACACC-3'; *Defb3*, 5'- TCTGTTTGCATTTCTCCTGGTG-3' and 5'-TCTGACGAGTGTTGCCAATG-3'; *S100a9*, 5'-ACTCTTTAGCCTTGAAGAGCAAG-3' and 5'-TTCTTGCTCAGGGTGTCAGG-3'; *Il36a*, 5'-GTTCGTCTCAAGAGTGTCCAGATAT-3' and 5'-ACTCCAGAAGACCAGAGGAAAT-3'; *Il36b*, 5'-CCATGTTGGATTTACTTCTCAGACT-3' and 5'-ACAAAAAGCCTTTCTGTTCTATCAT-3'; *Il36g*, 5'-AGAGTAACCCCAGTCAGCGTG-3' and 5'-AGGGTGGTGGTACAAATCCAA-3'.

Cytometric bead assay

Levels of IL-17A and IL-17F proteins in skin tissue homogenates were measured using BD CBA Flex Sets according to the manufacturer's instructions (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). The results were analyzed using FCAP Array version 3.0 software (BD Bioscience).

Cytotoxicity assay

Cytotoxicity was measured using a lactate dehydrogenase release assay (CytoTox 96 Non-Radioactive Cytotoxicity Assay; Promega, Madison, Wis) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software version 7.0e (Graphpad Software, San Diego, Calif). Differences between two groups were assessed using the Mann–Whitney U test. Differences among multiple groups were assessed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (parametric) or the Kruskal–Wallis test (non-parametric) with post-hoc testing. Values of P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Epicutaneous infection of mouse skin with *M. furfur*

To investigate how *Malassezia* yeasts interact with the skin *in vivo* under nearphysiological conditions (both for the skin and for the pathogen), we established a new infection model in which the dorsal skin of mice was inoculated with *M. furfur* without disruption of skin barriers and with no external lipid supplementation. On day 2 postinoculation (p.i.), WT mice (C57BL/6J background) showed mild skin disease with no significant epidermal thickening. The mice showed more robust skin disease with significant epidermal thickening and excessive cornification (i.e., hyperkeratosis) on day 4 p.i., and thereafter on day 7 p.i. showed slightly improved skin pathology. By contrast, mock-infected control mice showed normal skin with no epidermal thickening and hyperkeratosis (Fig 1, *A*–*C*). Interestingly, the epidermal and dermal inflammatory infiltrates were mild even on day 4 p.i. (Fig 1, *A*). *Malassezia* yeasts were localized in the outermost layers of the thickened cornified layers as shown in the inset of Fig 1, *A*. Their localization was consistent with previously reported observations.⁶ The fungal burden decreased over the observation period and was eventually undetectable on day 7 p.i., as assessed by counting *M. furfur* CFUs in the skin (Fig 1, *D*).

M. furfur induce type 17 inflammation

Considering that IL-17 and related cytokines were involved in fungal immunity,^{26, 27} we examined their expression in the tissues in *M. furfur*-induced skin inflammation. Type 17 cytokines (i.e., IL-17A, IL-17F, IL-17C, and IL-22), type 17-polarizing cytokines (i.e., IL-6 and IL-1 β) and antimicrobial peptides induced by IL-17 (i.e., β -defensin 3 and S100A9) were all up-regulated in lesional skin of mice inoculated with



Figure 1. The mouse model of epicutaneous *M. furfur* infection. **A**, C57BL/6J mice were epicutaneously inoculated with *M. furfur* for 4 days. Mice treated with vehicle (PBS) alone are shown for comparison. Representative macroscopic images of skin lesions and H&E- or PAS-stained skin sections are shown. Inset shows high-power image of colonized *Malassezia* yeasts. Scale bars, 50 μ m. **B–D**, Mice were epicutaneously inoculated with *M. furfur* for 2, 4, or 7 days or treated with PBS for 4 days for comparison (Fig 1, *B* and *C*). Skin disease scores (Fig 1, *B*) and epidermal thickness (Fig 1, *C*) of mice on the indicated days are shown. *M. furfur* CFUs on days 2, 4, and 7 p.i. are shown in Fig 1, *D*. Data represent combined results from four independent experiments (Fig 1, *B–D*). Each dot represents an individual mouse. n.s., not significant; n.d., not detected; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test (Fig 1, *B* and *C*) or Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison test (Fig 1, *D*).

M. furfur compared with the skin of mock-infected animals (Fig 2, A–C). We also confirmed that production of IL-17A and IL-17F were up-regulated upon *M. furfur* infection (Fig 2, D). These results were consistent with previous data using a different model in which *Malassezia* yeasts were applied to the dorsal ear skin with or without skin barrier disruption.⁶



Figure 2. Epicutaneous exposure of *M. furfur* induces activation of the IL-17 pathway. A–C, mRNA expression levels of type 17 cytokines (Fig 2, *A*), type 17-polarizing cytokines (Fig 2, *B*) and antimicrobial peptides induced by IL-17 (Fig 2, *C*) in the lesional skin of C57BL/6J mice inoculated with *M. furfur* or treated with PBS for 4 days. IL-17A and IL-17F production (Fig 2, *D*) in the skin tissues of mice inoculated with *M. furfur* or treated with PBS for 4 days. Data represent combined results of two (Fig 2, *A*–*C*) and three (Fig 2, *D*) independent experiments. Each dot represents an individual mouse. **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 by Mann–Whitney U test.

Skin inflammation induced by *M. furfur* is IL-17-dependent

To evaluate the impact of IL-17 on Malassezia-induced skin inflammation, we infected mice doubly deficient in IL-17A and IL-17F ($II17a^{-/-}f^{/-}$) as well as WT mice with M. furfur epicutaneously. On day 4 p.i., skin pathology assessed by skin disease score and epidermal thickness were significantly decreased in $II17a^{-/-}f^{/-}$ mice compared with WT mice, without affecting the fungal load (Fig 3, A–D). Reflecting the fungal loads, Malassezia yeasts were again localized in the cornified layers of the epidermis (Fig 3, A). These results indicated that IL-17A and IL-17F play an essential role in skin inflammation to *M. furfur* in the skin. *Rorc^{-/-}* mice lacking IL-17-producing NKT cells,²⁸ Th17 cells,¹⁶ and group 3 innate lymphoid cells (ILC3s)^{15, 29, 30} showed little skin pathology following epicutaneous *M. furfur* infection and no epidermal thickening; similarly to $Il17a^{-/-}f^{/-}$ mice, there was no effect on fungal burdens (Fig 3, A and E-G). Notably, production of IL-17A and IL-17F in lesional skin of Rorc^{-/-} mice following fungal inoculation was significantly reduced compared with WT mice, and as expected, production of these cytokines in $II17a^{-f}$ mice was not detectable (Fig 3, H and I). These results suggested that the cellular sources of IL-17 in Malassezia-induced skin inflammation may be among the cell types absent in Rorc^{-/-} mice.

ILC3s are involved in M. furfur-induced skin inflammation

To further confirm the cellular source of IL-17, we next used $Rag2^{-/-}$ mice, which lack T and B cells including Th17 cells and $\gamma\delta$ T cells but possess ILC3s. $Rag2^{-/-}$ mice were depleted of ILC3s using an anti-CD90 mAb. Upon *Malassezia* infection, ILC3depleted $Rag2^{-/-}$ mice showed significantly decreased skin disease scores and epidermal thickening compared with control mAb-treated $Rag2^{-/-}$ mice, again with no effect on



Figure 3. *M. furfur*-induced skin pathology is IL-17 dependent. A–I, C57BL/6J (WT), $II17a^{-f}f^{-}$ and *Rorc*^{-/-} mice were epicutaneously inoculated with *M. furfur* for 4 days. WT mice were treated with PBS for 4 days for comparison. Representative H&E- and PAS-stained skin sections (Fig 3, *A*) are shown. Skin disease scores (Fig 3, *B* and *E*), epidermal thickness (Fig 3, *C* and *F*), *M. furfur* CFUs (Fig 3, *D* and *G*), and IL-17A and IL-17F production (Fig 3, *H* and *I*) in skin tissues are shown. Data represent combined results from two independent experiments (Fig 3, *B*–*I*). n.s., not significant; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 by Mann–Whitney U test (Fig 3, *D* and *G*), ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test (Fig 1, *B* and *C*) or Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison test (Fig 1, *E*, *F*, *H*, and *I*).

fungal loads and localization of *Malassezia* yeasts in the cornified layers (Fig 4, A–D). Production of IL-17A and IL-17F in lesional skin of anti- CD90 mAb-treated $Rag2^{-/-}$ mice following fungal inoculation was significantly reduced compared with control mAb-treated $Rag2^{-/-}$ mice (Fig 4, E). Together, these results suggested that ILC3s were involved in *Malassezia*-induced skin inflammation as a source of IL-17.

Keratinocyte MyD88 is important for *M. furfur*-induced skin inflammation in an IL-17-dependent fashion

We next explored the upstream factors of Malassezia-induced skin inflammation. There is currently no information available regarding which receptors on epidermal cells directly interact with *Malassezia* yeasts except a few reports documenting that Toll-like receptor (TLR) 2 on human keratinocytes was implicated in sensing Malassezia yeasts and inducing an inflammatory response in vitro.^{12, 31, 32} Therefore, we focused on MyD88, which is critical for signaling via members of the TLR/IL-1 receptor (TLR/IL-1R) superfamily. As shown in Fig 5 A-C, Myd88^{-/-} mice showed significantly decreased skin disease scores and reduced epidermal thickening compared with WT mice upon Malassezia skin infection. Mice lacking MyD88 only in keratinocytes ($Myd88^{\Delta ker}$) also showed phenotypes similar to those of $Myd88^{-/-}$ mice with systemic deletion of MyD88. Fungal loads in both $Myd88^{\Delta ker}$ mice and $Myd88^{-/-}$ mice were indistinguishable from those of WT mice (Fig 5, D). Again, Malassezia yeasts were localized in the cornified layers (Fig 5, A). Remarkably, production of IL-17A and IL-17F was significantly reduced in $Myd88^{\Delta ker}$ mice and $Myd88^{-/-}$ mice compared with WT mice upon infection (Fig 5, E). These results indicated that MyD88 in keratinocytes was involved in Malassezia-induced IL-17-dependent skin

inflammation in this model.



Figure 4. ILC3s contribute to *M. furfur*-induced skin inflammation. A–D, $Rag2^{-/-}$ mice treated with either anti-CD90 mAb or isotype-matched control mAb were epicutaneously inoculated with *M. furfur* for 4 days. Representative H&E- and PAS-stained skin sections (Fig 4, *A*) are shown. WT mice were treated with PBS for 4 days for comparison. Skin disease scores (Fig 4, *B*), epidermal thickness (Fig 4, *C*), *M. furfur* CFUs (Fig 4, *D*) and levels of IL-17A and IL-17F in the lesional skin (Fig 4, *E*) are shown. Data represent combined results from two independent experiments (Fig 4, *B*–*E*). Each dot represents an individual mouse. n.s., not significant; *p<0.05, **p<0.01 by Mann–Whitney U test.





Figure 5. Keratinocyte MyD88 is involved in *M. furfur*-induced skin inflammation in an IL-17dependent fashion. A–E, C57BL/6J (WT), *K14-CreMyD88*^{*I*/*I*} (*Myd88*^{*Aker*}) and *Myd88*^{-/-} mice were epicutaneously inoculated with *M. furfur* for 4 days. WT mice were treated with PBS for 4 days for comparison. Representative H&E- and PAS-stained skin sections (Fig 5, *A*), skin disease scores (Fig 5, *B*), epidermal thickness (Fig 5, *C*), *M. furfur* CFUs (Fig 5, *D*) and levels of IL-17A and IL-17F in the lesional skin (Fig 5, *E*) are shown. Data represent combined results from four independent experiments. Each dot represents an individual mouse. n.s., not significant; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 by ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test (Fig 5, *B*) or Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison test (Fig 5, *C to E*).

IL-36R, but not IL-1R, contributes to Malassezia-induced skin inflammation

MyD88 is a critical adaptor molecule in signaling mediated by multiple receptors belonging to the TLR/IL-1R superfamily.³³⁻³⁶ In a preliminary experiment during the search for receptors that stimulated MyD88 in keratinocytes upon Malassezia infection, mice deficient in both TLR2 and TLR4 showed no significant reduction of Malasseziainduced skin inflammation compared with WT mice (data not shown). This result was inconsistent with previous reports documenting the involvement of TLR2 in human keratinocyte responses in vitro.31, 32 Furthermore, mice deficient in IL-1R, which can be activated by either IL-1 α or IL-1 β , also showed no significant reduction in skin disease scores, epidermal thickening or fungal loads compared with WT mice (Fig 6, A-C). We next investigated the involvement of IL-36R, which also signals through MyD88,^{37, 38} in Malassezia-induced skin inflammation by in vivo administration of a neutralizing mAb against IL-36R. WT mice receiving the neutralizing anti-IL-36R mAb showed significant reductions in skin disease scores and epidermal thickening compared with mice treated with a control mAb, with no effect on fungal loads (Fig 6 D-F). Production of IL-17A and IL-17F was significantly reduced in anti-IL-36R mAbtreated WT mice compared with mice treated with a control mAb (Fig 6, G). Together, these results indicated that IL-36R, but not IL-1R, contributed to Malassezia-induced skin inflammation. To investigate whether *Malassezia* induced expression of IL-36 transcripts, we incubated monolayers of primary differentiated keratinocytes with M. furfur and examined the expression of three agonistic cytokines of the IL-36 family (IL- 36α , IL- 36β , and IL- 36γ). As shown in Fig 7, A, all transcripts were similarly upregulated when co-cultured with Malassezia, while viability of keratinocytes was comparable in the presence or absence of the fungi (Fig 7, B). These findings indicated

that keratinocytes have the potential to mediate IL-36 production upon the interaction with *Malassezia*.



Figure 6. IL-36R, but not IL-1, contributes to *M. furfur*-induced skin inflammation. A–C, C57BL/6J (WT) and *Il1r^{-/-}* mice were epicutaneously inoculated with *M. furfur* for 4 days. WT mice were treated with PBS for 4 days for comparison. Skin disease scores (Fig 6, *A*), epidermal thickness (Fig 6, *B*), and *M. furfur* CFUs in the skin (Fig 6, *C*) are shown. **D–F,** WT mice treated with either neutralizing anti-IL36R mAb or control mAb were epicutaneously inoculated with *M. furfur* for 4 days. WT mice were treated with PBS for 4 days for comparison. Mice from each group were evaluated for skin disease scores (Fig 6, *D*), epidermal thickness (Fig 6, *E*), *M. furfur* CFUs (Fig 6, *F*) and levels of IL-17A and IL-17F in lesional skin (Fig 6, *G*). Data represent combined results from two independent experiments (Fig, *A–G*). Each dot represents an individual mouse. n.s., not significant; *p<0.05, **p<0.01 by Mann–Whitney U test.



Figure 7. *M. furfur* induces IL-36 expression in keratinocytes. A and B, Primary differentiated keratinocytes from C57BL/6J mice were stimulated with live *M. furfur* for 24 h. The mRNA expression levels of IL-36 (IL-36 α , IL-36 β , and IL-36 γ) (Fig 7, *A*) and cytotoxicity (Fig 7, *B*) of keratinocytes treated with or without *M. furfur* are shown. Data represent combined results from two independent experiments. n.s., not significant; **p<0.01 by Mann–Whitney U test.

DISCUSSION

Fungi of the genus *Malassezia* are lipophilic and require external lipids for growth. The genus currently comprises 18 spp., 12 of which have been identified on human skin.³⁹ Malassezia spp. are abundantly found on nearly all skin surfaces and are dominant commensal fungi of the human skin microbiota.^{1,2} Malassezia spp. are occasionally associated with many skin diseases ranging from diseases associated with mild inflammation (e.g., dandruff and pityriasis versicolor) to those associated with severe inflammation (e.g., seborrheic dermatitis, psoriasis and atopic dermatitis). Among Malassezia spp., M. sympodialis, M. globosa, M. furfur, and M. restrica have frequently been isolated from skin lesions of patients, although their frequency of isolation varied from report to report.⁴⁰ However, there has been no clear evidence to document the roles of Malassezia spp. in the development of such skin diseases in human. To understand the roles played by *Malassezia* yeasts in their pathogenesis, it is necessary to dissect homeostatic immunity against Malassezia spp. under steady-state conditions and under inflammatory disease conditions. In other words, what is the initial impact of commensal Malassezia on the mammalian skin immune system under healthy conditions? To address this, we used the mouse, an animal that is not colonized by any Malassezia spp. We developed a new Malassezia infection model by inoculating the fungi onto the skin surface without barrier disruption and with no external lipid supplementation to investigate Malassezia-skin interactions and their role in the initial immune response against *Malassezia*. Working with this model, we revealed that Malassezia-induced skin inflammation, characterized by mild infiltration and epidermal thickening, was IL-17 dependent. We also found that ILC3s played a role in Malasseziainduced skin inflammation and that IL-17-dependent skin inflammation was mediated

by keratinocyte MyD88 signaling, in which the IL-36 receptor, but not the IL-1 receptor, was involved.

As a critical mediator of immune responses, IL-17 produced by a number of distinct immune cells such as Th17 cells, $\gamma\delta$ T cells, NKT cells, NK cells, and ILC3s, is a vital cytokine in host defense against microorganisms including fungi (e.g., Candida *albicans*).^{26, 41-43} In the skin, the importance of IL-17 for host defense against C. albicans cutaneous infection was shown by several inborn errors of immunity causing chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis in humans⁴⁴ and by several mouse models of C. albicans cutaneous infections.^{45, 46} In addition C. albicans, Microsporum canis and Trichophyton benhamiae, the causative agents of superficial dermatophytosis, were also shown to induce IL-17-mediated immune responses (via Th1 and Th 17 cells) that were necessary to achieve fungal control.²⁶ These previous models required mechanical barrier disruption before inoculation of fungi and histopathologically showed prominent neutrophil infiltrates. More recently, it was shown that Malassezia triggered IL-17-mediated skin inflammation with massive infiltration of myeloid cells, including neutrophils, irrespective of skin barrier disruption before fungal inoculation. Moreover, dermal $\gamma\delta$ T cells, but not dendritic epidermal T cells (DETCs), were primarily involved in IL-17-mediated skin inflammation in the early phase of inflammation, whereas memory Th17 cells contributed to the later acquired phase of inflammatory immunity upon the fungal infection.⁶ In contrast, ILC3s were primary involved in IL-17-mediated skin inflammation in our Malassezia infection model. Although we did not examine the recall response at later time points, ILC3s under near-physiological conditions (e.g., no barrier disruption and no external lipid supplementation) may play a major role as the initial cells producing IL-17 during acute superficial Malassezia

skin infection. Innate lymphoid cells exist at barrier tissues (e.g., skin, intestine, and lung). In the skin, ILC3s are located in the dermis, where they contribute to the immunopathology of psoriasis as a significant source of IL-17 and IL-22.⁴⁷⁻⁵⁰ Because *Malassezia* were reportedly abundant in psoriatic skin lesions, suggesting a potential role in triggering psoriasis plaque formation,^{40, 51} it is intriguing to speculate that *Malassezia* may stimulate ILC3s to produce IL-17 and IL-22, contributing to the development of skin lesions in psoriasis. In this context, it is interesting to consider that our *Malassezia* infection model resembles psoriasis-like skin lesions without prominent neutrophil infiltration into the epidermis; similar lesions are frequently observed in the histopathology of psoriasis plaques. We may further consider that commensal *Malassezia* would trigger IL-17 production by ILC3s and provide a prerequisite condition for the development of the disease.

We found that mice lacking MyD88 only in keratinocytes (*Myd88*^{Δ ker}) as well as *Myd88*^{-/-} mice systemically deficient in MyD88 showed decreased skin inflammation upon superficial*Malassezia*skin infection, but comparable fungal loads, compared with WT mice. Importantly, in both types of MyD88-deficient mice, IL-17 production was dramatically reduced upon infection. Together, these results indicated that keratinocyte MyD88 signaling played a major signaling role in the direct interaction between*Malassezia*and keratinocytes. These results were inconsistent with the observations reported by Sparber*et al.*documenting that the IL-17A transcripts in the lesional skin of*Myd88*^{<math>-/-} mice on day 2 p.i. by*Malassezia*with barrier disruption were indistinguishable from those of WT mice.⁶ The discrepancy may reflect differences in the*Malassezia*species used, the infection site, the timing of observation, or the presence or absence of initial skin barrier disruption.</sup></sup>

Regarding the upstream receptors involved in MyD88 signaling, we found that IL-36R, but not IL-1R, was involved in superficial *Malassezia* skin infection in our model. By contrast, IL-1R and IL-36R signaling were both involved in murine acute oral candidiasis.^{52, 53} In addition, we recently found that IL-1R and IL-36R signaling were both critical for *Staphylococcus aureus*-induced skin inflammation.²³ One potential explanation of these differences is that these pathogens might induce expression of distinct proteases derived by host immune cells (e.g., neutrophils and mast cells) to differentially activate members of the IL-1/IL-36 family.⁵⁴ We speculate that cells other than neutrophils may be cellular sources of these proteases because the neutrophil infiltrates observed during *Malassezia*-induced skin inflammation or oral candidiasis. However, further study is needed to clarify why IL-1R is apparently not involved in *Malassezia*-induced skin inflammation in our model.

In summary, the present study revealed that *Malassezia* induce IL-17-dependent skin inflammation that is mediated by IL-36R/MyD88 signaling in keratinocytes. It is important to note several unsolved key questions. How does *Malassezia* induce IL-36Rmediated responses through keratinocyte MyD88 signaling? Is its production induced by soluble factor(s) derived from *Malassezia* or their cell wall component(s)? How does host immunity maintain homeostasis against this commensal fungus at the skin surface? How are *Malassezia* involved in the development of *Malassezia*-associated skin diseases given continuous existence on the skin? Further studies are required to address these questions. Nevertheless, we believe that the present study provides insight into the interactions between the host and commensal *Malassezia* yeasts on the skin, and also provide clues toward understanding their contributions to the pathogenesis of

Malassezia-associated skin diseases including psoriasis and atopic dermatitis. Notably, the IL-36R/ MyD88 signaling pathway may become a target to identify virulence factors of *Malassezia*, eventually leading to the development of therapeutic interventions for those disorders.

Acknowledgments

First, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to Professor Hiroyuki Matsue and Dr. Yuumi Nakamura for supervising my graduate research and clinical fellowship training in dermatology.

I thank Professor Katsuhiko Kamei (Medical Mycology Research Center, Chiba University) for providing *M. furfur*; Dr. Shinobu Saijo (Medical Mycology Research Center, Chiba University) for providing *Il17a^{-/-}f^{-/-}* mice and *Rag2^{-/-}* mice; Dr. Seitaro Nakagawa (University of Michigan) for helpful discussion; Ms. Noriko Saito, Ms. Ayako Oikawa, and Dr. Yuki Katayama for technical assistance. I am also thankful to Professor Hiroshi Nakajima, Professor Masaki Takiguchi, and Professor Mitsutoshi Yoneyama from Chiba University for comments and suggestions during the dissertation defense.

I express my gratitude to Dr. Rena Oguma, Dr. Shusuke Kawashima, and Dr. Yuriko Yamazaki, who were my fellow graduate students. Ms. Aki Mizuno is acknowledged for kindly helping me as secretary of the department. I cannot name all members here, but members of the Department of Dermatology, Chiba University are greatly appreciated for the time they spent with me during clinical fellowship training.

The research of this dissertation was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16H06252.

Finally, I am most grateful to my precious family for their generous support.

February 2020 Hideaki Miyachi

References

- Findley K, Oh J, Yang J, Conlan S, Deming C, Meyer JA, et al. Topographic diversity of fungal and bacterial communities in human skin. Nature 2013; 498:367-70.
- 2. Byrd AL, Belkaid Y, Segre JA. The human skin microbiome. Nature Reviews Microbiology 2018; 16:143-55.
- Dawson TL. Malassezia: The Forbidden Kingdom Opens. Cell Host & Microbe 2019; 25:345-7.
- 4. Hay RJ. Malassezia, dandruff and seborrhoeic dermatitis: an overview. British Journal of Dermatology 2011; 165:2-8.
- 5. Nowicka D, Nawrot U. Contribution of Malassezia spp. to the development of atopic dermatitis. Mycoses 2019; 62:588-96.
- Sparber F, De Gregorio C, Steckholzer S, Ferreira FM, Dolowschiak T, Ruchti F, et al. The Skin Commensal Yeast Malassezia Triggers a Type 17 Response that Coordinates Anti-fungal Immunity and Exacerbates Skin Inflammation. Cell Host Microbe 2019; 25:389-403 e6.
- Limon JJ, Tang J, Li DL, Wolf AJ, Michelsen KS, Funari V, et al. Malassezia Is Associated with Crohn's Disease and Exacerbates Colitis in Mouse Models. Cell Host & Microbe 2019; 25:377-88 e6.
- 8. Aykut B, Pushalkar S, Chen R, Li Q, Abengozar R, Kim JI, et al. The fungal mycobiome promotes pancreatic oncogenesis via activation of MBL. Nature 2019.
- 9. Swidergall M. Candida albicans at Host Barrier Sites: Pattern Recognition Receptors and Beyond. Pathogens 2019; 8:40.
- Pappas PG, Lionakis MS, Arendrup MC, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Kullberg BJ. Invasive candidiasis. Nature Reviews Disease Primers 2018; 4:18026.
- 11. Cabanes FJ. Malassezia Yeasts: How Many Species Infect Humans and Animals? Plos Pathogens 2014; 10.
- 12. Sparber F, LeibundGut-Landmann S. Host Responses to Malassezia spp. in the Mammalian Skin. Front Immunol 2017; 8:1614.
- Saijo S, Ikeda S, Yamabe K, Kakuta S, Ishigame H, Akitsu A, et al. Dectin-2 Recognition of α-Mannans and Induction of Th17 Cell Differentiation Is Essential for Host Defense against Candida albicans. Immunity 2010; 32:681-91.
- Ishigame H, Kakuta S, Nagai T, Kadoki M, Nambu A, Komiyama Y, et al. Differential roles of interleukin-17A and -17F in host defense against

mucoepithelial bacterial infection and allergic responses. Immunity 2009; 30:108-19.

- Sun Z, Unutmaz D, Zou YR, Sunshine MJ, Pierani A, Brenner-Morton S, et al. Requirement for RORgamma in thymocyte survival and lymphoid organ development. Science 2000; 288:2369-73.
- Ivanov, II, McKenzie BS, Zhou L, Tadokoro CE, Lepelley A, Lafaille JJ, et al. The orphan nuclear receptor RORgammat directs the differentiation program of proinflammatory IL-17+ T helper cells. Cell 2006; 126:1121-33.
- 17. Hao Z, Rajewsky K. Homeostasis of peripheral B cells in the absence of B cell influx from the bone marrow. J Exp Med 2001; 194:1151-64.
- Adachi O, Kawai T, Takeda K, Matsumoto M, Tsutsui H, Sakagami M, et al. Targeted disruption of the MyD88 gene results in loss of IL-1- and IL-18mediated function. Immunity 1998; 9:143-50.
- Hoshino K, Takeuchi O, Kawai T, Sanjo H, Ogawa T, Takeda Y, et al. Cutting edge: Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4)-deficient mice are hyporesponsive to lipopolysaccharide: Evidence for TLR4 as the Lps gene product. Journal of Immunology 1999; 162:3749-52.
- Takeuchi O, Hoshino K, Kawai T, Sanjo H, Takada H, Ogawa T, et al. Differential roles of TLR2 and TLR4 in recognition of gram-negative and grampositive bacterial cell wall components. Immunity 1999; 11:443-51.
- Glaccum MB, Stocking KL, Charrier K, Smith JL, Willis CR, Maliszewski C, et al. Phenotypic and functional characterization of mice that lack the type I receptor for IL-1. J Immunol 1997; 159:3364-71.
- 22. Hou B, Reizis B, DeFranco AL. Toll-like receptors activate innate and adaptive immunity by using dendritic cell-intrinsic and -extrinsic mechanisms. Immunity 2008; 29:272-82.
- Nakagawa S, Matsumoto M, Katayama Y, Oguma R, Wakabayashi S, Nygaard T, et al. Staphylococcus aureus Virulent PSMα Peptides Induce Keratinocyte Alarmin Release to Orchestrate IL-17-Dependent Skin Inflammation. Cell Host & Microbe 2017; 22:667-77.e5.
- Kaneko T, Makimura K, Onozaki M, Ueda K, Yamada Y, Nishiyama Y, et al. Vital growth factors of Malassezia species on modified CHROMagar Candida. Medical Mycology 2005; 43:699-704.
- Nakamura Y, Oscherwitz J, Cease KB, Chan SM, Munoz-Planillo R, Hasegawa M, et al. Staphylococcus delta-toxin induces allergic skin disease by activating mast cells. Nature 2013; 503:397-401.

- Sparber F, Leibundgut-Landmann S. Interleukin-17 in Antifungal Immunity. Pathogens 2019; 8:54.
- 27. Mengesha BG, Conti HR. The Role of IL-17 in Protection against Mucosal Candida Infections. Journal of Fungi 2017; 3.
- 28. Michel ML, Mendes-Da-Cruz D, Keller AC, Lochner M, Schneider E, Dy M, et al. Critical role of ROR-gamma t in a new thymic pathway leading to IL-17producing invariant NKT cell differentiation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2008; 105:19845-50.
- 29. Eberl G, Marmon S, Sunshine MJ, Rennert PD, Choi Y, Littman DR. An essential function for the nuclear receptor RORgamma(t) in the generation of fetal lymphoid tissue inducer cells. Nat Immunol 2004; 5:64-73.
- Satoh-Takayama N, Vosshenrich CA, Lesjean-Pottier S, Sawa S, Lochner M, Rattis F, et al. Microbial flora drives interleukin 22 production in intestinal NKp46+ cells that provide innate mucosal immune defense. Immunity 2008; 29:958-70.
- Baroni A, Orlando M, Donnarumma G, Farro P, Iovene MR, Tufano MA, et al. Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) mediates intracellular signalling in human keratinocytes in response to Malassezia furfur. Arch Dermatol Res 2006; 297:280-8.
- 32. Donnarumma G, Perfetto B, Paoletti I, Oliviero G, Clavaud C, Del Bufalo A, et al. Analysis of the response of human keratinocytes to Malassezia globosa and restricta strains. Archives of Dermatological Research 2014; 306:763-8.
- Kawai T, Akira S. The role of pattern-recognition receptors in innate immunity: update on Toll-like receptors. Nat Immunol 2010; 11:373-84.
- Janssens S, Beyaert R. A universal role for MyD88 in TLR/IL-1R-mediated signaling. Trends in Biochemical Sciences 2002; 27:474-82.
- 35. Palomo J, Dietrich D, Martin P, Palmer G, Gabay C. The interleukin (IL)-1 cytokine family--Balance between agonists and antagonists in inflammatory diseases. Cytokine 2015; 76:25-37.
- Mantovani A, Dinarello CA, Molgora M, Garlanda C. Interleukin-1 and Related Cytokines in the Regulation of Inflammation and Immunity. Immunity 2019; 50:778-95.
- 37. Towne JE, Garka KE, Renshaw BR, Virca GD, Sims JE. Interleukin (IL)-1F6, IL-1F8, and IL-1F9 signal through IL-1Rrp2 and IL-1RAcP to activate the pathway leading to NF-kappa B and MAPKs. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2004; 279:13677-88.

- 38. Jensen LE. Interleukin-36 cytokines may overcome microbial immune evasion strategies that inhibit interleukin-1 family signaling. Sci Signal 2017; 10.
- Theelen B, Cafarchia C, Gaitanis G, Bassukas ID, Boekhout T, Dawson TL. Malassezia ecology, pathophysiology, and treatment. Medical Mycology 2018; 56:S10-S25.
- Prohic A, Sadikovic TJ, Krupalija-Fazlic M, Kuskunovic-Vlahovljak S. Malassezia species in healthy skin and in dermatological conditions. International Journal of Dermatology 2016; 55:494-504.
- Netea MG, Joosten LAB, Van Der Meer JWM, Kullberg B-J, Van De Veerdonk FL. Immune defence against Candida fungal infections. Nature Reviews Immunology 2015; 15:630-42.
- 42. Pappu R, Rutz S, Ouyang WJ. Regulation of epithelial immunity by IL-17 family cytokines. Trends in Immunology 2012; 33:343-9.
- 43. Veldhoen M. Interleukin 17 is a chief orchestrator of immunity. Nature Immunology 2017; 18:612-21.
- Lehman H, Gordon C. The Skin as a Window into Primary Immune Deficiency Diseases: Atopic Dermatitis and Chronic Mucocutaneous Candidiasis. The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology: In Practice 2019; 7:788-98.
- 45. Kashem SW, Kaplan DH. Skin Immunity to Candida albicans. Trends in Immunology 2016; 37:440-50.
- 46. Kühbacher A, Burger-Kentischer A, Rupp S. Interaction of Candida Species with the Skin. Microorganisms 2017; 5:32.
- 47. Ebbo M, Crinier A, Vely F, Vivier E. Innate lymphoid cells: major players in inflammatory diseases. Nat Rev Immunol 2017; 17:665-78.
- 48. Tikoo S, Jain R, Kurz ARM, Weninger W. The lymphoid cell network in the skin. Immunology and Cell Biology 2018; 96:485-96.
- 49. Teunissen MBM, Munneke JM, Bernink JH, Spuls PI, Res PCM, Te Velde A, et al. Composition of innate lymphoid cell subsets in the human skin: enrichment of NCR(+) ILC3 in lesional skin and blood of psoriasis patients. J Invest Dermatol 2014; 134:2351-60.
- 50. Villanova F, Flutter B, Tosi I, Grys K, Sreeneebus H, Perera GK, et al. Characterization of Innate Lymphoid Cells in Human Skin and Blood Demonstrates Increase of NKp44+ ILC3 in Psoriasis. Journal of Investigative Dermatology 2014; 134:984-91.
- 51. Stehlikova Z, Kostovcik M, Kostovcikova K, Kverka M, Juzlova K, Rob F, et al. Dysbiosis of Skin Microbiota in Psoriatic Patients: Co-occurrence of Fungal and

Bacterial Communities. Frontiers in Microbiology 2019; 10.

- Altmeier S, Toska A, Sparber F, Teijeira A, Halin C, LeibundGut-Landmann S. IL-1 Coordinates the Neutrophil Response to C. albicans in the Oral Mucosa. Plos Pathogens 2016; 12.
- 53. Verma AH, Zafar H, Ponde NO, Hepworth OW, Sihra D, Aggor FEY, et al. IL-36 and IL-1/IL-17 Drive Immunity to Oral Candidiasis via Parallel Mechanisms. J Immunol 2018; 201:627-34.
- Afonina IS, Muller C, Martin SJ, Beyaert R. Proteolytic Processing of Interleukin-1 Family Cytokines: Variations on a Common Theme. Immunity 2015; 42:991-1004.