

A SUBGROUP OF SELF-HOMOTOPY EQUIVALENCES WHICH SATISFIES THE M-L CONDITION

KEN-ICHI MARUYAMA

INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{E}(X)$ denote the set of (based) homotopy classes of self-homotopy equivalences of a (based) space X . $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is a group with group operation given by composition of homotopy classes.

For a finite CW-complex X there are two natural subgroups $\mathcal{E}_*(X)$, the subgroup of homotopy classes which induce the identity on the homology groups of X and $\mathcal{E}_\#^{dim}(X)$, or simply denoted by $\mathcal{E}_\#(X)$, the subgroup of homotopy classes which induce the identity on homotopy groups of X in dimensions $\leq \dim X$. These subgroups are known to be nilpotent [DZ]. In this paper, mainly we will study another subgroup $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X)$ which consists of elements inducing the identity on $\pi_i(X)/\tau$, for $i \leq n$ where τ is the subgroup of torsion elements of $\pi_i(X)$. If all $\pi_i(X)$ are finite groups, each $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X)$ coincides with $\mathcal{E}(X)$. Thus $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X)$ fails to be nilpotent in general. For example, $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(\bigvee^5 M(Z_2, 3)) \cong \mathcal{E}(\bigvee^5 M(Z_2, 3))$ are not nilpotent groups, where $\bigvee^5 M(Z_2, 3)$ is the 5-fold wedge sum of Z_2 -Moore spaces. On the other hand, $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X)$ are nilpotent groups in many cases (Theorem 2.5). A remarkable point is that $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X)$ satisfies a certain stable property (the Mittag-Leffler condition) (Theorem 1.2).

Let us denote by X_P the localization of X at P .

§1 $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X)$ AND $\mathcal{E}_\#^n(X_0)$

Theorem 1.1 *Let X be a finite nilpotent complex, then a descending normal series $\mathcal{E}_\#^n(X_0)$, $n = 1, \dots$ is Mittag-Leffler, namely $\mathcal{E}_\#^\infty(X_0) = \mathcal{E}_\#^N(X_0)$ for some N .*

Proof. There exists the following action induced by composition of maps.

$$\mathcal{E}(X_0) \times \pi_i(X_0) \rightarrow \pi_i(X_0)$$

Let $\mathbf{x}_n = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be generators for $\pi_i(X)/\tau \subset \pi_i(X_0)$, $i \leq n$. Note that $\pi_i(X_0)$ are \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces for $i \geq 2$ and for $i = 1$ we can choose generators so that elements of $\pi_i(X_0)$ are expressed in the form of $x_{i_1}^{r_1} \cdots x_{i_k}^{r_k}$, where r_i are rational numbers [Ha, §6]. Let $\mathcal{E}(X_0)_{\mathbf{x}_n}$ be the intersection of the isotropy subgroups at the elements $x_k \in \mathbf{x}_n$.

$$\mathcal{E}_\#^n(X_0) = \mathcal{E}(X_0)_{\mathbf{x}_n}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_\#^\infty(X_0) = \bigcap_n \mathcal{E}(X_0)_{\mathbf{x}_n}$$

$\mathcal{E}(X_0)$ is isomorphic to an algebraic group [Sul], and each $\mathcal{E}(X_0)_{\mathbf{x}_n}$ is isomorphic to its algebraic subgroup [Ma 3]. Therefore, by a fundamental property of algebraic sets we obtain that

$$\bigcap_n \mathcal{E}(X_0)_{\mathbf{x}_n} = \mathcal{E}(X_0)_{\mathbf{x}_N}$$

for some N . Hence the result follows.

Although it is not known that the subgroup $\mathcal{E}_\#^\infty(X)$ satisfies the M-L condition as above except for special cases such as X is a product of spheres (see [AM]), we obtain

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a finite nilpotent complex, then a descending normal series $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X)$, $n = 1, \dots$ is Mittag-Leffler, namely $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^\infty(X) = \mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^N(X)$ for some N .*

Proof. Now we recall some results from [Ma 3]. Let

$$\ell : \mathcal{E}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(X_0)$$

be the homomorphism induced by rationalization. Let

$$\mathcal{E}(X) \times \pi_i(X) \rightarrow \pi_i(X)$$

be the natural action, $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots\}$ be generators for $\pi_i(X)$, $i \geq 1$. Then $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{E}(X)_{\mathbf{x}}$ is defined to be the subgroup of $\mathcal{E}(X)$ which consists of elements satisfying $(fx_k)_0 = x_{k0}$. Then obviously,

$$\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{E}(X)_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^\infty(X)$$

since X is a finite nilpotent complex. By [Proposition 2.4 (and its proof), [Ma 3]], $\ell(\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{E}(X)_{\mathbf{x}})$ is an arithmetic subgroup of $\mathcal{E}(X_0)_{\mathbf{x}_0}$, where $\mathbf{x}_0 = \{(x_1)_0, \dots, (x_k)_0, \dots\}$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, the group $\mathcal{E}(X_0)_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}_\#^\infty(X_0)$. Therefore, $\ell(\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^\infty(X))$ is commensurable with $\mathcal{E}_\#^\infty(X_0)_{\mathbf{z}}$. But the latter is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}_\#^M(X_0)_{\mathbf{z}}$, for some M by Theorem 1.1. Now $\ell(\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^M(X))$ is commensurable to $\mathcal{E}_\#^M(X_0)_{\mathbf{z}}$, by the same reason, and hence the two groups $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^\infty(X)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^M(X)$ are commensurable, namely the first group has finite index in the second group ($\ker \ell$ is a finite subgroup). It follows that $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^N(X)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^\infty(X)$ are isomorphic for some N .

Corollary 1.3 *Let X be a finite nilpotent complex. $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^\infty(X)$ is finite if and only if $\mathcal{E}_\#^\infty(X_0) = \{1\}$. In this case $\mathcal{E}_\#^N(X)$ is finite for some N .*

Proof. $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^\infty(X)$ is finite $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^N(X)$ is finite for some $N \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{E}_\#^N(X)$ is finite $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{E}_\#^N(X_0)$ is trivial [Mal], [Mo] $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{E}_\#^\infty(X_0)$ is trivial. The second equivalence follows from the fact that $\mathcal{E}_\#^N(X)$ has the finite index in $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^N(X)$.

Remark. $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X)$ or $\mathcal{E}_\#^n(X)$ are not finite groups in general ([AM], Corollary 6.2).

§2 MORE ON $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X)$

We define $K(X)$ to be the kernel of the homomorphism $\ell : \mathcal{E}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(X_0)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a finite nilpotent complex. If $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X)$ is finite and $n \geq \dim X$ or $n = \infty$, then $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X) \cong K(X)$.*

Proof. Since $K(X)$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X)$ there exists the following exact seauence

$$0 \rightarrow K(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\#}^n(X_0)$$

The group $\mathcal{E}_{\#}^n(X_0)$ is uniquely divisible and hence we obtain the result.

By the same argument we obtain

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be a finite nilpotent complex. Then $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X)/K(X)$ is a nilpotent group for $n \geq \dim X$.*

A group G is said to be a finite-by-nilpotent group if it has a finite normal subgroup N such that G/N is nilpotent. By [HMR], $K(X)$ is a finite group and we obtain

Corollary 2.3. *Let X be a finite nilpotent complex. Then $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X)$ is a finite-by-nilpotent group for $n \geq \dim X$ or $n = \infty$.*

Next we consider the case where the homology groups of X have no torsion.

Lemma 2.4. *Let X be a finite nilpotent complex whose homology groups have no torsion. Then $K(X)$ is a finite subgroup of $\mathcal{E}_{\#}^n(X)$.*

Proof. $K(X)$ is finite by [HMR]. By our assumptions, the result is clear.

Theorem 2.5. *Let X be a finite nilpotent complex whose homology groups have no torsion. Then $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X)$ is a nilpotent group for $n \geq \dim X$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, all the elements of $K(X)$ induces the identity on homology.

Let us consider three actions $\omega_1: \mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X) \times H_i(X) \rightarrow H_i(X)$, $\omega_2: \mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X)/K(X) \times H_i(X) \rightarrow H_i(X)$ and $\omega_3: \mathcal{E}_{\#}^n(X_0) \times H_i(X_0) \rightarrow H_i(X_0)$, where ω_2 is induced from ω_1 . The following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X) \times H_i(X) & \longrightarrow & H_i(X) \\
 \downarrow & & \parallel \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X)/K(X) \times H_i(X) & \longrightarrow & H_i(X) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{E}_{\#}^n(X_0) \times H_i(X_0) & \longrightarrow & H_i(X_0)
 \end{array}$$

We obtain three lower central series defined in [HMR] (section 4) corresponding to these actions. Let us denote them by $\{\Gamma^i \omega_1(H_i)\}$, $\{\Gamma^j \omega_2(H_i)\}$ and $\{\Gamma^j \omega_3(H_{i_0})\}$ respectively. By the above commutative diagram, $\Gamma_{\omega_1}^i(H_i) = \Gamma^j \omega_2(H_i)$ and they are subgroups of $\Gamma_{\omega_3}^i(H_{i_0})$ since homology has no torsion elements. If $n \geq \dim X$, the action ω_3 is a nilpotent action in the sense of [HMR], that is, $\Gamma_{\omega_3}^i(H_{i_0}) = 0$ for some j (This can be achieved by induction using the postnikov system) and hence $\Gamma_{\omega_1}^i(H_i) = 0$ with this j . Now we obtain that the action ω_1 is a nilpotent action, and hence our result follows from Theorem D in [DZ].

Lemma 2.6. *Let X be a simply connected finite complex whose homology groups have no torsion. Then $K(X)$.*

is a finite nilpotent group and $K(X_p) \cong K(X)_p$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, $K(X)$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{E}.(X)$. The latter group is nilpotent [DZ], and so is $K(X)$. On the other hand, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}.(X) \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathcal{E}.(X_0)$$

By [Ma 2] $\mathcal{E}.(X_p) \cong \mathcal{E}.(X)_p$. Moreover, as localization commutes with pullbacks (Theorem 2.10 [HMR]), $(\ker \ell)_P \cong \ker \ell_P$. Hence the result follows.

By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.6 we obtain

Theorem 2.7. *Let X be a simply connected finite complex whose homology groups have no torsion. Assume that $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X)$ is finite and $n \geq \dim X$ or $n = \infty$, then $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X_p) \cong \mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^n(X)_p$.*

Combining with Corollary 1.3 we obtain

Corollary 2.8. *Let X be a simply connected finite complex whose homology groups have no torsion. If $\mathcal{E}_{\#}^{\infty}(X_0) = \{1\}$, then $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^{\infty}(X_p) \cong \mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^{\infty}(X)_p$.*

§ 3 LOCALIZATION OF $\mathcal{E}_{\#}^{\infty}(X)$

In general we have

Proposition 3.1. $\mathcal{E}_{\#}^{\infty}(X_p)$ is P local for a finite nilpotent complex X and an arbitrary set of prime numbers P .

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\{f_{i+1}: G_{i+1} \rightarrow G_i\}$ be an inverse system such each G_i is P -local. Then $\varprojlim G_i$ is also P -local.*

Proof. Let q be an integer which is prime to all the elements of P . Let $-q$ be the q -th power map. The map $-q: \varprojlim G_i \rightarrow \varprojlim G_i$ is induced from the maps $-q: G_i \rightarrow G_i$. The following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & G_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} & G_i & \xrightarrow{f_i} & G_{i-1} & \longrightarrow \\ & \downarrow -q & & \downarrow -q & & \downarrow -q & \\ \longrightarrow & G_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} & G_i & \xrightarrow{f_i} & G_{i-1} & \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

Each $-q: G_i \rightarrow G_i$ is injective since G_i is p -local, and thus $-q: \varprojlim G_i \rightarrow \varprojlim G_i$ is also injective. Let (g_i) be an element of $\varprojlim G_i$. For $g_i \in G_i$, there exists the unique element g'_i such that $-q(g'_i) = g_i$. $-q(f_{i+1}(g'_{i+1})) = f_{i+1}(g_{i+1}) = g_i$ so, $f_{i+1}(g'_{i+1}) = g'_i$. This shows that $(g'_i) \in \varprojlim G_i$. Therefore $-q: \varprojlim G_i \rightarrow \varprojlim G_i$ is surjective.

Proof of proposition 3.1. By [Mal] [Mo] it holds that $\mathcal{E}_{\#}(X_p) \cong \mathcal{E}_{\#}(X)_p$, thus $\mathcal{E}_{\#}(X_p)$ is P -local. As the group $\mathcal{E}_{\#}^{\infty}(X_p)$ is isomorphic to $\varprojlim_n \mathcal{E}_{\#}^n(X_p)$. Our result is clear from Lemma 3.2

Remark. Therefore $\mathcal{E}_{\#}^{\infty}(X_0)$ is finite if and only if $\mathcal{E}_{\#}^{\infty}(X_0) = \{1\}$ for finite nilpotent complexes.

For a more special case we obtain

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a finite nilpotent complex. If $\mathcal{E}_{\#/\tau}^{\infty}(X)$ is finite or equivalently $\mathcal{E}_{\#}^{\infty}(X_0) = \{1\}$, then $\mathcal{E}_{\#}^{\infty}(X_p) = \mathcal{E}_{\#}^{\infty}(X)_p$.*

Proof. Under our condition, by Corollary 1.3, $\mathcal{E}_{\#}^{\infty}(X)$ is finite and isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}_{\#}^N(X)$ for some N . Now the result follows from [Mal], [Mo].

REFERENCES

- [AM] M. Arkowitz and K. Maruyama, *Self-homotopy equivalences which induce the identity on homology, cohomology or homotopy groups*, *Topology Appl.* **87** (1998), 133-154.
- [DZ] E. Dror and A. Zabrodsky, *Unipotency and nilpotency in homotopy equivalences*, *Topology* **18** (1979), 187-197.
- [Ha] P. Hall, *Nilpotent groups (Lectures given at the Canadian Mathematical Congress, Summer Seminar, University of Alberta, 1957)*, Queen Mary College Math. Notes, 1969.
- [HMR] P. Hilton, G. Mislin and J. Roitberg, *Localization of Nilpotent Groups and Spaces*, *Mathematics Studies*, vol. 15, North-Holland, 1975.
- [Ma1] K. Maruyama, *Localization of a certain subgroup of self-homotopy equivalences*, *Pacific J. of Math.* **136** (1989), 293-301.
- [Ma2] K. Maruyama, *Localization of self-homotopy equivalences inducing the identity on homology*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **108** (1990), 291-297.
- [Ma3] K. Maruyama, *Finitely presented subgroups of the self-homotopy equivalences group*, *Math Z.* **221** (1996), 537-548.
- [Mo] J. Moller, *Self-homotopy equivalences of $H.(-; Z/p)$ -local spaces*, *Kodai Math. J.* **12** (1989), 270-281.
- [Mi] C. Wilkerson, *Applications of minimal simplicial groups*, *Topology* **15** (1976), 115-130.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF EDUCATION CHIBA UNIVERSITY YAYOICHO
CHIBA JAPAN

E-mail address : maruyama@cue.e.chiba-u.ac.jp