

# Hilbert Coefficients and Buchsbaumness of Associated Graded Rings

Shiro Goto and Koji Nishida\*

## Abstract

Let  $A$  be a Noetherian local ring with the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $I$  an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal. The purpose of this paper is to generalize Northcott's inequality on Hilbert coefficients of  $I$  given in [8], without assuming that  $A$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. We will investigate when our inequality turns into an equality. It is related to the Buchsbaumness of the associated graded ring of  $I$ .

AMS classification codes: 13D40, 13H10, 13H15

Keywords: Hilbert coefficients, standard ideal, Buchsbaum ring

## 1 Introduction

Let  $A$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Noetherian local ring with the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $I$  an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $A$ . Then there exist integers  $e_0(I), e_1(I), \dots, e_d(I)$  such that

$$\ell_A(A/I^{n+1}) = e_0(I) \binom{n+d}{d} - e_1(I) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \dots + (-1)^d e_d(I)$$

for  $n \gg 0$ . These integers are called the Hilbert coefficients of  $I$  and a lot of results are known on them in the case where  $A$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. For example, as was proved by Northcott [8], we always have  $e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I) \leq e_1(I)$ . Moreover, provided  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is infinite, Huneke and Ooishi [6, 9] proved that  $e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I) = e_1(I)$  if and only if  $I^2 = QI$  for some (any) minimal reduction  $Q$  of  $I$ , and when this is the case, by [11], the associated graded ring  $G(I) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n/I^{n+1}$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. The purpose of this paper is to extend their results without assuming that  $A$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.

Suppose that  $I$  contains a parameter ideal  $Q$  as a reduction. Then, from Northcott's inequality, one can easily deduce that  $e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I) \leq e_1(I) - e_1(Q)$  (See 3.1). Assuming that  $Q$  is a standard ideal in the sense of [10, Definition 19 of Appendix], we will investigate

---

\*The authors are supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Reserches in Japan (C(2), No. 13640044, No. 11640011).

when the equality  $e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I) = e_1(I) - e_1(Q)$  holds. In order to state our result, let us fix some notation. For an ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $A$  which is minimally generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_s$ , we set

$$\Sigma(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{q} + \sum_{i=1}^s [(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_s) :_A a_i].$$

It is easy to see that  $\Sigma(\mathfrak{q})$  does not depend on the choice of the minimal system of generators. For a module  $M$  over a ring  $R$ , we denote by  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$  the  $i$ -th local cohomology module of  $M$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{a}$ . In particular, we set  $W = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(A)$ . Then we have the following.

**Theorem 1.1** *Suppose that  $I$  contains a standard parameter ideal  $Q$  as a reduction. Then  $e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I) = e_1(I) - e_1(Q)$  if and only if  $I^2 \subseteq QI + W$  and  $\Sigma(Q) \subseteq I$ .*

If the length of  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A)$ , which is denoted by  $h^i(A)$ , is finite for any  $0 \leq i < d$ , we have that

$$-e_1(Q) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-2}{i-1} h^i(A)$$

with equality when  $Q$  is a standard ideal (See 2.4). Therefore, as a consequence of 1.1 and [3], we get the next result.

**Corollary 1.2** *If  $A$  is a quasi-Buchsbaum ring, then*

$$\sup_{\sqrt{I}=\mathfrak{m}} \{e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I) - e_1(I)\} = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-2}{i-1} h^i(A).$$

Moreover, assuming that  $A$  is a Buchsbaum ring or a slightly different condition, for ideals  $I$  which enjoy the property stated in 1.1, we will study the Buchsbaumness of  $G(I)$  together with  $I(G(I))$  and  $\mathfrak{a}(G(I))$ , where  $I(*)$  and  $\mathfrak{a}(*)$  denote the I-invariant (cf. [10, p. 254]) and  $\mathfrak{a}$ -invariant (cf. [4]) respectively.

**Theorem 1.3** *Suppose that either (i)  $A$  is a Buchsbaum ring or (ii)  $A$  is a quasi-Buchsbaum ring and  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$ . If  $I$  contains a parameter ideal  $Q$  such that  $I^2 \subseteq QI + W$  and  $\Sigma(Q) \subseteq I$ , then  $G(I)$  is a Buchsbaum ring with  $I(G(I)) = I(A)$  and  $\mathfrak{a}(G(I)) \leq 2 - d$ .*

Throughout this paper  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  denotes a commutative Noetherian local ring with  $d = \dim A > 0$  and  $I$  an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $A$ . The Rees algebra  $R(\mathfrak{a})$  of an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of a ring  $R$  is the subring  $R[It]$  of  $R[t]$ , where  $t$  is an indeterminate. The associated graded ring  $G(\mathfrak{a})$  is the quotient ring  $R(\mathfrak{a})/\mathfrak{a}R(\mathfrak{a})$ . For  $f \in R(\mathfrak{a})$ , we denote its image in  $G(\mathfrak{a})$  by  $\bar{f}$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

We begin with the following result of one dimensional case.

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $d = 1$ . If  $I$  contains a parameter ideal  $Q$  as a reduction, then we have that  $e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I) \leq e_1(I) + \ell_A(I \cap W)$  with equality if and only if  $I^2 \subseteq QI + W$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B = A/W$ . Then  $B$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring with  $\dim B = 1$  and  $QB$  is a parameter ideal of  $B$  contained in  $IB$  as a reduction. Hence, by Northcott's inequality and the result of Huneke and Ooishi stated in Introduction, we have that  $e_0(IB) - \ell_B(B/IB) \leq e_1(IB)$  with equality if and only if  $I^2B = QIB$ . On the other hand, as  $\ell_B(B/I^{n+1}B) = \ell_A(A/I^{n+1}) - \ell_A(W)$  for  $n \gg 0$ , we have  $e_0(IB) = e_0(I)$  and  $e_1(IB) = e_1(I) + \ell_A(W)$ . Moreover,  $\ell_B(B/IB) = \ell_A(A/I) - \ell_A(W) + \ell_A(I \cap W)$ . Therefore we get the required assertion as  $I^2B = QIB$  if and only if  $I^2 \subseteq QI + W$ .

When we investigate higher dimensional case, we reduce the dimension using a superficial element (cf. [7, Section 22]), and the next result, which may be well known, plays a key role.

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $d \geq 2$  and  $a$  be a superficial element of  $I$ . We set  $B = A/aA$ . Then  $\dim B = d - 1$  and*

$$e_i(IB) = \begin{cases} e_i(I) & \text{if } 0 \leq i < d - 1 \\ e_{d-1}(I) + (-1)^{d-1} \ell_A(0 :_A a) & \text{if } i = d - 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $n \gg 0$ . Then  $I^{n+1} \cap aA = aI^n$  and  $I^n \cap (0 :_A a) = 0$ . Hence we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow 0 :_A a \longrightarrow A/I^n \xrightarrow{a} (aA + I^{n+1})/I^{n+1} \longrightarrow 0,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \ell_B(B/I^{n+1}B) \\ &= \ell_A(A/I^{n+1}) - \ell_A(A/I^n) + \ell_A(0 :_A a) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i e_i(I) \binom{n+d-i}{d-i} - \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i e_i(I) \binom{n-1+d-i}{d-i} + \ell_A(0 :_A a) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{d-2} (-1)^i e_i(I) \binom{n+d-1-i}{d-1-i} + (-1)^{d-1} \{e_{d-1}(I) + (-1)^{d-1} \ell_A(0 :_A a)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get the required assertion.

**Lemma 2.3** *Suppose that  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is infinite and  $J$  is a reduction of  $I$ . Then there exists an element  $a \in J$  which is superficial for both of  $I$  and  $J$ . Moreover, for such element  $a \in J$ , setting  $B = A/aA$ , we have  $e_1(I) - e_1(J) = e_1(IB) - e_1(JB)$  provided  $d \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* By taking a general linear form in  $G(J)/\mathfrak{m}G(J)$ , we see the existence of  $a \in J$  satisfying the required condition. If  $d \geq 3$ , we get the equality since  $e_1(IB) = e_1(I)$  and  $e_1(JB) = e_1(J)$ . Even if  $d = 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(IB) - e_1(JB) &= \{e_1(I) - \ell_A(0 :_A a)\} - \{e_1(J) - \ell_A(0 :_A a)\} \\ &= e_1(I) - e_1(J). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $Q$  be a parameter ideal of  $A$ . We have the following statements provided  $h^i(A)$  is finite for any  $0 \leq i < d$ .*

- (1) *Let  $d = 1$ . Then  $-e_1(Q) = h^0(A)$ .*
- (2) *Let  $d \geq 2$ . Then we have that*

$$-e_1(Q) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-2}{i-1} h^i(A)$$

*with equality if  $Q$  is a standard ideal.*

*Proof.* Let  $d = 1$ . Then, taking  $n \gg 0$  such that  $W = 0 :_A Q^n$  and  $\ell_A(A/Q^n) = e_0(Q) \cdot n - e_1(Q)$ , we see that  $-e_1(Q) = \ell_A(W)$  since  $e_0(Q) \cdot n = e_0(Q^n) = \ell_A(A/Q^n) - \ell_A(0 :_A Q^n)$ . Thus we get the assertion (1).

Next we assume that  $d \geq 2$ . Moreover, in order to prove the assertion (2), we may assume that  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is infinite. Then we can choose  $a \in Q \setminus \mathfrak{m}Q$  which is a superficial element of  $Q$ . Let  $B = A/aA$  and  $0 \leq i < d - 1$ . Considering the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow 0 :_A a \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{a} A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0,$$

we get the exact sequence

$$(\#) \quad H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A) \xrightarrow{a} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(B) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i+1}(A) \xrightarrow{a} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i+1}(A).$$

Hence it follows that  $h^i(B) \leq h^i(A) + h^{i+1}(A)$  with equality when  $Q$  is a standard ideal.

Let  $d = 2$ . Then  $-e_1(Q) = -\{e_1(QB) + \ell_A(0 :_A a)\} = h^0(B) - \ell_A(0 :_A a)$ . Because the exact sequence  $(\#)$  implies  $h^0(B) \leq \ell_A(0 :_W a) + h^1(A)$ , we have  $-e_1(Q) \leq h^1(A)$ .

Furthermore, if  $Q$  is standard, then  $h^0(B) = h^0(A) + h^1(A)$  and  $0 :_A a = W$ , so that  $-e_1(Q) = h^1(A)$ .

Let  $d \geq 3$ . Then  $e_1(QB) = e_1(Q)$ . Hence we can easily verify the assertion (2) by induction on  $d$ .

### 3 General case

As a result in general case, we give the following assertion, which is a generalization of Northcott's inequality.

**Theorem 3.1** *If  $I$  contains a parameter ideal  $Q$  as a reduction, then  $e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I) \leq e_1(I) - e_1(Q)$ .*

*Proof.* We prove by induction on  $d$ . If  $d = 1$ , the assertion follows from 2.1 and 2.4. Suppose that  $d \geq 2$ . We may assume that  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is infinite, so that there exists  $a \in Q \setminus \mathfrak{m}Q$  which is superficial for both of  $I$  and  $Q$ . Then, setting  $B = A/aA$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I) &= e_0(IB) - \ell_B(B/IB) \quad \text{by 2.2} \\ &\leq e_1(IB) - e_1(QB) \quad \text{by the inductive hypothesis} \\ &= e_1(I) - e_1(Q) \quad \text{by 2.3.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get the required inequality.

The next result gives a sufficient condition under which the inequality of 3.1 turns into an equality in the case where  $I = \mathfrak{m}$ .

**Proposition 3.2** *Let  $Q$  be a parameter ideal which is a reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . If there exists an ideal  $V$  of  $A$  such that  $\dim_A V < d$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^2 \subseteq Q\mathfrak{m} + V$ , then  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - 1 = e_1(\mathfrak{m}) - e_1(Q)$ .*

*Proof.* We prove by induction on  $d$ . If  $d = 1$ , then  $V \subseteq W \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ , so that by 2.1 we have  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - 1 = e_1(\mathfrak{m}) + \ell_A(W)$ , which yields the required equality since  $-e_1(Q) = \ell_A(W)$  by 2.4. Suppose that  $d \geq 2$ . As we may assume that  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is infinite, it is possible to take an element  $a \in Q \setminus \mathfrak{m}Q$  such that  $\dim_A V/aV < d - 1$  and  $a$  is a superficial element for both of  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $Q$ . Let  $B = A/aA$ . Then  $\dim_B VB < \dim B$  as  $VB$  is a homomorphic image of  $V/aV$ , so that by the inductive hypothesis we have  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}B) - 1 = e_1(\mathfrak{m}B) - e_1(QB)$ , from which the required equality follows since  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}B) = e_0(\mathfrak{m})$  and  $e_1(\mathfrak{m}B) - e_1(QB) = e_1(\mathfrak{m}) - e_1(Q)$ .

**Corollary 3.3** *Let  $Q$  be a parameter ideal which is a reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = 1$  if and only if  $e_1(\mathfrak{m}) = e_1(Q)$ .*

*Proof.* Because  $0 \leq e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - 1 = e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - \ell_A(A/\mathfrak{m}) \leq e_1(\mathfrak{m}) - e_1(Q)$ , we get  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = 1$  if  $e_1(\mathfrak{m}) = e_1(Q)$ . In order to prove the converse implication, we may assume that  $A$  is complete. Now suppose that  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = 1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}(\mathfrak{p})$  be the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary component of a primary decomposition of  $0$ . We set  $V = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh} A} \mathfrak{a}(\mathfrak{p})$ , where  $\text{Assh} A$  denotes the set of associated primes of  $A$  whose coheight is  $d$ , and  $B = A/V$ . Then  $\dim_A V < d$  and  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}B) = e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = 1$ , which implies that  $B$  is a regular local ring. Hence we have  $\mathfrak{m} = Q + V$ , so that  $\mathfrak{m}^2 \subseteq Q\mathfrak{m} + V$ . Therefore, by 3.2 it follows that  $e_1(\mathfrak{m}) = e_1(Q)$ .

## 4 The case where $Q$ is a standard ideal

**Lemma 4.1** *Let  $d \geq 2$  and  $Q = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d)$  be a standard parameter ideal of  $A$ . We set  $a = a_1, b = a_d, J = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{d-1})$  and  $K = (a_2, a_3, \dots, a_d)$ . Then we have the following.*

$$(1) \quad aJ :_A b^2 = aJ :_A b.$$

$$(2) \quad aJ \cap bA \subseteq aJI \text{ provided } \Sigma(Q) \subseteq I.$$

$$(3) \quad I^2 \subseteq QI + W \text{ provided } \Sigma(Q) \subseteq I, I^2 \subseteq JI + [bA :_A a] \text{ and } I^2 \subseteq KI + [aA :_A b].$$

*Proof.* (1) Let us take any  $x \in aJ :_A b^2$  and write  $b^2x = ay$ , with  $y \in J$ . Then, as  $y \in [b^2A :_A a] \cap (b^2, a_1, \dots, a_{d-1})$ , there exists  $z \in A$  such that  $y = b^2z$ . Here we notice that  $bz \in J$  since  $z \in J :_A b^2 = J :_A b$ . On the other hand, as  $b^2x = ab^2z$ , we have  $bx - abz \in [0 :_A b] \cap bA = 0$ , so that  $bx = a \cdot bz \in aJ$ . Thus we get  $aJ :_A b^2 \subseteq aJ :_A b$  and the converse inclusion is obvious.

(2) Let us take any  $\xi \in aJ \cap bA$  and write  $\xi = ay = bz$ , with  $y \in J$  and  $z \in A$ . Moreover, we write  $y = a_1y_1 + \dots + a_{d-1}y_{d-1}$ , with  $y_1, \dots, y_{d-1} \in A$ . It is enough to show  $y_i \in I$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ . However, as  $y_1 \in K :_A a^2 = K :_A a \subseteq \Sigma(Q) \subseteq I$ , we may consider only the case that  $d \geq 3$  and  $2 \leq i \leq d-1$ . Because  $ay_1 \in K$ , we can express  $ay_1 = a_2z_2 + \dots + a_dz_d$ , with  $z_2, \dots, z_d \in A$ . Then  $z_i \in (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_d) :_A a_i \subseteq I$  for any  $2 \leq i \leq d$ . On the other hand, as

$$\begin{aligned} bz &= a(a_2z_2 + \dots + a_dz_d) + aa_2y_2 + \dots + aa_{d-1}y_{d-1} \\ &= aa_2(y_2 + z_2) + \dots + aa_{d-1}(y_{d-1} + z_{d-1}) + aa_dz_d, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} y_i + z_i &\in (a_2, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_d) :_A aa_i \\ &\subseteq (a_2, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_d) :_A a_i \subseteq I \end{aligned}$$

for  $2 \leq i \leq d-1$ , and hence we get  $y_i \in I$ .

(3) It is enough to show  $[aA :_A b] \cap I^2 \subseteq JI + W$ . Let us take any  $x \in [aA :_A b] \cap I^2$ . Then,  $bx = ay$  for some  $y \in A$ , and  $ax = a\xi + bz$  for some  $\xi \in JI$  and  $z \in A$ . From these equalities we get  $a^2y = ab\xi + b^2z$ . Hence  $z \in aJ :_A b^2 = aJ :_A b$ , so that  $bz = a\eta$  for some  $\eta \in JI$ . Then it follows that  $ax = a\xi + a\eta$ , which implies  $x - \xi - \eta \in 0 :_A a = W$ . Thus we have  $x \in JI + W$  and the proof is completed.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We prove by induction on  $d$ . By 2.1 and 2.4 we get the assertion when  $d = 1$ . Suppose that  $d \geq 2$ . As we may assume that  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is infinite, it is possible to choose a minimal system of generators  $a_1, \dots, a_d$  of  $Q$  such that  $a_1$  and  $a_d$  are superficial for both of  $I$  and  $Q$ . We set  $a = a_1, b = a_d, B = A/aA, J = (a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}), K = (a_2, \dots, a_d)$  and  $Q_i = (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_d)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Because  $e_0(I) = e_0(IB), \ell_A(A/I) = \ell_B(B/IB)$  and  $e_1(I) - e_1(Q) = e_1(IB) - e_1(KB)$ , by the inductive hypothesis we have  $e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I) = e_1(I) - e_1(Q)$  if and only if  $I^2B \subseteq KIB + H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(B)$  and  $\Sigma(KB) \subseteq IB$ , which holds if  $I^2 \subseteq QI + W$  and  $\Sigma(Q) \subseteq I$  since  $WB \subseteq H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(B)$  and  $\Sigma(KB) \subseteq \Sigma(Q)B$ . Now we assume that  $e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I) = e_1(I) - e_1(Q)$ . Then it follows that  $I^2 \subseteq KI + [aA :_A b]$  and  $Q_i :_A a_i \subseteq I$  for  $2 \leq i \leq d$ . Moreover, by passing  $A/bA$  we get  $I^2 \subseteq JI + [bA :_A a]$  and  $Q_i :_A a_i \subseteq I$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ . Therefore, as  $\Sigma(Q) \subseteq I$ , we have  $I^2 \subseteq QI + W$  by 4.1 and the proof is completed.

*Proof of Corollary 1.2.* We may assume that  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is infinite. Then any ideal of  $A$  has a minimal reduction, so that by 2.4 and 3.1 we have

$$e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I) - e_1(I) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{d-2} \binom{d-2}{i-1} h^i(A)$$

for any  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $I$ . Hence it is enough to find an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal for which the equality holds. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  be an sop for  $A$  contained in  $\mathfrak{m}^2$  and  $n_1, \dots, n_d$  be integers not less than 2. We set  $Q = (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_d^{n_d})$  and  $I = Q :_A \mathfrak{m}$ . Then  $Q$  is a standard parameter ideal by [10, Proposition 2.1] and  $I^2 = QI$  by [3]. Because we obviously have  $\Sigma(Q) \subseteq I$ , by 1.1 and 2.4 it follows that

$$e_0(I) - \ell_A(A/I) - e_1(I) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-2} \binom{d-2}{i-1} h^i(A),$$

and the proof is completed.

**Example 4.2** Let  $R = k[[X, Y, Z, W]]$  be the formal power series ring with variables  $X, Y, Z$  and  $W$  over an infinite field  $k$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a} = (X^2, Y)R$ ,  $\mathfrak{b} = (Z, W)R$  and  $A = R/\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$ . Let  $x, y, z$  and  $w$  respectively denote the images of  $X, Y, Z$  and  $W$  in  $A$ . We set  $Q = (x - z, y - w)A$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z, w)A$ . Then we have the following assertion.

- (1)  $\dim A = 2, \text{depth } A = 1, h^1(A) = 2$  and  $A$  is not a quasi-Buchsbaum ring.
- (2)  $\mathfrak{m}^3 = Q\mathfrak{m}^2$ , but  $\mathfrak{m}^2 \neq Q\mathfrak{m}$ .
- (3) If  $V$  is an ideal of  $A$  with  $\dim_A V < 2$ , then  $V = 0$ , so that  $\mathfrak{m}^2 \not\subseteq Q\mathfrak{m} + V$ .
- (4)  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = 3, e_1(\mathfrak{m}) = 1$  and  $e_1(Q) = -1$ , so that  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - \ell_A(A/\mathfrak{m}) = e_1(\mathfrak{m}) - e_1(Q)$ .

*Proof.* From the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{a} \oplus R/\mathfrak{b} \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b} \rightarrow 0$ , we get the assertion (1). One can directly check the assertion (2). Because  $\dim A/\mathfrak{p} = 2$  for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A$ , we have the assertion (3). The associated graded ring  $G(\mathfrak{m})$  of  $\mathfrak{m}$  is isomorphic to

$$k[X, Y, Z, W]/(X^2, Y) \cap (Z, W),$$

so that we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow k[X, Z, W]/(X^2) \oplus k[X, Y] \rightarrow k[X]/(X^2) \rightarrow 0.$$

This implies that the Poincaré series  $P(G(\mathfrak{m}), \lambda)$  of  $G(\mathfrak{m})$  is

$$\frac{1 + \lambda}{(1 - \lambda)^2} + \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda)^2} - (1 + \lambda),$$

from which it follows that

$$\ell_A(A/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}) = \frac{3}{2}n^2 + \frac{7}{2}n$$

for  $n \geq 2$ . Hence  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = 3$  and  $e_1(\mathfrak{m}) = 1$ . Because  $k$  is infinite, there exists  $\mu \in k$  such that  $c = (x - z) + \mu(y - w)$  is a superficial element of  $Q$ . Let  $B = A/cA$ . Then  $e_1(Q) = e_1(QB) = -h^0(B)$  and the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{c} A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$  yields the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_m^0(B) \rightarrow H_m^1(A) \xrightarrow{c} H_m^1(A).$$

Because  $H_m^1(A) \cong R/\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b} \cong k[[X]]/(X^2)$  and  $(X - Z) + \mu(Y - W) \equiv X \pmod{\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}}$ , we have  $H_m^0(B) \cong [(X^2) :_{k[[X]]} X]/(X^2) = (X)/(X^2)$ . Thus we get  $e_1(Q) = -1$  and the proof is completed.



## 5 Buchsbaumness of $G(I)$

Throughout this section we assume that  $I$  contains a parameter ideal  $Q = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$  as a reduction. We set  $R = R(I)$  and  $G = G(I)$ . The graded maximal ideal of  $G$  is denoted by  $M$ . Furthermore, we set  $f_i = a_i t \in R$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . For certain elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of a ring  $S$  and an  $S$ -module  $L$ , we denote by  $e(x_1, \dots, x_n; L)$  the multiplicity symbol of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  with respect to  $L$  (cf. [10, p. 24]).

**Lemma 5.1**  $e(f_1^{n_1}, \dots, f_d^{n_d}; G_M) = e(a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_d^{n_d}; A)$  for any  $n_1, \dots, n_d > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G_+$  be the ideal of  $G$  generated by homogeneous elements of positive degree. As  $(f_1, \dots, f_d)G$  is a reduction of  $G_+$ , we have  $e(f_1, \dots, f_d; G_M) = e_0((G_+)_M)$ . On the other hand, as  $\ell_{G_M}(G/(G_+)^n) = \ell_A(A/I^n)$  for any  $n > 0$ , we have  $e_0((G_+)_M) = e_0(I)$ . Hence it follows that  $e(f_1, \dots, f_d; G_M) = e(a_1, \dots, a_d; A)$ . Therefore, for any  $n_1, \dots, n_d > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} e(f_1^{n_1}, \dots, f_d^{n_d}; G_M) &= n_1 n_2 \cdots n_d \cdot e(f_1, \dots, f_d; G_M) \\ &= n_1 n_2 \cdots n_d \cdot e(a_1, \dots, a_d; A) = e(a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_d^{n_d}; A). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get the required equality.

In the rest of this section, we furthermore assume that  $Q$  is a standard ideal such that  $I^2 \subseteq QI + W$ ,  $I^3 \subseteq Q$  and  $\Sigma(Q) \subseteq I$ .

**Lemma 5.2** Let  $n_1, \dots, n_d$  be positive integers. Then

$$(a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_i^{n_i}) \cap I^n = \sum_{j=1}^i a_j^{n_j} I^{n-n_j}$$

for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Hence we have

$$G/(f_1^{n_1}, \dots, f_i^{n_i})G \cong G(IB),$$

where  $B = A/(a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_i^{n_i})$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that  $n > n_j$  for any  $1 \leq j \leq i$ . Let  $x \in (a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_i^{n_i}) \cap I^n$ . Then, as  $x \in Q \cap (Q^{n-1}I + W) = Q^{n-1}I$ , we can express

$$x = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} y_\lambda a^\lambda \quad (y_\lambda \in I),$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the set of  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  such that  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d = n - 1$  and  $a^\lambda = a_1^{\lambda_1} a_2^{\lambda_2} \dots a_d^{\lambda_d}$ . On the other hand, as

$$x \in (a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_i^{n_i}) \cap Q^{n-1} = \sum_{j=1}^i a_j^{n_j} Q^{n-1-n_j},$$

we can write

$$x = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} z_\gamma a^\gamma \quad (z_\gamma \in A),$$

where  $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in \Lambda \mid \gamma_j \geq n_j \text{ for some } 1 \leq j \leq i\}$ . It is enough to show that  $z_\gamma \in I$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Let  $B = A[T_1, \dots, T_d]$  be the polynomial ring with variables  $T_1, \dots, T_d$  over  $A$  and  $\varphi : B \rightarrow R(Q)$  be the homomorphism of  $A$ -algebras such that  $\varphi(T_j) = f_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq d$ . Because  $a_1, \dots, a_d$  is a  $d$ -sequence,  $\ker \varphi$  is generated by homogeneous elements of degree one (cf. [5]), so that  $\ker \varphi \subseteq IB$  as  $\Sigma(Q) \subseteq I$ . Now we set

$$f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \Gamma} y_\lambda T^\lambda + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (y_\gamma - z_\gamma) T^\gamma.$$

Then  $f \in \ker \varphi$ . Hence we get  $z_\gamma \in I$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**Lemma 5.3** *We have*

- (1)  $[0 :_G f_1]_n = \{\overline{wt^n} \mid w \in W \cap I^n\}$ ,
- (2)  $0 :_G f_1 = [0 :_G f_1]_1 \oplus [0 :_G f_1]_2$ ,
- (3)  $\ell_{G_M}(0 :_G f_1) = \ell_A(W)$ , and hence  $\text{depth } G > 0$  if  $\text{depth } A > 0$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $x \in I^n$  and  $\overline{xt^n} \in 0 :_G f_1$ . Then  $a_1 x \in I^{n+2}$ , so that by 5.2 we have  $a_1 x = a_1 y$  for some  $y \in I^{n+1}$ , which implies  $x \in I^{n+1} + W$  since  $x - y \in 0 :_A a_1 = W$ . Hence  $\overline{xt^n} = \overline{wt^n}$  for some  $w \in W \cap I^n$ . Thus we get  $[0 :_G f_1]_n \subseteq \{\overline{wt^n} \mid w \in W \cap I^n\}$ , and the converse inclusion is obvious.

(2) This follows from the assertion (1) as  $W \cap I^n \subseteq W \cap Q = 0$  for  $n \geq 3$ .

(3) We get this assertion since  $[0 :_G f_1]_1 \cong W/W \cap I^2$  and  $[0 :_G f_1]_2 \cong W \cap I^2$ .

**Lemma 5.4**  $f_1, \dots, f_d$  is a standard system of parameters for  $G_M$ . In particular, it follows that  $H_M^0(G) = 0 :_G f_1$ , so that  $\mathfrak{h}^0(G_M) = \mathfrak{h}^0(A)$ . Moreover, we have  $I(G_M) = I(A)$ .

*Proof.* By 5.2 we have  $G/(f_1, \dots, f_d)G \cong G(I/Q)$ , so that

$$\ell_{G_M}(G/(f_1, \dots, f_d)G) = \ell_A(A/Q).$$

Similarly, setting  $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1^2, \dots, a_d^2)$ , we have

$$\ell_{G_M}(G/(f_1^2, \dots, f_d^2)G) = \ell_A(A/\mathfrak{a}).$$

Then, using 5.1 and that  $a_1, \dots, a_d$  is a standard system of parameters for  $A$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \ell_{G_M}(G/(f_1, \dots, f_d)G) - e(f_1, \dots, f_d; G_M) \\ &= \ell_A(A/Q) - e(a_1, \dots, a_d; A) \\ &= \ell_A(A/\mathfrak{a}) - e(a_1^2, \dots, a_d^2; A) \\ &= \ell_{G_M}(G/(f_1^2, \dots, f_d^2)G) - e(f_1^2, \dots, f_d^2; G_M). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by [10, Theorem and Definition 17 in Appendix], we have the required assertion.

**Lemma 5.5** *We have the following.*

- (1) *If  $0 < i < d$ , then  $H_M^i(G)$  is concentrated in degree  $1 - i$ .*
- (2)  $a(G) \leq 2 - d$ .

*Proof.* We prove by induction on  $d$ . Let  $d = 1$ . In this case, the assertion (1) insists nothing. In order to prove the assertion (2), let us consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_M^0(G)(-1) \longrightarrow G(-1) \xrightarrow{f_1} G \longrightarrow G/f_1G \longrightarrow 0.$$

This sequence yields the exact sequence

$$H_M^0(G/f_1G) \longrightarrow H_M^1(G)(-1) \xrightarrow{f_1} H_M^1(G) \longrightarrow 0,$$

which implies  $[H_M^1(G)]_{n-1} \cong [H_M^1(G)]_n$  for  $n \geq 3$  since  $[G/f_1G]_n \cong I^n/QI^{n-1} + I^{n+1} = 0$  for  $n \geq 3$ . Hence we get  $[H_M^1(G)]_n = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ , so that  $a(G) \leq 1$ .

Now we assume that  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $B = A/W$ . Then the kernel of the graded homomorphism  $G \longrightarrow G(IB)$  of  $A$ -algebras induced from the canonical surjection  $A \longrightarrow B$  has finite length, so that we have  $H_M^i(G) \cong H_M^i(G(IB))$  for  $i > 0$ . On the other hand,  $QB$  is a standard parameter ideal of  $B$  such that  $I^2B = QIB$  and  $\Sigma(QB) \subseteq IB$ . Hence by 5.3 and 5.4 we have that  $f_1$  is  $G(IB)$ -regular and  $f_1 \cdot H_M^i(G(IB)) = 0$  for any  $0 \leq i < d$ .

Furthermore, setting  $C = B/a_1B$ , we have  $G(IB)/f_1G(IB) \cong G(IC)$  by 5.2. Therefore we get the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow G(IB)(-1) \xrightarrow{f_1} G(IB) \longrightarrow G(IC) \longrightarrow 0,$$

from which we see that  $H_M^i(G(IB)) \hookrightarrow H_M^i(G(IC))$  for  $0 \leq i < d$  and  $H_M^{d-1}(G(IB))$  is a homomorphic image of  $H_M^{d-2}(G(IC))(1)$ . Because  $QC = (a_2, \dots, a_d)C$  is a standard parameter ideal of  $C$  such that  $I^2C = QIC$  and  $\Sigma(QC) \subseteq IC$ , the inductive hypothesis insists that  $H_M^i(G(IC)) = [H_M^i(G(IC))]_{1-i}$  for any  $0 \leq i < d-1$  and  $\text{a}(G(IC)) \leq 3-d$ . Now the assertion (1) can be verified easily. In order to see the assertion (2), let us consider the exact sequence

$$H_M^{d-1}(G(IC)) \longrightarrow H_M^d(G(IB))(-1) \xrightarrow{f_1} H_M^d(G(IB)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

If  $n > 3-d$ , then  $[H_M^{d-1}(G(IC))]_n = 0$ , so that  $[H_M^d(G(IB))]_{n-1} \cong [H_M^d(G(IB))]_n$ . Hence we have  $[H_M^d(G)]_n \cong [H_M^d(G(IB))]_n = 0$  for any  $n \geq 3-d$ . Therefore we get the assertion (2) and the proof is completed.

**Lemma 5.6** *Suppose that  $a_1, \dots, a_d$  form a weak sequence (cf. [10, Definition 1.1]) in any order. We arbitrary take  $x_i \in \mathfrak{m}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$  and set  $\xi_i = x_i - a_it$ . Then*

$$(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)G \cap H_M^0(G) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let us take any  $\varphi \in (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)G \cap H_M^0(G)$ . As  $H_M^0(G) = 0 :_G f_1$  by 5.4, we can express  $\varphi = \overline{w_1t + w_2t^2}$ , with  $w_j \in W \cap I^j$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . We would like to show that  $w_j \in I^{j+1}$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . For that, we write  $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^d \overline{\xi_i \cdot \eta_i}$ , with  $\eta_i \in R$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Taking  $N \gg 0$ , we can express  $\eta_i = \sum_{j=1}^N \eta_{ij}t^j$  ( $\eta_{ij} \in I^j$ ) for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Our assumption implies  $\mathfrak{m}W = 0$ , so that  $\mathfrak{m}I^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{m}QI$ . Hence  $I^j \subseteq Q$  for  $j \geq 3$ . Then, by 5.1 we have  $\eta_{ij} \in QI^{j-1}$  for  $j \geq 3$ . Furthermore, we can choose  $\eta_{i2}$  in  $QI$  since  $\xi_i \in \mathfrak{m}A[t]$ ,  $I^2 \subseteq QI + W$  and  $\mathfrak{m}W = 0$ . Because

$$w_1t + w_2t^2 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i \eta_i \pmod{IR},$$

we get the following congruence equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^d x_i \eta_{i0} \pmod{I}, \\
w_1 &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^d (x_i \eta_{i1} - a_i \eta_{i0}) \pmod{I^2}, \\
w_2 &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^d (x_i \eta_{i2} - a_i \eta_{i1}) \pmod{I^3}, \\
0 &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^d (x_i \eta_{ij} - a_i \eta_{i,j-1}) \pmod{I^{j+1}} \quad \text{for } 3 \leq j \leq N \text{ and} \\
0 &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \eta_{iN} \pmod{I^{N+2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The third equation implies  $w_2 \in Q$ , so that  $w_2 = 0$ . Hence it is enough to show  $w_1 \in I^2$ . We need the following.

**Claim** *There exist elements  $y_{\alpha\beta}^{(j)} \in I^j$  for any  $1 \leq j \leq N$  and  $1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq d$  such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^d a_i (\eta_{ij} + \sum_{\alpha < i} x_\alpha y_{\alpha i}^{(j)} - \sum_{i < \beta} x_\beta y_{i\beta}^{(j)}) \in I^{j+2}.$$

If this is true, setting

$$v_i = \eta_{i1} + \sum_{\alpha < i} x_\alpha y_{\alpha i}^{(1)} - \sum_{i < \beta} x_\beta y_{i\beta}^{(1)},$$

we have  $\sum_{i=1}^d a_i v_i \in I^3 = QI^2$ . Hence there exist  $v'_i \in I^2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^d a_i (v_i - v'_i) = 0$ . Then, for any  $1 \leq i \leq d$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
v_i - v'_i &\in (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_d) :_A a_i \\
&= (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_d) :_A \mathfrak{m},
\end{aligned}$$

so that  $x_i(v_i - v'_i) \in Q$ , which implies  $x_i v_i \in Q$  as  $x_i v'_i \in \mathfrak{m}I^2 \subseteq Q$ . On the other hand, we have  $\sum_{i=1}^d x_i v_i = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i \eta_{i1}$ , so that  $q \in Q$ , where  $q = \sum_{i=1}^d (x_i \eta_{i1} - a_i \eta_{i0})$ . Because  $w_1 - q \in I^2$ , we have  $w_1 - q = q' + w'$  for some  $q' \in QI$  and  $w' \in W$ . Then, as  $w_1 - w' = q + q' \in Q \cap W = 0$ , we get  $w_1 \in I^2$ .

*Proof of Claim.* We prove by descending induction on  $j$ . First, we set  $y_{\alpha\beta}^{(N)} = 0$  for any  $1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq d$ . Next, we assume that  $2 \leq j \leq N$  and we have the required elements  $y_{\alpha\beta}^{(j)}$ . Of course,  $y_{\alpha\beta}^{(j)} \in QI^{j-1}$  if  $j \geq 3$ . However, even if  $j = 2$  we can choose  $y_{\alpha\beta}^{(j)}$  in  $QI^{j-1}$  since  $I^2 \subseteq QI + W$  and  $\mathfrak{m}W = 0$ . Now we set

$$v_{ij} = \eta_{ij} + \sum_{\alpha < i} x_{\alpha} y_{\alpha i}^{(j)} - \sum_{i < \beta} x_{\beta} y_{i\beta}^{(j)}.$$

Let  $K_{\bullet} = K_{\bullet}(f_1, \dots, f_d; G)$  be the Koszul complex with the differential maps  $\partial_p : K_p \rightarrow K_{p-1}$  and let  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_d$  be the free bases of  $K_1$ . We set

$$\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^d \overline{v_{ij} t^j} \cdot T_i.$$

Then  $\sigma \in (f_1, \dots, f_d)K_1$  as  $v_{ij} \in QI^{j-1}$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . On the other hand,

$$\partial_1(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^d f_i \cdot \overline{v_{ij} t^j} = \overline{\left( \sum_{i=1}^d a_i v_{ij} \right) t^{j+1}} = 0$$

in  $G$ , so that  $\sigma \in Z_1(K_{\bullet})$ . Because  $f_1, \dots, f_d$  is a  $d$ -sequence on  $G$ , we have

$$(f_1, \dots, f_d)K_1 \cap Z_1(K_{\bullet}) = B_1(K_{\bullet}).$$

As a consequence, it follows that there exist elements  $y_{\alpha\beta}^{(j-1)} \in I^{j-1}$  for any  $1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq d$  such that

$$\partial_2 \left( \sum_{\alpha < \beta} \overline{y_{\alpha\beta}^{(j-1)} t^{j-1}} \cdot T_{\alpha} \wedge T_{\beta} \right) = \sigma.$$

The left hand side is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \overline{\left( \sum_{\alpha < i} a_{\alpha} y_{\alpha i}^{(j-1)} - \sum_{i < \beta} a_{\beta} y_{i\beta}^{(j-1)} \right) t^j} \cdot T_i,$$

so that we have

$$v_{ij} \equiv \sum_{\alpha < i} a_{\alpha} y_{\alpha i}^{(j-1)} - \sum_{i < \beta} a_{\beta} y_{i\beta}^{(j-1)} \pmod{I^{j+1}}$$

for any  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . This implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^d x_i v_{ij} \equiv \sum_{\alpha < \beta} a_{\alpha} x_{\beta} y_{\alpha\beta}^{(j-1)} - \sum_{\alpha < \beta} x_{\alpha} a_{\beta} y_{\alpha\beta}^{(j-1)} \pmod{I^{j+1}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{i=1}^d x_i v_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i \eta_{ij} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \eta_{i,j-1} \pmod{I^{j+1}}.$$

Therefore we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^d a_i (\eta_{i,j-1} + \sum_{\alpha < i} x_\alpha y_{\alpha i}^{(j-1)} - \sum_{i < \beta} x_\beta y_{i\beta}^{(j-1)}) \in I^{j+1}$$

and the proof is completed.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Only the Buchsbaumness of  $G$  is left to show. We prove by induction on  $d$ . Because  $H_M^0(G) = \{\overline{w_1 t + w_2 t^2} \mid w_1 \in W, w_2 \in W \cap I^2\}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}W = 0$ , we have  $M \cdot H_M^0(G) = 0$ . Hence  $G$  is a Buchsbaum ring if  $d = 1$ .

Suppose that  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $B = A/W$  and  $C = B/a_1 B$ . Then  $C$  and  $IC$  inherits the assumption on  $A$  and  $I$  in 1.3 (cf. Proof of 5.5). Therefore the inductive hypothesis implies that  $G(IC)$  is a Buchsbaum ring, so that  $G(IB)$  is also a Buchsbaum ring since  $G(IB)/f_1 G(IB) \cong G(IC)$ ,  $f_1$  is  $G(IB)$ -regular and  $f_1 \cdot H_M^i(G(IB)) = 0$  for any  $i < d$  (cf. [10, Proposition 2.19]). Furthermore, it is easy to see that the kernel of the graded homomorphism  $G \rightarrow G(IB)$  coincides with  $H_M^0(G)$ . Thus we get that  $G/H_M^0(G)$  is a Buchsbaum ring.

Let  $V = \mathfrak{m} + It \subseteq R$ . Because we may assume that  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is infinite, we can choose a system of generators  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\ell$  of  $V$  such that  $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$  form an sop for  $G_M$  for any subset  $\Lambda \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$  with  $d$ -elements. In order to prove the Buchsbaumness of  $G$ , it is enough to show that

$$(\{\xi_i\}_{i \in \Lambda})G \cap H_M^0(G) = 0$$

for any  $\Lambda$  stated above (cf. [10, Proposition 2.22]). Let  $\Lambda = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_d\}$  and  $\xi_{i_k} = x_k - b_k t$  ( $x_k \in \mathfrak{m}, b_k \in I$ ) for  $1 \leq k \leq d$ . Because  $(b_1 t, \dots, b_d t)G + \mathfrak{m}G$  coincides with the  $M$ -primary ideal  $(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_d})G + \mathfrak{m}G$ , we have that  $b_1 t, \dots, b_d t$  is an sop for  $G/\mathfrak{m}G$ . Hence  $Q' = (b_1, \dots, b_d)$  is a reduction of  $I$ . Then, by our assumption that (i)  $A$  is a Buchsbaum ring or (ii)  $A$  is a quasi-Buchsbaum ring and  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$ , we have that  $Q'$  is a standard parameter ideal of  $A$ , and hence by 1.1 we get  $I^2 \subseteq Q'I + W$  and  $\Sigma(Q') \subseteq I$ . Therefore, by 5.6 we have  $(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_d}) \cap H_M^0(G) = 0$  and the proof is completed.

The next example insists that the assumption of 1.3 that  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$  is necessary when  $A$  is a quasi-Buchsbaum ring but not a Buchsbaum ring.

**Example 5.7** Let  $F = k[[X, Y, Z, W]]$  be the formal power series ring with variables  $X, Y, Z$  and  $W$  over a field  $k$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a} = (X, Y)F \cap (Z, W)F \cap (X^2, Y, Z^2, W)F$  and  $A = F/\mathfrak{a}$ . Let  $x, y, z$  and  $w$  respectively denote the images of  $X, Y, Z$  and  $W$  in  $A$ . We set  $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z, w)A, a = x - z, b = y - w$  and  $Q = (a, b)A$ . Then we have the following.

- (1)  $A$  is a 2-dimensional quasi-Buchsbaum ring but not a Buchsbaum ring.
- (2)  $Q$  is a standard parameter ideal of  $A$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = Q\mathfrak{m} + W$ . We obviously have  $\Sigma(Q) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ .
- (3)  $G(\mathfrak{m})$  is not a Buchsbaum ring.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{n} = (X, Y, Z, W)F$  and  $\mathfrak{b} = (X, Y)F \cap (Z, W)F$ . Then we have the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow F/\mathfrak{b} \rightarrow F/(X, Y)F \oplus F/(Z, W)F \rightarrow F/\mathfrak{n} \rightarrow 0$ , which implies that  $F/\mathfrak{b}$  is a 2-dimensional Buchsbaum ring such that  $\text{depth } F/\mathfrak{b} = 1, H_{\mathfrak{n}}^1(F/\mathfrak{b}) \cong k$  and  $e_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{b}) = 2$ . Because  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a} + XZF$  and  $XZ\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ , considering the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow A \rightarrow F/\mathfrak{b} \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} W &= H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(A) = \mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{a} = xzA \cong k, \\ H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(A) &\cong H_{\mathfrak{n}}^1(F/\mathfrak{b}) \cong k, \\ e_0(\mathfrak{m}) &= e_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{b}) = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $A$  is a 2-dimensional quasi-Buchsbaum ring with  $I(A) = h^0(A) + h^1(A) = 2$ .

On the other hand, It is easy to see that  $A/Q \cong k[[X, Y]]/(X^3, XY, Y^2)$  and  $Q$  is a reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then  $\ell_A(A/Q) = 4$  and  $e(a, b; A) = e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = 2$ , so that  $\ell_A(A/Q) - e(a, b; A) = I(A)$ , which implies that  $Q$  is a standard ideal of  $A$ . Because  $F/\mathfrak{b}$  is a Buchsbaum ring with  $e_0(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{b}) = 2$  and  $\text{depth } F/\mathfrak{b} > 0$ , by [1] and [2] it follows that  $F/\mathfrak{b}$  has maximal embedding dimension, so that we have  $\mathfrak{n}^2 = (X - Z, Y - W)\mathfrak{n} + \mathfrak{b}$ . Hence we get  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = Q\mathfrak{m} + W$ .

Let  $a' = x - w$  and  $b' = y - z$ . Then  $A/(a', b')A \cong k[[X, Y]]/(X^2, XY, Y^2)$  and  $(a', b')A$  is a reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Hence  $\ell_A(A/(a', b')A) = 3$  and  $e(a', b'; A) = 2$ , so that  $\ell_A(A/(a', b')A) - e(a', b'; A) \neq I(A)$ . Therefore  $a', b'$  is not a standard sop for  $A$ , which implies that  $A$  is not a Buchsbaum ring. Then  $G(\mathfrak{m})$  is also not a Buchsbaum ring since

$$G(\mathfrak{m}) \cong S/\{(X, Y)S \cap (Z, W)S \cap (X^2, Y, Z^2, W)S\},$$

where  $S = k[[X, Y, Z, W]]$ , and the proof is completed.



## References

- [1] S. Goto, *Buchsbaum rings with multiplicity 2*, J. Algebra **74** (1982), 294–508.
- [2] S. Goto, *Buchsbaum rings of maximal embedding dimension*, J. Algebra **76** (1982), 383–399.
- [3] S. Goto and F. Hayasaka, *Is  $I^2 = QI$ , where  $Q$  is a parameter ideal and  $I = Q : \mathfrak{m}$ ?* (in preparation).
- [4] S. Goto and K. Watanabe, *On graded rings, I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **30** (1978), 179–213.
- [5] C. Huneke, *On the symmetric and Rees algebra of an ideal generated by a  $d$ -sequence*, J. Algebra **62** (1980), 268–275.
- [6] C. Huneke, *Hilbert functions and symbolic powers*, Michigan Math. J. **34** (1987), 293–318.
- [7] M. Nagata, *Local rings*, Interscience, 1962.
- [8] D. G. Northcott, *A note on the coefficients of the abstract Hilbert function*, J. London Math. Soc. **35** (1960), 209–214.
- [9] A. Ooishi,  *$\Delta$ -genera and sectional genera of commutative rings*, Hiroshima Math. J., **27** (1987), 361–372.
- [10] J. Stückrad and W. Vogel, *Buchsbaum rings and applications*, Springer, 1986.
- [11] P. Vallabrega and G. Valla, *Form rings and regular sequences*, Nagoya math. J. **72** (1978), 93 – 101.
- [12] K. Yamagishi, *The associated graded modules of buchsbaum modules with respect to  $m$ -primary ideals in the equi- $I$ -invariant case*, J. Algebra **225** (2000), 1–27.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
MEIJI UNIVERSITY  
214-8571, JAPAN  
e-mail: goto@math.meiji.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS  
SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
CHIBA UNIVERSITY  
263-8522, JAPAN  
e-mail: nishida@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp